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Key Findings

Steel Was A Corrupt Career Politician And Republican Insider

Steel used the power of her government office to promote, protect and benefit her political allies. Throughout her time in government office, Steel has repeatedly used her power to promote, protect and benefit her political allies. While serving as an Orange County Supervisor, Steel twice acted to benefit private jet operator ACI Jet, one of her leading campaign contributors. In 2019, she allowed consideration of a wildly unpopular proposal to expand private flights at John Wayne Airport, which would benefit ACI Jet. Three years earlier, she voted in favor of a lucrative general aviation contract for the company, prompting a FAA investigation into the decision.

Steel has also shown a consistent willingness to use her governmental power to protect and promote key political allies. In 2018, Steel voted to defund the Office of Independent Review while it was actively investigating two of her close political allies – and campaign chairs – for misusing jailhouse informants to illegally obtain confessions. Earlier that year, Steel spent taxpayer funds to send 16,000 voters campaign-style mailers for an event that also featured her campaign chair and ally Tony Rackauckas. Additionally, while serving as Alan Nakanishi’s campaign chairwoman, Steel helped boost his candidacy by hiring Nakanishi as an “Assistant to the Board Member,” allowing him to list the position as his occupation on the ballot. Steel was characterized as knowing “first hand” the boost a staff job on the Board provided a candidate, having been on the reverse end of the same process during her first state-wide campaign.

Steel was a corrupt career politician and Republican insider. Despite claiming in 2006 that she was not a career politician, Steel has spent the last 15 years seeking or in elected office. Months before she declared her candidacy for Congress in California 48th Congressional District, Steel filed to run for State Controller in 2022, a position she has never publicly expressed interest towards. The filing remains active as she campaigns for Congress, further signaling that Steel’s primary concern is not about Orange County residents, but rather her own political advancement. Furthermore, much of Steel’s early political success can be attributed to her status as a Republican insider, particularly in Orange County. Steel’s first lasting political appointment was to the Los Angeles County Commission for Children and Families. Mike Antonovich, a staunch supporter of the California Civil Rights Initiative, nominated Steel to the commission after Steel’s alleged support for the initiative forced her to resign from the Board of Airport Commissioners. Steel admitted that she had “little knowledge about the responsibilities of the commission” and said “it was a surprise that she had been appointed.” Later, in her first run for state-wide office, Steel served as a Deputy to Claude Parrish – a close political ally of her husband – for three months in order to list the position as her job title on the ballot. Parrish demoted his deputy of eight years and hired Steel, who resigned after three months – just long enough to secure the designation of “Deputy” on the ballot. The deputy Parrish had demoted, who worked on Steel’s campaign in the interim, was given his job back the day after Steel resigned. On the federal scale, Steel built connections in Republican circles through her work in an “elite group” of fundraisers for President Bush.

SteelRepeatedly Sought To Curtail Transparency And Communication Between Her Constituents And Their Government

Steel repeatedly sought to curtail transparency and communication between her constituents and their government. Steel repeatedly initiated moves and voted in support of measures that would curtail transparency and communication between her constituents and their government. In 2017, Steel voted for a policy that would allow county officials to immediately destroy any “working notes” they no longer needed. A judge later ruled against the policy and said Orange County officials “are likely breaking the law” by destroying the public records, citing the California Public Records Act and the Brown Act. Despite the judge’s ruling that public records must be kept for two years, in 2019 Steel voted for another policy that would allow for the immediate destruction of public records.
Steel hindered Orange County residents’ ability to communicate with their government and elected officials. Steel voted for another policy that would allow for the immediate destruction of public records. Additionally, in her first meeting as Chairwoman of the Orange County Board of Supervisors, Steel instituted a one-minute limit on public comments – a time period significantly shorter than the historical three-minute accommodation given to the public. When it came time to impose the new limit, Steel was “more lenient with corporate executives than she was with county workers and homeless advocates.” The ACLU would later sue the Orange County Board of Supervisors over Steel’s decision to limit public comments – a lawsuit in which Steel was mentioned by name. Steel opposed a voting system upgrade that could “boost turnout and save taxpayers more than $20 million” without engaging in public discussion and failed to explain her reasoning.

Steel consistently voted against moves that would increase oversight and accountability in Orange County’s government. Steel opposed an Orange County campaign finance and ethics commission, calling the effort a “symbolic gesture.” Steel later voted against putting the commission of the ballot for voters to resolve. Steel also voted in favor of a move that limited oversight on the hiring practices for taxpayer funded government jobs in the county. County leaders were worried that the high-paying “executive assistant” positions could lead to political cronyism in Supervisors’ offices, but Steel shared another councilmember’s “opposition to any attempt to influence what goes on in another elected official’s office.”

Steel Was Good For Corporations And Bad For California Families

Steel was good for corporations and their interests. Steel has proven to be a worthy ally of corporations and their interests throughout her public career. This was especially the case when Steel served on the California Board of Equalization, a state agency charged with tax administration. While serving on the Board, Steel consistently supported corporations that sought to reduce and, at times, completely avoid paying their taxes. For example, Steel supported corporate efforts to: claim tax exemptions despite having improperly applied; assign income earned in California as income made out-of-state in order to reduce their overall tax payment; write off accounts that had become worthless for tax benefit; claim welfare exemptions despite not qualifying; and avoid tax payments on stock acquisitions.

Steel regularly opposed policies and measures that would benefit Californians’ health. Steel regularly opposed policies and measures that would benefit Californians’ health, including opposition to the Affordable Care Act. In 2014 Steel argued that the Affordable Care Act was “growing the ranks of the uninsured” in California, despite the legislation reducing the number of uninsured Californians. Steel doubled-down on her opposition to the Affordable Care Act by stating the Orange County Board of Supervisors “did the right thing by blocking Obamacare implementation in the county for as long as they could.” In addition, she opposed a $1 tax hike on cigarette packs that would raise $810 million annually for cancer research and anti-smoking programs.

Steel actions, both in her public and private capacity, also exhibited longstanding opposition to California’s environment. Steel held investments in several oil and gas companies that operated in and around California’s 48th congressional district and negatively impacted the state’s environment. In her public capacity, Steel objected to a fire-prevention fee for 825,488 rural properties at a time that California was facing serious wildfire concerns, opposed a proposition that would raise about $500 million per years for California’s 278 state parks and advocated against the California Air Resources Board’s expansion of the state’s cap-and-trade program to include gasoline and diesel fuels.

Steel’s actions were detrimental to California’s students, schools and infrastructure. Steel opposed proposition 30, a measure that would increase taxes to prevent cuts to music, dance, art and physical education programs in schools. Steel regularly decried measures that would improve Orange County’s infrastructure, calling a per-mile tax that would raise money for California’s roads and bridges “Orwellian.” She also voted against a $110 million tax district that would repay the county for major infrastructure improvements and opposed a statewide ballot measure that would issue $7.1 billion in bonds to pay for water infrastructure.
Steel supported policies and expressed views that were harmful to marginalized communities and people of color. Steel repeatedly argued against affirmative action policies and was a fierce opponent of California’s Sanctuary State law. She also voted against funding Orange County’s Human Relations Commission, a commission dedicated to combatting hate crimes, despite an increase in the number of hate crimes in Orange County over the past year. Steel later sought to grant County Supervisors the power to control the Human Relation Counsel’s staff in an effort to oust a member of the commission who had voted to release their annual report on hate crimes in the County.

While Homelessness Increased And Led To Hundreds Of Deaths In Orange County, Steel Opposed Efforts To Provide Housing

While Steel served on the Orange County Board of Supervisors, homelessness was labeled a “public health crisis,” with both the homeless population and homelessness-related deaths increasing. Three months before Steel’s announced she would run for Congress, homelessness in Orange County was labeled a “public health crisis” by a Federal Judge. After Orange County changed its methodology for counting homelessness, its homeless population increased 43 percent – from 4,792 to 6,860. Similarly, from 2005 to 2018 the annual amount of homelessness associated deaths quadrupled from 53 to 250, up from 164 in 2015.

Steel opposed efforts to increase housing for people experiencing homelessness. In 2019, Steel opposed the Orange County Housing Trust – a bipartisan measure slated to provide $11 million in funding to provide housing for homeless and low-income Orange County residents. Steel also selectively enforced a one-minute limit on public comments she helped institute in Board of Supervisors meetings against homelessness activists while being “more lenient with corporate executives.” Similarly, as a Supervisor she missed a special session on housing alternatives and options for Orange County’s homeless population, preventing the Board of Supervisors from holding a vote on the issue, and opposed a Republican-led proposal to use the state-owned Fairview Developmental Center in her district to provide an emergency homeless shelter.
Thematics
Steel Was A Corrupt Career Politician And Republican Insider

**Significant Findings**

- While serving as an Orange County Supervisor, Steel twice acted to benefit private jet operator ACI Jet, one of her leading campaign contributors. In 2019, she allowed consideration of a wildly unpopular proposal to expand private flights at John Wayne Airport, which would benefit ACI Jet. Three years earlier, she voted in favor of a lucrative general aviation contract for the company, prompting a FAA investigation into the decision.

- ACI Jet was described as “one of the main beneficiaries of expanding corporate jets” at John Wayne Airport. The company was Steel’s largest aviation-industry donor, with its employees and lobbyist donating $23,450 to her campaigns.

- Furthermore, during the expansion debate, ACI Jet donated $20,000 at Steel’s request to the Korean American Chamber of Commerce – where Steel serves as a board member. Campaign finance filings show chamber leaders and board have heavily contributed to her campaigns, donating at least $65,708.

- The expansion proposal was met with public outcry, with the Mayors of Newport and Costa Mesa presenting a petition in opposition with 1,500 signatures. Specifically, the Mayors and residents lamented how the expansion would benefit the well-heeled users of private jets and complained about existing issues with pollution and jet noise that the expansion could worsen.

- Steel repeatedly used the power of her government office to prevent oversight of government officials and her political allies.

- Steel voted to defund the Office of Independent Review while it was actively investigating two of her close political allies – and campaign chairs – for misusing jailhouse informants to illegally obtain confessions.

- Steel voted against the hiring of an expert to prepare a recommendations report on oversight for the County’s law enforcement agencies.

- Steel opposed an Orange County campaign finance and ethics commission, calling the effort a “symbolic gesture.”

- Steel repeatedly used the power of her government office to promote her campaigns and those of close political allies.

- While serving as Alan Nakanishi’s campaign chairwoman, Steel helped boost his candidacy by hiring Nakanishi as an “Assistant to the Board Member,” allowing him to list the position as his occupation on the ballot.

- Steel spent taxpayer funds to send 16,000 voters campaign-style mailers for an event that also featured Tony Rackauckas, a close political ally. The event was held at a private home that only “had room for a few dozen participants.”

- After the Orange County Auditor-Controller questioned the legality of campaign-style mailers, Steel supported dismantling the County’s ability to independently audit the board.
Steel was a career politician and Republican insider.

In 2006, Steel claimed that she was not a career politician, but she spent the next 15 years seeking or in elected office.

Even as she ran for Congress, Steel filed in 2019 to run for state controller in 2022.

Mike Antonovich, a staunch supporter of the California Civil Rights Initiative, nominated Steel to the Los Angeles County Commission For Children And Families after Steel’s alleged support for the Initiative forced her to resign from the Board of Airport Commissioners. Steel admitted that she had “little knowledge about the responsibilities of the commission” and said “it was a surprise that she had been appointed.”

Steel served as a Deputy to Claude Parrish—a close political ally of her husband—for three months in order to list the position as her job title on the ballot. Parrish demoted his deputy of eight years and hired Steel, who resigned after three months—just long enough to secure the designation of “Deputy” on the ballot. The deputy Parrish had demoted, who worked on Steel’s campaign in the interim, was given his job back the day after Steel resigned.

Steel described herself as part of an “elite group” of fundraisers for President Bush.

When running for Supervisor, Steel claimed she was would not take the position’s pension, failing to mention she was already taking her Board of Equalization pension. In 2019, she reported more than $67,000 in a taxpayer-funded pension.

Steel Directed A Lucrative Contract To A Donor And Later Pushed For An Unpopular Proposal On Their Behalf

ACI Jet Was A Fixed Base Private Jet Operator At John Wayne Airport And A Leading Steel Campaign Donor

ACI Jet Was A Fixed Base Operator At John Wayne Airport, Had A Fleet Of 14 Private Jets, And Touted Jets “That Have Redefined Luxury Travel”

ACI Jet Operated A Fleet Of 14 Private Jets. “Today, ACI Jet employs more than 200 people, operates a fleet of 14 private jet aircraft, three executive terminals and FBOs, one self-service fuel service location, and a multi-million dollar maintenance facility. While ACI Jet has grown by leaps and bounds, our passion for excellence, service and integrity remains unchanged.” [ACI Jet, accessed 5/30/19]

ACI Jet Was A FBO (Fixed Base Operator) At John Wayne Airport. “California’s Orange County Board of Supervisors unanimously approved major alterations to the general aviation infrastructure at John Wayne Airport (SNA). The proposal recently put forward by Supervisor Michelle Steel will redefine the acreage designated for GA operations and reduce the space available for larger business jets. The airport is currently served by two full-service FBOs—Atlantic Aviation and ACI Jet, the latter of which occupies facilities on both sides of the airport.” [Business Jet Traveler, 6/27/19]

Fixed Base Operators Offer “Five-Star Amenities For Private Jet Customers Along With Aircraft Facilities Such As Hangar Storage, Fueling, De-Icing And Repair And Maintenance Services.” “One of the top perks of private jet charter is enjoying the luxury lounges on offer at fixed-base operators (FBOs) before the flight. […] Private jet airports have dedicated terminals for their FBOs. Since their inception in the 1920s, these commercial aircraft service centres have become evermore lavish, offering five-star amenities for private jet customers along
with aircraft facilities such as hangar storage, fueling, de-icing and repair and maintenance services. Flight crews also have space to relax, sleep and complete training between flights. Private jet passengers can take advantage of free aircraft shuttles and fast-track customs and immigration desks. There’s usually a concierge service to deal with luggage and check-in while passengers chill out in sleek lounges serving complimentary food and drink, take advantage of on-site hotels or catch up on work in private conference rooms.” [Air Charter Service, 6/29/18]

Steel’s Supervisor Campaign Received $5,400 From ACI Jet And Its Executives

Steel Accepted $5,400 In Campaign Contributions From ACI Jet And Its Executives. [Orange County Registrar of Voters, accessed 5/28/19]

Steel’s Supervisor Campaign Received $750 From William Steiner, Who Lobbyed On Behalf Of ACI Jet

Steel Accepted $750 In Donations From William Steiner. [Orange County Registrar of Voters, accessed 5/28/19]

Steiner Was A Lobbyist For ACI Jet. “ACI Jet’s lobbyist is former county Supervisor Bill Steiner. The other general aviation management contractor at the airport, Atlantic Aviation, hired as its lobbyists Curt Pringle, the former Anaheim mayory, and Roger Faubel, a longtime water board member and county lobbyist.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>William Borgsmiller</td>
<td>ACI Jet</td>
<td>President/CEO</td>
<td>9/30/2019</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyle Cassidy</td>
<td>ACI Jet</td>
<td>Assistant Manager</td>
<td>9/30/2019</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyle Cassidy</td>
<td>ACI Jet</td>
<td>Assistant Manager</td>
<td>9/30/2019</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivier Leclercq</td>
<td>ACI Jet</td>
<td>Executive VP</td>
<td>9/30/2019</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivier Leclercq</td>
<td>ACI Jet</td>
<td>Executive VP</td>
<td>9/30/2019</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Steiner</td>
<td>Steiner Public Affairs</td>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td>8/29/19</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Borgsmiller</td>
<td>ACI Jet</td>
<td>President/CEO</td>
<td>6/20/2019</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total             |                 |                |              | $17,300 |

[Orange County Ethics Commission, dated 7/5/19]

In 2019, Steel’s Congressional Campaign Received $17,300 From Donors Connected To ACI Jet

Steiner Was A Lobbyist For ACI Jet. “ACI Jet’s lobbyist is former county Supervisor Bill Steiner. The other general aviation management contractor at the airport, Atlantic Aviation, hired as its lobbyists Curt Pringle, the former Anaheim mayory, and Roger Faubel, a longtime water board member and county lobbyist.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]
At Steel’s Request, In 2018 And 2019, ACI Jet Donated $20,000 To The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce; Steel Was On The Board Of The Chamber’s LA Chapter

Behested Payments Were Donations Paid To Charities Requested By Elected Officials

Voice Of OC: Behested Payments Were “Donations Requested, Or Behested, By The Office Holders For Their Favorite Charities.” “Under California law, lobbyists and wealthy political contributors can make large cash donations requested, or behested, by the office holders for their favorite charities. It works the other way too. Elected officials can curry favor with large donors by creating government programs or events that promote causes, businesses or hobbies popular with wealthy contributors. There are no limits to the amount of an individual behested payment — with some as high as $100,000 — but the office-holder has 30 days after donations of $5,000 or more are received to publicly report who gave the money and the amount.” [Voice of OC, 7/25/16]

2018 – 2019: Steel’s Campaign Routed $20,000 In “Behested” Donations From ACI Jet To The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce Foundation

April 2018: Steel’s Campaign Behested A $10,000 Donation From ACI Jet To The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce Foundation. [Orange County Registrar of Voters, accessed 1/13/20]

May 2019: Steel’s Campaign Behested A $10,000 Donation From ACI Jet To The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce Foundation. [Orange County Registrar of Voters, accessed 1/13/20]

Steel Was A Board Member Of The Los Angeles Chapter Of The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce

2019: On Her House Personal Financial Disclosure, Steel Listed Serving As A Board Member With The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce Los Angeles. [Clerk of the House of Representatives, 6/28/19]

Steel’s Campaigns Received $65,708 From Leaders And Board Members Of The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce And Its Leadership

Steel’s Congressional Campaign Received $8,800 From The Leadership Of The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce. [FEC, accessed 1/13/20]

Steel Campaigns For Supervisors Received $7,750 From The Leadership Of The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce. [FEC, accessed 1/13/20]

Steel Received $48,758 From Board Members Of The Los Angeles Chapter Of The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce. [FEC, accessed 1/13/20]


Steel Voted In Favor Of Awarding The General Aviation Contract To ACI Jet, But Never Explained Her Reasoning

Steel Voted In Favor Of Awarding A Contract To ACI Jet. “A county evaluation panel chose both incumbents, Signature and Atlantic, as the first and second-ranked firms, respectively. But supervisors instead voted 4-1 in late January to award Signature’s lease to ACI Jet, which was ranked fifth by the panel. The sole no vote was from Lisa Bartlett.” According to the Orange County Board of Supervisors, “THE BOARD AWARDED INTERIM LEASE FOR EASTSIDE FIXED BASEOPERATIONS AND WESTSIDE HANGARS TO ACI JET FOR TERM 2/1/17 -
12/31/18.” Michelle Steel voted in favor. [Voice of OC, 2/27/17; Orange Board of Supervisors, Meeting Minutes, 1/24/17]


The FAA Investigated How ACI Jet Was Awarded The Contract; The Complaint Regarding The Process Was Dismissed

February 2017: The Federal Aviation Administration Was Investigating ACI Jet’s Contract Award After The Top Ranked Company In A County Evaluation Filed A Complaint. “Federal aviation officials are looking into why Orange County supervisors plan to award a lucrative John Wayne Airport lease to a company that was ranked fifth by the county’s official evaluation panel. A batch of emails released Monday by county officials includes correspondence from Federal Aviation Administration officials saying they’re conducting an ‘inquiry’ into a complaint by the top-ranked bidder, Signature Flight Support. The company has argued that supervisors violated federal contracting rules when they picked fifth-ranked ACI Jet.” [Voice of OC, 2/27/17]

July 2017: The Federal Aviation Administration Dismissed The Complaint That The Orange County Board Of Supervisors Rigged The Bidding Process For ACI Jet. “The Federal Aviation Administration has dismissed a complaint against Orange County by the world’s largest operator of private-plane airport bases alleging that county supervisors rigged a February contracting process to award a lucrative John Wayne Airport lease to a low-ranked applicant. The FAA issued its ruling on Friday, July 21, saying that the county followed proper procedures when it awarded the lease to run the airport’s private-plane terminals to ACI Jet, the second-to-last ranked company, rather than Signature Flight Support, the incumbent and top-ranked operator.” [Orange County Register, 7/25/17]

2019: Appearing To Follow Steel’s Lead, The Orange County Board Of Supervisors Were Prepared To Expand Space For Private Jets At John Wayne Airport, Which Would Benefit ACI

Voice Of OC: “Supervisors Initially Were Eager To Approve The Project Tuesday, With Most Supporting A Version That Would Remove 137 Existing Parking Spots For Small Propeller Planes To Make Room For Adding Space For 21 Private Jets To Be Based At The Airport.” “Supervisors initially were eager to approve the project Tuesday, with most supporting a version that would remove 137 existing parking spots for small propeller planes to make room for adding space for 21 private jets to be based at the airport. But after facing anger from Newport residents, a majority showed interest in capping private jets at their current number of 65 and the board agreed to wait two more weeks to take the issue up again on May 21. Critics, many of whom live under the airport’s flight path, packed the supervisors’ chambers and an overflow room to express concerns about additional jet noise over their homes, while smaller planes owners opposed being pushed out for corporate jets. The mayors of Newport Beach and Costa Mesa presented a petition against the county’s plan they said had gathered 1,500 signatures.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]

Steel’s Constituents Vocally Opposed The Plan For The Airport

May 2019: Voice Of OC: During An Orange County Supervisors Meeting “None Of The 40-Plus Public Commenters Spoke In Favor Of Adding More Jets.” “Newport Beach residents and small plane owners succeeded Tuesday in getting county supervisors to step back from controversial plans to expand private jet spaces at John Wayne Airport. […] None of the 40-plus public commenters spoke in favor of adding more jets.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]
Voice Of OC: The Proposal Caused A “Raucous Four-Hour Showdown” And “Criticism That Supervisors Were Answering Their Campaign Donors In Backing Corporate Jet Expansion.” “The raucous four-hour showdown featured yelling from the audience, which the supervisors didn’t stop; warnings of fighting supervisors’ future election ambitions if they don’t back down on the jet expansion; and criticism that supervisors were answering their campaign donors in backing corporate jet expansion, which the supervisors didn’t dispute.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]

The Mayors Of Newport And Costa Mesa Circulated A Petition With 1,500 Signatures Against The Plan, While Criticizing Benefits For Private Jet Owners And Environmental Issues

Mayors Of Newport Beach And Costa Mesa Claimed They Had A Petition Opposing The Expansion With 1,500 Signatures. “Supervisors initially were eager to approve the project Tuesday, with most supporting a version that would remove 137 existing parking spots for small propeller planes to make room for adding space for 21 private jets to be based at the airport. But after facing anger from Newport residents, a majority showed interest in capping private jets at their current number of 65 and the board agreed to wait two more weeks to take the issue up again on May 21. Critics, many of whom live under the airport’s flight path, packed the supervisors’ chambers and an overflow room to express concerns about additional jet noise over their homes, while smaller planes owners opposed being pushed out for corporate jets. The mayors of Newport Beach and Costa Mesa presented a petition against the county’s plan they said had gathered 1,500 signatures.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]

The Mayor Of Costa Mesa Opposed The JWA Expansion, Urging “The Orange County Board Of Supervisors To Protect The Environment Surrounding John Wayne Airport And The Health Of Residents.” “A petition has been created by the Democratic Mayor of Costa Mesa, Katrina Foley, to stop the proposed alteration of John Wayne Airport (JWA) in Orange County, California, which is planned to bring the airport into compliance with requirements set by the FAA. Signs can be seen throughout the cities of Newport Beach and Costa Mesa that read ‘Stop JWA Expansion,’ including a link to the petition that opposes the construction. Mayor Foley’s petition states that the changes to the airport will negatively impact the surrounding community. A portion of the statement from the petition website reads: ‘We urge the Orange County Board of Supervisors to protect the environment surrounding John Wayne Airport and the health of residents, and stop any plans to operationally expand John Wayne Airport. We encourage the Board to keep the blend of light General Aviation the same as it is today, to not expand the footprint of JWA, and to restrict expansion of corporate and private jet traffic now and in the future.’” [Epoch Times, 6/23/19]

Newport Beach And Costa Mesa Were In Steel’s District. [Orange County Board of Supervisors, accessed 5/29/19]

Residents Expressed Concerns About Increased Jet Noise, Continued Pollution

Citizens Expressed Concern About The Expansion Increasing Jet Noise Over Their Homes, Causing A Disturbance. “Critics, many of whom live under the airport’s flight path, packed the supervisors’ chambers and an overflow room to express concerns about additional jet noise over their homes, while smaller planes owners opposed being pushed out for corporate jets. The mayors of Newport Beach and Costa Mesa presented a petition against the county’s plan they said had gathered 1,500 signatures. ‘The city of Newport Beach does not believe that we have to provide the absolute ultimate in facility convenience to everybody who has a private jet aircraft. That is not a burden that is appropriate to place on the residents of Orange County,’ Newport Beach Mayor Diane Dixon told supervisors.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]

Residents Complained At A County Supervisor Meeting About The Pollution From The John Wayne Airport, With One Person Saying “We Not Healthy. The Amount Of Pollution Continues To Spray Over Costa Mesa, Newport Beach, Tustin, Santa Ana, Huntington Beach – Just To Name A Few.” According to a CBS Los Angeles segment, “One by one, people worried about the noise and pollution generated by the planes at John Wayne Airport went on the record at the Board of Supervisors meeting in Orange County.” The segment included video of a resident saying “We not healthy. The amount of pollution continues to spray over Costa Mesa,
Newport Beach, Tustin, Santa Ana, Huntington Beach – just to name a few. We are still waiting for this to be resolved.” [CBS Los Angeles, YouTube, 5/7/19]

**Voice Of OC: ACI Jet Would Be “One Of The Main Beneficiaries OF Expanding Corporate Jets” At John Wayne Airport**

*Voice Of OC: “One Of The Main Beneficiaries Of Expanding Corporate Jets [At John Wayne Airport] May Be ACI Jet.”* “Newport Beach residents and small plane owners succeeded Tuesday in getting county supervisors to step back from controversial plans to expand private jet spaces at John Wayne Airport. […] One of the main beneficiaries of expanding corporate jets may be ACI Jet, which has a county lease to oversee general aviation services at the airport and is a major donor in supervisor and congressional elections. Last year, ACI Jet revealed renderings for new hangars it planned to build at John Wayne Airport, an apparent part of the project now before supervisors.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]

**John Wayne Airport Was Located In Steel’s District, Providing Her Influence Over County Decisions Regarding The Facility**

*Voice Of OC: “Under The Supervisors’ Usual Practice, Known As ‘District Prerogative,’ Supervisor Michelle Steel Would Have The Most Influence Over County Decisions At John Wayne Airport, Because It’s In Her District.”* “Under the supervisors’ usual practice, known as ‘district prerogative,’ Supervisor Michelle Steel would have the most influence over county decisions at John Wayne Airport, because it’s in her district. The unofficial ‘honor code’ of district prerogative is an unwritten rule among generations of supervisors in which each supervisor is given deference – and even veto power – over county projects and events within their district. Steel announced last week she’s running for Congress in an effort to unseat Democrat Rep. Harley Rouda from his coastal 48th District.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]

**Steel Utilized Her Public Office To Promote And Bolster Her Campaign And Those Of Allies**

**Steel Used Taxpayer Funds To Send 16,000 Campaign-Style Mailers For An Event Held At A Private Home**

**Steel Used Taxpayer Funds To Mail Out Invitations For “Community Coffee”**

*Steel Used Taxpayer Funds To Mail Out Invitations For “Community Coffee.”* “In sending the mailers for the Jan. 27 coffee meeting, at a cost to taxpayers of about $5,800, Steel ignored advice from county lawyers who said that the mailer needed to have an expressly stated purpose.” [Orange County Register, 2/7/18]
Steel Sent The Invitation To 16,000 Voters Even Though The Event Was Being Held At A Private Home That Only “Had Room For A Few Dozen Participants”

Steel Sent The Invitation To 16,000 Voters Even Though The Event Was Being Held At A Private Home That Only “Had Room For A Few Dozen Participants.” “The mailings to 16,000 people – for an event held at the home of Costa Mesa City Council candidate Lee Ramos, which had room for a few dozen participants – included Rackauckas’ name but no public purpose for the gathering.” [Orange County Register, 2/7/18]

OC Register: Steel Ignored The Advice Of County Lawyers Who Said The Mailer “Needed To Have An Expressly Stated Purpose”

OC Register: Steel Ignored The Advice Of County Lawyers Who Said The Mailer “Needed To Have An Expressly Stated Purpose.” “In sending the mailers for the Jan. 27 coffee meeting, at a cost to taxpayers of about $5,800, Steel ignored advice from county lawyers who said that the mailer needed to have an expressly stated purpose.” [Orange County Register, 2/7/18]

The Event Also Featured Tony Rackauckas, A Close Political Ally Of Steel

The Event Also Featured Tony Rackauckas. “Orange County supervisors expressed concern Tuesday that their colleague, Supervisor Michelle Steel, improperly spent taxpayer funds to send out 16,000 public mailers for campaigning purposes that also benefited incumbent District Attorney Tony Rackauckas. […] Steel and Rackauckas are both seeking re-election this year.” [Orange County Register, 2/7/18]

Steel Was Endorsed By Both Hutchens And Rackauckas.

Steel Was Endorsed By Both Hutchens And Rackauckas. “Orange County Sheriff Sandra Hutchens and Dist. Atty. Tony Rackauckas have endorsed Michelle Steel in her campaign for the District 2 seat on the Orange County Board of Supervisors, according to a news release.” [Los Angeles Times, 3/31/14]

Steel Supported Rackauckas Amidst Criticism Of His Handling Of The Scott Dekraai Mass Murder Case.

Steel Supported Rackauckas Amidst Criticism Of His Handling Of The Scott Dekraai Mass Murder Case. “Todd Spitzer, chairman of the Board of Supervisors, stood before TV cameras last week and vowed the board would hold accountable the District Attorney’s Office for the misconduct that has stalled the Scott Dekraai mass murder case. […] Spitzer went on the attack after a judge removed the District Attorney’s Office from the penalty phase for Scott Dekraai, who pleaded guilty to killing eight people in October 2011 at a Seal Beach salon. Superior Court Judge Thomas Goethals handed the case over to the state Attorney General’s Office, which doesn’t want it and has appealed. […] Supervisor Michelle Steel, who lives in Seal Beach, offered unqualified support for Rackauckas. ‘I think he is an excellent district attorney, and I am confident that he takes responsibility for his department and will act to correct errors when they occur.’” [Orange County Register, 3/26/15]
Steel Endorsed Rackaukas’ Campaign. “Do, Nelson and Steel all have endorsed Rackaukas.” [Orange County Register, 6/12/18]

After The Orange County Auditor-Controller Questioned The Legality Of Campaign-Style Mailers, Steel Supported Dismantling The County’s Ability To Independently Audit The Board

The Orange County Auditor-Controller Questioned The Legality Of Taxpayer Funded Mailers

Voice Of OC: County Supervisors Clashed With The Auditor-Controller For “Questioning Of The Legality Of Supervisors’ Taxpayer Funded Mailers To Voters That Prominently Feature The Supervisors.” “The auditor-controller is independently elected by county voters, and Woolery won re-election last week with about 75 percent of the vote. But he has repeatedly drawn the ire of Nelson and other county supervisors since he took office in early 2015, including his questioning of the legality of supervisors’ taxpayer funded mailers to voters that prominently feature the supervisors.” [Voice of OC, 6/13/18]

Steel Voted To Give The Board Of Supervisors Control Of The County’s Internal Auditors

Headline: “Supervisors Start Taking Control Of County’s Financial Checks And Balances.” [Voice of OC, 6/28/18]

Steel Voted To Give The Board Of Supervisors Of The County’s Internal Auditors, Removing Them From The Jurisdiction Of The County Auditor-Controller. “Orange County supervisors acted Tuesday to grant themselves control over the county’s financial checks and balances – a move encouraged by two former employees who allege wrongdoing and opposed by people concerned the supervisors were dismantling independent oversight. The supervisors’ most immediate action was to take control of the county’s internal auditors, who are supposed to function as watchdogs against fraud in county departments and currently report to the county’s independently-elected auditor-controller, Eric Woolery. The 14 budgeted staff of the Internal Audit Division will be transferred from Woolery’s office and will start reporting to the supervisors this Sunday, July 1, according to the supervisors’ action. The move was approved on a 4-1 vote, with Supervisor Todd Spitzer opposing.” [Voice of OC, 6/28/18]

Steel Voted To Cut $1 Million From The Budget Of The County Auditor-Controller

June 2018: The Orange County Board Of Supervisors Cut $1 Million From The Budget Of The Auditor-Controller. “Earlier at Monday’s meeting, supervisors voted to cut $1 million from recently re-elected county Auditor-Controller Eric Woolery’s budget and strip some of his auditing powers. A more substantial plan to break up Woolery’s office fell one vote shy of passing after some supervisors worried that taking away his control of issuing county payments could be too disruptive in the short-term.” [Orange County Register, 6/27/18]

Steel Voted To Decrease Funding For The Auditor-Controller By $656,570. “ON THE MOTION OF VICE CHAIRMAN NELSON, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR STEEL, AND SUPERVISOR SPITZER VOTING NO, THE BOARD REDUCED FUNDING FOR THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, BUDGET 003 BASELINE APPROPRIATIONS BY $656,570.” [Orange County Board of Supervisors Meeting Minutes, 6/26/18 (Afternoon Meeting)]

Steel Voted To Eliminate $385,639 In Funding Requests By The Auditor-Controller. “ON THE MOTION OF VICE CHAIRMAN NELSON, SECONDED BY CHAIRMAN DO, AND SUPERVISOR SPITZER VOTING NO, THE BOARD ELIMINATED ALL RESTORE AUGMENTATION REQUESTS FOR THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER OF $385,639.” [Orange County Board of Supervisors Meeting Minutes, 6/26/18 (Afternoon Meeting)]
### While Serving As Alan Nakanishi’s BOE Campaign Chairwoman, Steel Helped Boost His Candidacy By Hiring Nakanishi As An “Assistant To The Board Member,” Allowing Him To List The Position As His Occupation On The Ballot

Steel Was A Close Political Ally Of Alan Nakanishi

**Steel Was Alan Nakanishi’s Campaign Chairwoman.** “The former Republican assemblyman and practicing doctor was recently hired by his campaign chairwoman, BOE District 3 Member Michelle Steel, as an ‘assistant to the board member.’” [Capitol Alert, 11/6/09]

**Steel Donated To Nakanishi’s 2004 Campaign For State Assembly.** According to Follow The Money, Steel donated $700 to Alan Nakanishi’s 2004 campaign for State Assembly. [Follow the Money, accessed 11/5/19]

**Steel’s Husband, Shawn, Donated To Nakanishi’s 2004 Campaign For State Assembly.** According to the California Secretary of State, Shawn Steel, Michelle Steel’s Husband, donated $1,000 to Alan Nakanishi’s 2004 campaign for State Assembly. [California Secretary of State Contributions Search, Shawn Steel, accessed 11/16/19]

### Steel Helped Boost Nakanishi’s Board Of Equalization Candidacy By Hiring Him As “An Assistant To The Board Member,” Allowing Nakanishi To List The Position As His Occupation On The Ballot

**Steel Helped Boost Nakanishi’s Candidacy By Hiring Him As “An Assistant To The Board Member,” Allowing Nakanishi To List The Position As His Occupation On The Ballot.** “Board of Equalization candidate Alan Nakanishi has gotten a bit of a boost in his bid to replaced termed-out board member Bill Leonard. The former Republican assemblyman and practicing doctor was recently hired by his campaign chairwoman, BOE District 3 Member Michelle Steel, as an ‘assistant to the board member.’ In addition to pulling in an additional $7,852 a month, the new title means Nakanishi will be able to request that his gig with the board be listed as his profession on the ballot and play up the ‘experience’ card in the crowded GOP primary for the safe Republican seat. […] Nakanishi’s been running hard for the seat since the outset, spending hundreds of thousands of dollars to get his name on slate mailers for the election. The added bonus of his new ballot designation could make a difference in a race where most voters aren’t familiar with the candidates, or even what a position on the board, which administers state tax laws, entails. [Capitol Alert, 11/6/09]

### Steel Was Characterized As Knowing “First-Hand” The Boost A Staff Job On The Board Of Equalization Provided A Candidate

**Steel Was Characterized As Knowing “First-Hand” The Boost A Staff Job On The Board Of Equalization Provided A Candidate.** “Steel knows first-hand the boost a staff job at the board can give a BOE hopeful. Early in her 2006 BOE bid, her predecessor Claude Parrish brought her on staff as a deputy. She said at the time that she believed the experience and better ballot designation would help her candidacy against her opponent, former Republican Assemblyman Ray Haynes.” [Capitol Alert, 11/6/09]

### Steel Attempted To Limit Oversight On Government Offices Led By Close Political Allies

**Steel Voted To Defund The Office Of Independent Review While It Was Actively Investigating Two Of Her Close Political Allies – And Campaign Chairs – For Misusing Jailhouse Informants To Illegally Obtain Confessions**
The Office Of Independent Review Was Tasked With Overseeing Problematic Practices In The Sheriff’s Department And District Attorney’s Office.

“...Overseeing Problematic Practices In The Sheriff’s Department And District Attorney’s Office. “A second action by supervisors eliminates all funding for the county’s Office of Independent Review, which is tasked with monitoring liability issues from any potentially problematic practices within the Sheriff’s Department, DA’s office and other county law enforcement agencies.”

[Voice of Orange County, 6/13/18]

Steel Voted To Defund The Office Of Independent Review.

“...Instead, Supervisors Do, Shawn Nelson, and Michelle Steel took a nonbinding straw vote to defund the office.” [Orange County Register, 6/12/18]

At The Time Of Steel's Vote, The Office Of Independent Review Was Serving An “Important Role” In Investigating The Sheriff's Department And District Attorney’s Office For Misusing Jailhouse Informants.

“The board’s straw vote to cut funding to the Office of Independent Review, which oversees both the Sheriff’s Department and the District Attorney’s Office, comes as federal and state investigators are looking at both agencies over whether they misused jailhouse informants to illegally gain confessions. As part of the probe, Dept. of Justice investigators have indicated they believed the OIR serves an important role. Government watchdogs agree.”

[Orange County Register, 6/12/18]

Steel Was A Close Political Ally Of Two Individuals Involved In The Investigation, Sandra Hutchens And Tony Rackauckas.

Hutchens And Rackauckas Were Sued By The ACLU For Their Role In Violating The Rights Of Criminal Defendants By Misusing Jailhouse Informants To Secure Convictions.

“The ACLU of Southern California filed a lawsuit Wednesday against the Orange County’s district attorney and sheriff for allegedly violating the rights of criminal defendants by misusing jailhouse informants to secure convictions. [...] The ACLU alleges in its lawsuit that Rackauckas and Hutchens ‘have needlessly compromised meritorious prosecutions and denied individuals who are innocent until proven guilty the evidence needed to defend themselves.’” [Southern California Public Radio, 4/4/18]

Hutchens And Rackauckas Served As Honorary Co-Chairs On Steel’s Campaign For Board Of Supervisors.

“Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, county Sheriff Sandra Hutchens and former Assemblyman Jim Silva (R-Huntington Beach) are serving as honorary co-chairs for her campaign, Steel said.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/13]

Steel Was Endorsed By Both Hutchens And Rackauckas.

“Orange County Sheriff Sandra Hutchens and Dist. Atty. Tony Rackauckas have endorsed Michelle Steel in her campaign for the District 2 seat on the Orange County Board of Supervisors, according to a news release.” [Los Angeles Times, 3/31/14]

Steel Supported Rackauckas Amidst Criticism Of His Handling Of The Scott Dekraai Mass Murder Case.

“Todd Spitzer, chairman of the Board of Supervisors, stood before TV cameras last week and vowed the board would hold accountable the District Attorney’s Office for the misconduct that has stalled the Scott Dekraai mass murder case. [...] Spitzer went on the attack after a judge removed the District Attorney’s Office from the penalty phase for Scott Dekraai, who pleaded guilty to killing eight people in October 2011 at a Seal Beach salon. Superior Court Judge Thomas Goethals handed the case over to the state Attorney General’s Office, which doesn’t want it and has appealed. [...] Supervisor Michelle Steel, who lives in Seal Beach, offered unqualified support for...
Rackauckas. “I think he is an excellent district attorney, and I am confident that he takes responsibility for his department and will act to correct errors when they occur.” [Orange County Register, 3/26/15]

**Steel Endorsed Rackauckas’ Campaign.** “Do, Nelson and Steel all have endorsed Rackauckas.” [Orange County Register, 6/12/18]

**Steel Voted Against Expanding The Office Of Independent Review To Oversee The Probation Department, District Attorney And Public Defender’s Offices, And The Social Services Agency**

Steel Voted Against Expanding The Office Of Independent Review To Also Oversee The Probation Department, District Attorney And Public Defender’s Offices, And The Social Services Agency. “By a 3-2 margin, the board voted to expand the Office of Independent Review to also oversee the Probation Department, District Attorney and Public Defender’s offices and the Social Services Agency. Supervisors Shawn Nelson and Michelle Steel cast the dissenting votes.” [City News Service, 12/15/15]

**Steel Voted Against The Hiring Of An Expert To Prepare A Recommendations Report On Oversight For The County’s Law Enforcement Agencies**

Steel Voted Against The Hiring Of An Expert To Prepare A Recommendations Report On Oversight For The County’s Law Enforcement Agencies. “Michael Gennaco, a principal of OIR Group, who is perhaps best known locally for helping Fullerton police investigate the in-custody death of transient Kelly Thomas, was hired on a 3-2 vote to prepare a report making recommendations on oversight of the county’s law enforcement agencies. Gennaco, who started work today, will be paid $10,000 a month until year’s end. […] Supervisors Michelle Steel and Nelson voted against the Gennaco contract.” [City News Service, 8/25/15]

**Steel Was A Career Politician And Republican Insider**

**Steel Claimed She Was Not A Career Politician, Yet Has Spent The Last 15 Years Seeking Or In Elected Office…**

Steel Said She Would Not Use Her Position On The Board Of Equalization As A Stepping Stone To Other Political Offices

Steel Said She Would Not Use Her Position On The Board Of Equalization As A Stepping Stone To Other Political Offices. “WHAT WOULD YOU DO DIFFERENTLY THAN YOUR OPPONENTS? I come to this office not as a career politician using the board as a stepping stone, but as a businesswoman, a former employee of the board who understands tax policy intricately, who has no learning curve on tax issues.” [Riverside Press Enterprise, 5/30/06]

2014: Steel Said She Was Not A Career Politician

Steel Said She Was Not A Career Politician. “Ms. Steel, who likely has a long political career ahead of her, told us she is not a career politician. And that is good, because it is important to remember that the district seat is not a stepping stone, but a full-time job all its own.” [Orange County Register, Editorial, 5/2/14]

2005: Steel Filed Her Statement Of Intent To Run For The California Board Of Equalization

2005: Steel Filed Her Statement Of Intent To Run For The California Board Of Equalization. ‘He recently filed a statement of intent to run for the same Board of Equalization post being vacated next year by Claude Parrish. […] Also filing a statement of intent to run for the seat is Michelle Park Steel, the wife of former state GOP
Chairman Shawn Steel. San Bernardino County Supervisor Bill Postmus is also considering running.’ [Riverside Press Enterprise, 3/28/05]

…And Filed In 2019 To Run For State Controller In 2022

January 2019: Steel Created A Committee To Run For State Controller In 2022

In January 2019, Steel Created A Committee To Run For California State Controller In 2022. According to the California Secretary of State, in January 2019, Steel created a campaign committee to run for California State Controller in 2022. The committee has raised $13,629.99 and spent $1,690.70. As of February 13, 2019, Steel had made no significant public indication that she was running in this race. [California Secretary of State, accessed 2/13/19]

May 2019: Steel Filed To Run For U.S. Congress

Steel Filed To Run For U.S. Congress In May 2019. According to her Statement of Candidacy from the Federal Election Commission, Steel filed to run for U.S. Congress in California’s 48th Congressional District on May 1, 2019. [Federal Election Commission, Statement of Candidacy, 5/1/19]

Steel Announced Her Run For Congress In Los Angeles, Outside Of California’s 48th Congressional District. “But Steel told Radio Korea during a Thursday press conference at the Korean American Chamber of Commerce in Los Angeles that she’s been considering a run for CA-48 since the November election. […] Rouda’s campaign team on Friday sent a fundraising email criticizing Steel’s choice to make her announcement outside of Orange County and highlighting her strong ties to President Donald Trump.” [Orange County Register, 4/26/19]

Mike Antonovitch, A Staunch Supporter Of The California Civil Rights Initiative, Nominated Steel To The Los Angeles County Commission For Children And Families After Steel’s Alleged Support For The Initiative Forced Her To Resign From The Board Of Airport Commissioners

Steel Was Appointed To Los Angeles County’s Commission For Children And Families Two Months After Her Views On Affirmative Action Forced Her To Resign From The Board Of Airport Commissioners

Steel Was Appointed To Los Angeles County’s Commission For Children And Families Two Months After Her Views On Affirmative Action Forced Her To Resign From The Board Of Airport Commissioners. “The former Los Angeles airport commissioner who quit her job two months ago when her views on affirmative action came under fire was appointed by the Board of Supervisors on Tuesday to the county’s Commission for Children and Families.” [Los Angeles Times, 11/8/95]

September 1995: Steel Resigned From The Board Of Airport Commissioners Over Her Supposed Endorsement Of The California Civil Rights Initiative, A “Proposal To Scrap State Laws Supporting Quotas And Racial Preferences In Hiring.” “Later in the day, even before the dust had settled on the racially charged council debate, the saga of the appointment of Michelle Park-Steel to the Airport Commission took other wild twists when it was announced -- and then denied -- that the appointee had endorsed a controversial measure that civil rights supporters say would gut affirmative action. […] Feuer pledged to introduce a motion Friday demanding that both Park-Steel and Joe Gelman -- a Riordan appointee and a proponent of a proposal to scrap state laws supporting quotas and racial preferences in hiring -- appear before the council to explain themselves. It was Gelman -- already an anathema to civil rights leaders -- who issued a press release only hours after Park-Steel was narrowly confirmed by the council. The release quoted her as saying she endorsed his initiative.” [Los Angeles Times, 9/7/95]
Steel Was Nominated To The County Commission For Children And Families By Mike Antonovich, A Staunch Supporter Of The California Civil Rights Initiative

Steel Was Nominated To The County Commission For Children And Families By Mike Antonovich, A Staunch Supporter Of The California Civil Rights Initiative. “Michelle E. Park-Steel of Tarzana was nominated for the post by Supervisor Mike Antonovich and approved by the board on a 4-0 vote without discussion. Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky was absent. […] After her resignation, Park-Steel said, Antonovich -- who is one of the initiative’s staunchest supporters -- asked if she was interested in a spot on the 15-member Commission for Children and Families.” [Los Angeles Times, 11/8/95]

Steel Admitted That She Had “Little Knowledge About The Responsibilities Of The Commission”

Steel Admitted That She Had “Little Knowledge About The Responsibilities Of The Commission” And Said “It Was A Surprise” That She Had Been Appointed. “During a phone interview, Park-Steel admitted that she had little knowledge about the responsibilities of the commission, and said she expected her nomination to generate at least some controversy. […] ‘It was a surprise that Mike appointed me,’ she said. ‘He sent me a letter saying I would be a good candidate. I’m very excited.’” [Los Angeles Times, 11/8/95]

Steel Served As A Deputy To Claude Parrish –A Close Political Ally Of Her Husband – For Three Months In Order To List The Position As Her Job Title On The Ballot

Steel Served As A Deputy To The Board Member She Was Campaigning Against For Three Months In Order To List The Position As Her Job Title On The Ballot. “On the June 6 primary ballot, Michelle Steel is identified as a deputy to a member of the powerful tax board on which she is seeking a seat. It is a job title that political analysts say is likely to help her win votes. But Steel held the job for only three months.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/06]

Parrish, The Board Member Steel Worked Under For Three Months, Was A Close Political Ally Of Her Husband, Shawn Steel

Claude Parrish, The Board Member Steel Worked Under For Three Months, Was A Close Political Ally Of Her Husband, Shawn Steel. “Steel hopes to succeed Claude Parrish, a leading Republican candidate for state treasurer and a close political ally of her husband, Shawn Steel, a former state GOP chairman.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/06]

Steel’s Husband Donated To Parrish’s Political Campaigns. “Among the donors to both candidates is Shawn Steel, who gave $4,600 to Parrish and lent $75,000 to his wife.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/06]

December 2005: Parrish Demoted His Deputy Of Eight Years And Hired Steel Despite Her Limited Accounting Experience

December 2005: Parrish Demoted His Deputy Of Eight Years And Hired Steel Despite Her Limited Accounting Experience. “State personnel records show that Parrish demoted Marcus Frishman, his deputy of eight years, on Dec. 30. Parrish then moved Steel, whose official campaign biography shows little accounting experience, into the job.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/06]
March 2006: Steel Resigned Three Months Later, Just Weeks After Filing Her Campaign Papers With The Job Title Of “Equalization Boardmember’s Deputy.”

“Steel held the job as deputy to Parrish for only about three months - just long enough to secure the ballot designation. She then resigned and Parrish filled the vacancy with Frishman.” [Copley News Service, 6/2/06]

Steel Held The Position “Just Long Enough To Secure The Ballot Designation.”

“Michelle Steel held the job as deputy to Parrish for only about three months - just long enough to secure the ballot designation. She then resigned and Parrish filled the vacancy with Frishman.” [Copley News Service, 6/2/06]

Steel Said She “Only Resigned Because The Race Grew Too Time-Consuming”

“Steel held the job as deputy to Parrish for only about three months - just long enough to secure the ballot designation. She then resigned and Parrish filled the vacancy with Frishman.” [Copley News Service, 6/2/06]

Steel Said She “Only Resigned Because The Race Grew Too Time-Consuming.”

“In her defense, Steel told Copley News Service that she had always been interested in tax work and took the job with Parrish thinking she could work full-time and run the campaign in her spare time. She only resigned because the race grew too time-consuming, Steel said.” [Copley News Service, 6/2/06]

April 2006: Parrish’s Deputy Of Eight Years Worked For Steel’s Campaign After His Demotion And Was Re-Hired To His Original Position The Day After Steel Resigned

April 2006: Parrish’s Deputy Of Eight Years Worked For Steel’s Campaign After His Demotion And Was Re-Hired To His Original Position The Day After Steel Resigned.

“State personnel records show that Parrish demoted Marcus Frishman, his deputy of eight years, on Dec. 30. Parrish then moved Steel, whose official campaign biography shows little accounting experience, into the job. She filed her campaign papers in mid-March with the job title of ‘Equalization Boardmember’s Deputy.’ On March 31, personnel records show, she resigned. During those three months, Frishman worked as an assistant to Parrish. According to the state, his monthly salary was reduced from $9,223 to $6,394. He was hired, for an undisclosed amount, to work for Steel’s campaign. Frishman was reinstated as deputy April 1, with a $91 monthly raise over the higher salary.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/06]

Steel’s Campaign Acknowledged That They Paid The Deputy She Had Replaced For “Fundraising Work.”

“Steel’s campaign acknowledged that it paid Frishman -- who in the 1990s was ordered by a court to do community service after Beverly Hills police found him with a BMW convertible he had rented and then reported stolen -- for ‘fundraising’ work to do on his own time. ‘Marcus Frishman is helping us raise money,’ said Tim Clark, a spokesman for Michelle Steel.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/06]

Steel Described Herself As Part Of An “Elite Group” Of Fundraisers For President Bush

Steel Described Herself As Part Of An ‘Elite Group’ Of Fundraisers For President Bush. “Steel is a Republican activist who describes herself as part of an ‘elite group’ of fundraisers for President Bush. She is a small-business woman, a political appointee to various boards and commissions, and a trustee of an emergency shelter for runaway youths.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/06]

Steel Took A Public Pension, Then Led Voters To Believe She Would Not

2018: According To Her Personal Financial Disclosure, Steel Received $67,323.61 Per Year From California In Taxpayer-Funded Pensions, Earned While On The Board Of Equalization
2018: Steel Received $67,323.61 Per Year From California In Taxpayer Funded Pensions. According to her House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Steel receive $67,323.61 per year in taxpayer funded pensions from her time with the California Board of Equalization. Steel received $65,717.17 from her capacity as a member of the board and $1,606.44 from her capacity as an employee. [House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Michelle Steel, 6/28/19]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Amount (Current Year to Filing)</th>
<th>Amount (Preceding Year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State of California Board of Equalization - Member</td>
<td>Pension</td>
<td>$19,605.23</td>
<td>$65,717.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of California Board of Equalization - Employee</td>
<td>Pension</td>
<td>$539.24</td>
<td>$1,606.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,144.47</td>
<td>$67,323.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Michelle Steel, 6/28/19]

While Campaigning For Supervisor, Steel Said She Would Be Willing To Forego The Public Employee Pension Awarded For The Position And Failed To Mention Her Existing Pension

Steel Said She Would Be Willing To Forego The Public Employee Pension Awarded To Supervisors. “If elected, Daily Pilot Editor John Canalis asked, ‘Would you be willing to forego your pension?’ Supervisors can opt out of taking a public employee pension -- a symbolic move, given the tense climate surrounding the board’s contract negotiations with county employee unions. Candidate Michelle Steel, a Republican state Board of Equalization member who leads the pack in fundraising by a wide margin, answered in one word: ‘Yes.’” [Los Angeles Times, 4/30/14]
Steel Repeatedly Sought To Curtail Transparency And Communication Between Her Constituents And Their Government

**Significant Findings**

✓ Steel repeatedly voted for policies that would allow county officials like herself to immediately destroy public records.

✓ In 2017, Steel voted for a policy that would allow county officials to immediately destroy any “working notes” they no longer needed.

✓ A judge ruled against the policy and said Orange County officials “are likely breaking the law.”

✓ Despite the judge’s ruling that public records must be kept for two years, in 2019 Steel voted for another policy that would allow for the immediate destruction of public records.

✓ Steel hindered Orange County residents’ ability to communicate with their government and elected officials.

✓ In her first meeting as Chairwoman of the Orange County Board of Supervisors, Steel instituted a one-minute limit on public comments – a sizeable reduction of the historical three-minute allotment.

✓ When it came time to impose the new limit, Steel was “more lenient with corporate executives than she was with county workers and homeless advocates.”

✓ The ACLU sued the Orange County Board of Supervisors over Steel’s decision to limit public comments. Steel was mentioned by name in the lawsuit.

✓ Steel opposed a voting system upgrade that could “boost turnout and save taxpayers more than $20 million” without engaging in public discussion and failed to explain her reasoning.

✓ Steel consistently voted against moves that would increase oversight and accountability in Orange County’s government.

✓ Steel opposed an Orange County campaign finance and ethics commission, calling the effort a “symbolic gesture.” Voters approved the commission with 69 percent support.

✓ Steel voted against putting the campaign finance and ethics commission on the ballot for voters to resolve.

✓ Steel voted in favor of a move to limit oversight on hiring practices for taxpayer funded government jobs.

Steel Allowed For The Destruction Of Public Records
September 2017: Steel Voted To Allow County Officials To Immediately Destroy Any “Working Notes” They No Longer Needed: A Judge Claimed County Officials “Are Likely Breaking The Law”

September 2017: Steel Voted For A Policy Allowing County Officials To Immediately Destroy Any “Working Notes” They No Longer Needed. “Orange County supervisors have a new policy that allows immediate deletion of certain county emails and text messages about official business, a move advocates say opens the door to destruction of documents the public is entitled to under open records laws. The policy, which the supervisors approved unanimously Tuesday, says officials may ‘at any time’ destroy emails and text messages they consider to be internal memos or ‘working notes’ they no longer need. […] All five supervisors – Andrew Do, Michelle Steel, Todd Spitzer, Shawn Nelson, and Lisa Bartlett – voted for the new policy. None expressed any concerns about it.” [Voice of Orange County, 9/27/17]

July 2019: A Judge Later Ruled Against The Policy And Said Orange County Officials “Are Likely Breaking The Law.” “Orange County officials likely are breaking the law with a policy allowing immediate destruction of public records and another rule banning public commenters from asking questions of county supervisors or addressing supervisors by name unless they have permission, a Superior Court judge ruled Wednesday. Judge Sheila B. Fell now plans to issue a court order banning the county from enforcing the comment restrictions and records destruction policy.” [Voice of Orange County, 7/3/19]

September 2019: Steel Voted To Allow For The Immediate Destruction Of Public Records, Despite Public Law And A Court Ruling Saying They Must Be Kept For Two Years

September 2019: Steel Voted For A Policy That Would Allow For The Immediate Destruction Of Public Records. “Orange County officials, without public discussion, approved a controversial new policy last week allowing immediate destruction of public records, despite state law and a court ruling saying they must be kept for two years. The updated rules, which supervisors approved on a 4-0 vote Sept. 10, lets officials immediately destroy records they believe are not ‘required by law or County policy to be filed and preserved,’ without imaging or copying them as state law mandates before destroying records less than two years old. […] The new policy was approved by supervisors Andrew Do, Doug Chaffee, Michelle Steel and Lisa Bartlett. Supervisor Don Wagner did not attend the meeting. Chaffee, Steel, and Bartlett didn’t return phone messages seeking comment and asking if they believe the county’s new policy is legal.” [Voice of Orange County, 9/16/19]

July 2019: A Judge Had Ruled Against A Similar County Policy Months Earlier, Citing The California Public Records Act And The Brown Act. “Orange County officials likely are breaking the law with a policy allowing immediate destruction of public records and another rule banning public commenters from asking questions of county supervisors or addressing supervisors by name unless they have permission, a Superior Court judge ruled Wednesday. Judge Sheila B. Fell now plans to issue a court order banning the county from enforcing the comment restrictions and records destruction policy.” [Voice of Orange County, 7/3/19]

Steel Hindered Orange County Residents’ Ability To Communicate With Their Government And Elected Officials

The Orange County Board Of Supervisors Was Sued By The ACLU For Instituting A Steel-Proposed One-Minute Limit On Public Comments
2017: In Her First Meeting As Chairwoman Of The Orange County Board Of Supervisors, Steel Instituted A One-Minute Limit On Public Comments

**In Her First Meeting As Chairwoman Of The Orange County Board Of Supervisors, Steel Instituted A One-Minute Limit On Public Comments.** “Supervisor Michelle Steel, in her first meeting as chairwoman of the board, instituted a one-minute limit on speakers during this Tuesday’s meeting. [...] This was the shortest speaking allotment in memory, and is the latest in a series of moves by supervisors in recent years to curtail public comments at their regular meetings, which are one of the few venues where county residents and employees have the opportunity to directly address their top elected officials.” [Voice of Orange County, 1/26/17]

**Historically, Members Of The Public Were Allotted Three Minutes To Speak.** “Historically, members of the public could speak for up to three minutes per item on the supervisors’ agenda. This was in addition to being able to speak during a general public comment period about topics not on the agenda.” [Voice of Orange County, 1/26/17]

**Steel Selectively Enforced Her Own Limit, Acting “More Lenient With Corporate Executives Than She Was With County Workers And Homeless Advocates”**

**In Imposing Her New Limit, Steel Was “More Lenient With Corporate Executives Than She Was With County Workers And Homeless Advocates.”** “But when it came time to impose the limit, she was more lenient with corporate executives than she was with county workers and homeless advocates. [...] The first several speakers were executives with aviation companies, asking for supervisors’ votes on John Wayne Airport contracts up for a decision later that day. But when they went over the one-minute mark, they were allowed several sentences further, without interruption. The president of a corporate jet services company, for example, spoke for a minute and a half before Steel politely asked him to ‘sum it up please.’ She then let him speak for another 36 seconds, giving him a total a total of about 2 minutes of speaking time. The very next speaker was Diana Corral, the workers’ union president. Steel handled her very differently. ‘Make sure that you [take] just one minute,’ Steel told her. [...] The next employee who spoke went 30 seconds over the limit and received an irritated admonishment from Steel to ‘stay in one minute.’ Later, a hospitality manager for a jet company was allowed to continue speaking without interruption after the speaker light turned red about how her company could accommodate private jet fliers by arranging ‘a VIP wine tour and limo for a group of 20.’” [Voice of Orange County, 1/26/17]

**The Orange County Board Of Supervisors Was Sued By The ACLU Over Steel’s Decision To Limit Public Comments**

**The ACLU Sued The Orange County Board Of Supervisors Over Steel’s Decision To Limit Public Comments.** “A homelessness task force is accusing the Orange County Board of Supervisors of restricting free speech at its meetings and violating California public records laws. The American Civil Liberties Union Foundation of Southern California, on behalf of the People’s Homeless Task Force, filed a lawsuit Tuesday in Santa Ana Superior Court alleging that county supervisors limit access to public documents, destroy public documents and give officials preferential treatment over members of the public, in violation of residents’ 1st Amendment rights and the Brown Act. [...] The ACLU also alleges that supervisors are more lenient when giving county officials or leaders of certain groups time to speak at meetings while consistently silencing members of the People’s Homeless Task Force, which advocates for homeless individuals and has criticized the board’s actions on homelessness. In one instance, elected officials were allowed to talk for more than the two-minute limit they were given, which was already more time than was allowed for other speakers, according to the lawsuit. [...] In another instance, a speaker representing the Dana Point Harbor revitalization project and a speaker discussing workers’ compensation for the Sheriff’s Department exceeded their time limit without being asked to stop. At the same meeting, a member of the homelessness task force, Lou Noble, was held strictly to his speaking time limit, according to the lawsuit.” [Los Angeles Times, 4/9/19]
Steel Was Mentioned By Name In The Lawsuit. “Supervisors Andrew Do and Michelle Steel, who are both mentioned by name in the suit, could not be reached for comment Tuesday afternoon.” [Orange County Register, 4/9/19]

Steel Opposed Increased Oversight And Accountability In Orange County’s Government

Steel Opposed An Orange County Campaign Finance And Ethics Commission, Calling The Effort A “Symbolic Gesture”

Steel Opposed An Orange County Campaign Finance And Ethics Commission, Calling The Effort A “Symbolic Gesture.” “A decade-long effort by a citizen watchdog Shirley Grindle to establish an Orange County political ethics commission took a major step forward Tuesday, with county supervisors spending hours modifying her team’s proposal before setting up a vote for later this month to put it on the upcoming June ballot. […] The measure is the byproduct of years of advocacy for an ethics commission by the 81-year-old Grindle, her supporters, and multiple county grand juries — all frustrated over what they say is lax enforcement of local campaign finance laws by District Attorney Tony Rackauckas. In recent years, county grand juries have twice recommended that the Board of Supervisors create an ethics commission. Both times, county supervisors rejected the suggestion. […] Supervisor Michele Steel opposed the ethics commission entirely, suggesting it won’t be doing anything that’s not currently being done. ‘It is not ethical to waste taxpayer dollars in order for you to make symbolic gestures,’ Steel told her colleagues.” [Voice of Orange County, 10/6/15]

Steel Voted Against Putting The Campaign Finance And Ethics Commission On The Ballot For Voters To Resolve. “Orange County voters in June will be asked to establish a campaign finance and ethics commission, under action taken today by the Board of Supervisors. The board voted 4-1 to put the question on the June 7 presidential primary ballot. Supervisor Michelle Steel, who cast the dissenting vote, complained about the cost of running the commission.” [City News Service, 10/20/15]

Steel Later Suggesting Including The Price Of The Commission On The Ballot. “Supervisor Michelle Steel, the only supervisor who last month voted against putting the proposal on the June 7 ballot, suggested including a price with the ballot proposal, but her colleagues instead chose to order the analysis.” [Orange County Register, 11/10/15]

Voters Approved The Ethics Commission, With 69 Percent Voting In Support

Orange County Voters Approved Establishing The County Ethics Commission With 69 Percent Support. [Orange County Registrar of Voters, 6/7/19]

[Orange County Registrar of Voters, 6/7/19]

2014: The Board Of Supervisors Was Criticized By The Orange County Grand Jury For Lacking An Independent Ethics Commission
2014: The Orange County Board Of Supervisors Was Criticized By The County Grand Jury For Lacking Independent Ethics Commission, Like Counties For Los Angeles, San Diego And San Francisco. “The Board of Supervisors has twice since 2013 rejected the creation of an independent commission. The 2014 Orange County grand jury derided the county for lacking an independent ethics commission such as those in Los Angeles, San Diego, San Francisco and other major cities.” [Orange County Register, 10/7/15]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel Supported A Proposal To Limit Oversight On Hiring Practices For Taxpayer-Funded Government Jobs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**The Proposal Stripped The County CEO Of The Ability To Approve Hiring Requests And Review Salary Requests**. “A move by newly elected Orange County officials to gain lucrative, taxpayer-funded government jobs for their offices has prompted county leaders to examine a longstanding hiring process that some fear allows political cronies undeserved access to high-paying positions. They’re called executive assistants, and they can be paid upward of $60 an hour. And now, despite an attempt by some county leaders to better monitor who can be hired, even fewer restrictions apply: the Board of Supervisors recently voted 3-2 to create four new jobs, strip the county CEO of the power to review salary requests and rid themselves of the ability to approve hiring requests.” [Orange County Register, 3/14/15]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel Voted In Favor Of The Proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Steel Voted In Favor Of The Proposal**. “And now, despite an attempt by some county leaders to better monitor who can be hired, even fewer restrictions apply: the Board of Supervisors recently voted 3-2 to create four new jobs, strip the county CEO of the power to review salary requests and rid themselves of the ability to approve hiring requests. [...] Supervisor Michelle Steel shared Do’s opposition to any attempt to influence what goes on in another elected official’s office. Chairman Todd Spitzer voted with them after they agreed to require the Human Resources department to review the performance of all executive assistants every six months. [Orange County Register, 3/14/15]
Steel Was Good For Corporations And Bad For California Families

**Significant Findings**

- While serving on the California Board of Equalization, Steel consistently supported corporations that sought to reduce and, at times, completely avoid paying their taxes.

- 2007-2014: Steel supported corporate efforts to: claim tax exemptions despite having improperly applied; assign income earned in California as income made out-of-state in order to reduce their overall tax payment; write off accounts that had become worthless for tax benefit; claim welfare exemptions despite not qualifying; and avoid tax payments on stock acquisitions.

- Steel regularly opposed policies and measures that would benefit Californians’ health.
  - In 2014 Steel argued that the Affordable Care Act was “growing the ranks of the uninsured” in California, but from 2014 to 2017 the number of uninsured Californians fell by 6 percent.
  - Steel said the Orange County Board of Supervisors “did the right thing by blocking Obamacare implementation in the county for as long as they could.”
  - Steel opposed a $1 tax hike on cigarette packs that would raise $810 million annually for cancer research and anti-smoking programs.

- Steel’s actions, both in her public and private capacity, were detrimental to California’s environment.
  - Steel held investments in several oil and gas companies that operated in and around California’s 48th congressional district and negatively impacted the state’s environment.
  - Steel objected to a fire-prevention fee for 825,488 rural property owners and called the fee “unconstitutional.” In the time since her objection, California was wracked by four of the largest wildfires in state history.
  - Steel opposed Proposition 21, a measure that would raise about $500 million per year for California’s 278 state parks by increasing vehicle license fees by $18 a year.
  - Steel opposed the California Air Resources Board’s expansion of the state’s cap-and-trade program to include gasoline and diesel fuels.

- Steel was against measures that would benefit California’s students and schools.
  - Steel opposed Proposition 30, a measure that would increase taxes to prevent cuts to music, dance, art and physical education programs in schools.
  - Steel opposed the legislature’s ending of the teacher tax credit to close the state’s budget gap.

- Steel consistently opposed measures that would improve Orange County’s infrastructure.
  - Steel opposed a per-mile tax that would raise money for California’s roads and bridges, calling the measure “Orwellian.”
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| ✓ Steel voted against a $110 million tax district that would repay the county for major infrastructure improvements. |
| ✓ Steel opposed a statewide ballot measure that would issue $7.1 billion in bonds to pay for water infrastructure. An Orange County Municipal Water District study found that without significant investment, the county would suffer regular water shortages by 2040. |
| ✓ Steel supported policies and expressed views that were harmful to marginalized communities and people of color. |
| ✓ Steel repeatedly argued against Affirmative Action policies. In 1994, Steel wrote an op-ed arguing that policies encouraging minority hiring in Los Angeles’ Firefighter Corps were “draconian” and could create “a new level of racial exclusion.” These views, in addition to her alleged support for the California Civil Rights Initiative, a “proposal to scrap state laws supporting quotas and racial preferences in hiring,” would cause her to be “forced out” of the Los Angeles Board of Airport Commissioners. |
| ✓ Steel voted against funding Orange County’s Human Relations Commission, a commission dedicated to combatting hate crimes. Steel cast her dissenting vote despite an increase in the number of reported hate crimes in Orange County over the previous year. |
| ✓ Steel sought to grant County Supervisors the power to directly control the Human Relation Council’s staff in an effort to oust a member of the commission who voted to release their annual report on hate crimes. |
| ✓ Steel was a fierce opponent of California’s Sanctuary State law. She introduced a resolution condemning the law in Orange County, voted to join the Trump administration lawsuit against California, penned several op-eds criticizing the law and appeared on Fox News with Laura Ingraham to discuss her opposition. |
| ✓ Steel voted to upgrade Orange County’s contract with ICE, adding an additional 120 beds for immigrant detainees despite an inspection revealing that immigrants housed at the facility “have been served foul, slimy lunch meat; used moldy showers; and contended with inoperable phones.” |

### Steel Was Good For Corporations

**While On The California Board Of Equalization, Steel Consistently Supported Corporations That Sought To Reduce And, At Times, Completely Avoid Paying Their Taxes**

The California Board Of Equalization Heard Individual Tax Appeals And Sought To “Serve The Public Through Fair, Effective, And Efficient Tax Administration”

The California Board Of Equalization Heard Individual Tax Appeals And Sought To “Serve The Public Through Fair, Effective, And Efficient Tax Administration.” “The BOE is committed to fulfilling its constitutional and statutory duties and is focused on our mission, which is to serve the public through fair, effective, and efficient tax administration, which supports state and local governments. […] The Board hears appeals from public utility assessments and serves a significant role in the assessment and administration of property taxes by issuing rules and regulations, establishing the tax values of railroads and specified privately-held public utilities, and overseeing the assessment practices of the state’s 58 county assessors. Public Board meetings offer taxpayers and other interested parties the opportunity to participate in the formulation of rules and regulations adopted by the
Board and to interact with the Members as they carry out their official duties. The Board meets monthly.”
[California State Board of Equalization, accessed 1/18/20]

2007: Steel Supported G.E. Capital Corporation’s Petition To Write Off Accounts That Had Become Worthless

Steel Seconded A Motion To Grant G.E. Capital Corporation’s Petition To Write Off Accounts That Had Become Worthless For Income Tax Purposes. According to the California Board of Equalization’s hearing transcripts and final actions from July 17, 2007, Steel seconded a motion to grant G.E. Capital Corporation’s petition to write off accounts that had become worthless for income tax purposes. [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts and Final Actions, 7/17/07]

2007: Steel Supported Wimatex’s Tax Exemption Claim Despite The Government’s Argument That The Company Had Improperly Applied

Steel Moved To Grant Wimatex’s Claim Despite The Government Arguing That They Had Improperly Applied For A Tax Exemption. According to the California Board of Equalization’s hearing transcripts and final actions from October 3, 2007, Steel moved to grant Wimatex’s claim despite the government arguing that they had improperly applied for a tax exemption. The government stated, ‘That is, the law provides that the Petitioner does not qualify as a new trade or business if it has acquired from other another business assets that represent more than 20 percent of the total assets of the Petitioner. This is the reason we disqualified them.’ [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts and Final Actions, 10/3/07]

2009: Steel Motioned To Grant Argonaut’s Appeal To Assign Income They Made In California As Income Made Outside Of California So That The Company Could Avoid Paying Taxes On It

Steel Motioned To Grant Argonaut’s Appeal To Assign Income They Made In California As Income Made Outside Of California So That The Company Could Avoid Paying Taxes On It. According to the California Board of Equalization’s hearing transcripts and final actions from January 21, 2009, Steel motioned to grant Argonaut’s appeal to assign income they made in California as income made outside of California so that the company could avoid paying taxes on it. The government stressed that all of the appellant’s activity took place in California – “100 percent of their property, 100 percent of their pay roll and 100 percent of their sales all occur in California, as represented on their own tax returns.” This made it all of their activities subject to California tax and no other jurisdiction. [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts and Final Actions, 1/21/09]

2010: Steel Supported An Insurance Company’s Welfare Exemption Claim Despite Government Arguments That They Did Not Qualify

Steel Motioned To Grant An Insurance Company’s Welfare Exemption Claim Despite Government Arguments That The Company Did Not Qualify For The Exemption Under The “Direct Community Benefit” Provision. According to the California Board of Equalization’s hearing transcripts and final actions from April 13, 2010, Steel motioned to grant an insurance company’s welfare exemption claim despite government arguments that the company did not qualify for the exemption under the ‘direct community benefit’ provision. Steel believed that, since the insurance company was providing non-profit organizations low insurance premiums, they were providing benefit to the community. The government noted that, definitionally, this wasn’t directly benefiting the community, and as such did not qualify. [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts and Final Actions, 4/13/10]

2010: Steel Supported Rheem Manufacturing’s Claim To Avoid Tax Payments On A Stock Acquisition

Steel Motioned To Grant Rheem Manufacturing Company’s Claim Despite Government Arguments That The Company Benefitted Financially From A Stock Acquisition. According to the California Board of
Equalization hearing transcripts and final actions from May 25, 2010, Steel motioned to grant Rheem Manufacturing Company’s claim despite government arguments that the company benefitted financially from the stock acquisition. One Board Member argued against Steel’s motion by saying, ‘And in doing so, you drive up the value of your stock as well as the stock that you ultimately sell. And, so, I mean, I – I concur with the Chair. I can clearly see that there’s an economic benefit in controlling the stock.’ [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts and Final Actions, 5/25/10]

Steel Was Bad For Californians’ Health…

In 2014, Steel Argued The Affordable Care Act Was “Growing The Ranks Of The Uninsured” In California, But From 2014 To 2017 The Number Of Uninsured Californians Fell By 6 Percent

Steel Argued That The Affordable Care Act Was “Growing The Ranks Of The Uninsured” In California. “The disastrous rollout of Obamacare has given its opponents - who the latest IBD/TIPP Poll shows to be a majority of the country - enough fodder to last for years. So far, California’s Obamacare exchange, Covered California, has received rave reviews from the Obama administration and their liberal allies across the country. But while Covered California’s website has been able to avoid many of the high-profile failures of healthcare.gov, its main effect has been the same as the federal exchange: to increase the ranks of uninsured.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 1/20/14]

OP-ED HEADLINE: “Growing The Ranks Of The Uninsured.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 1/20/14]

2008 – 2017: The Percentage Of Uninsured Californians Fell By 11 Percent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage Of Uninsured Californians</th>
<th>Number Of Uninsured Californians</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2,799,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2,841,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>3,276,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4,743,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>6,440,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>6,659,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>6,707,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>6,781,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>6,547,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>6,394,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Kaiser Family Foundation, Health Insurance Coverage of the Total Population, accessed 2/14/19]

Steel Said The Orange County Board Of Supervisors “Did The Right Thing By Blocking Obamacare Implementation In The County For As Long As They Could”

Steel Said The Orange County Board Of Supervisors “Did The Right Thing By Blocking Obamacare Implementation In The County For As Long As They Could.” “Orange County’s Board of Supervisors did the right thing by blocking Obamacare implementation in the County for as long as they could. This year, as we begin to feel the full effect of the law, we must work to support policies and policymakers who will fight for sensible, freedom-oriented solutions that will remove the burdens of Obamacare and replace them with a system that gives all of us the freedom to choose.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 1/20/14]
Steel Opposed A $1 Tax Hike On Cigarette Packs That Would Raise $810 Million Annually For Cancer Research And Anti-Smoking Programs

Proposition 29 Would Increase Taxes On Cigarettes By $1 Per Pack, Generating An Estimated $850 Million Annually For Cancer Research And Anti-Smoking Programs. “Proposition 29 would increase taxes on cigarettes by $1 per pack to fund certain research and tobacco prevention programs. The current tax per pack is 87 cents. If the proposition passes, consumers would pay $1.87 per pack in cigarette taxes. Experts estimate the measure would generate about $850 million a year in new tax revenues.” [Institute of Governmental Studies at the University of California Berkeley, accessed 10/7/19]

Steel Opposed Proposition 29, Calling The Measure “Flawed” And Urging Voters To “Just Say No”


Steel Opposed Proposition 29, Calling The Measure “Flawed” And Urging Voters To “Just Say No.” “There’s never a good time to raise taxes to support a new government spending bureaucracy. But of all times, this has to be the worst. Despite glowing rhetoric from its proponents, Proposition 29 on the June ballot is about growing government and putting billions of tax dollars in the hands of unaccountable political appointees. […] It is clearly not the time to add another layer of government and direct funding away from education and public safety toward a new unaccountable bureaucracy. But that’s exactly what Prop. 29 does. Prop. 29 is a $735 million tax increase designed to tug on voters’ heartstrings. It sets up a bureaucracy where political appointees can spend $110 million annually on land acquisition, facilities and office space, plus another $15 million on salaries, travel and consultants. […] Let’s not be fooled a third time by the promises of another ballot-box boondoggle. Prop. 29 proposes yet another unaccountable bureaucracy to spend our hard-earned money without the checks and balances to ensure that taxpayer dollars are spent properly. […] Prop. 29 might be wrapped with good intentions, but under the wrapping is just another initiative that reduces Sacramento’s accountability to taxpayers and voters. Given our current economic and budget mess, it’s clearly the wrong time to grow government and raise taxes. Prop. 29 is a flawed measure that will worsen our state budget and our economy. Just say no.” [Los Angeles Daily News, Michelle Steel, 6/1/12]

Big Tobacco Companies Spent Tens Of Millions To Defeat The Proposition. “Still, supporters called it ‘the closest ballot initiative in California history’ and pointed out that big tobacco companies, led by Philip Morris, spent almost $47 million to defeat the tax, which would have raised about $810 million a year for cancer research and antismoking programs. […] Supporters, including the American Cancer Society, blamed ‘big tobacco’s $50 million misinformation campaign,’ and said the tax could have saved more than 104,000 lives and $5 billion in long-term health care costs.” [SF Gate, 6/22/12]

...Environment...

Steel Held Investments In Several Oil And Natural Gas Corporations

Steel Held Stock In Several Oil And Natural Gas Corporations. According to her United States House of Representatives financial disclosure report, Steel held stock in 11 oil and natural gas corporations. Steel submitted her financial disclosure report in June of 2019. [United States House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Michelle Steel, filed 6/28/19]
Steel Reported Holding Interest In Oil And Gas Production Companies, Most Of Which Each “Earned Her Up To $10,000.” “Steel reported holding interest royalty in eight oil and gas production companies, most of which earned her up to $10,000. She also reported income of more than $1 million from her husband’s law firm in Seal Beach.” [Orange County Register, 4/10/15]

Steel Held Stock In Oil And Gas Corporations With Operations In And Around California’s 48th District

According to her United States House of Representatives financial disclosure report, Steel held stock interest with Maverick Resources and Phillips66. Maverick Resources had oil fields in Seal Beach, California, a municipality within California’s 48th Congressional district. steel also held royalty interest with Phillips 66, which has oil pipelines traveling throughout California and just outside the 48th district. [United States House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Michelle Steel, 6/28/19; Maverick Natural Resources, California, accessed 11/16/19; Phillips66, Pipelines, accessed 11/16/19]

Several Of The Companies Steel Held Stock In Engaged In Offshore Oil Drilling

Phillips 66 Sought Federal And State Permits To Build An Oil Export Port 20 Miles Off The Coast Of Texas. “U.S. oil refiner Phillips 66 is proposing a deepwater crude export terminal off the U.S. Gulf Coast, the company said on Wednesday, challenging at least eight other projects aiming to send U.S. shale oil to world markets. […] Phillips 66 has applied for federal and state permits to build an export port about 20 miles (32 km) off Corpus Christi, Texas, and related crude pipelines, according to documents viewed by Reuters and people familiar with the filings.” [Reuters, 6/19/19]

BP PLC Was One Of The Largest Oil Producers In The Gulf Of Mexico. “BP is one of the largest oil producers in the deepwater Gulf of Mexico. Its strategy is rooted in continued investment and exploration around four operated hubs: Atlantis, Mad Dog, Na Kika and Thunder Horse.” [BP, Where We Operate, accessed 10/16/19]

Enterprise Product Partners, The Parent Company Of Enterprise Crude Oil LLC, Signed A Deal With Chevron To Receive Support For The Development Of Enterprise’s Offshore Crude Oil Terminal. “Houston pipeline operator Enterprise Products Partners has made a final investment decision on the company’s proposed offshore crude oil export terminal following two contracts with Chevron. Enterprise announced late Tuesday afternoon that the company had signed two deals with Chevron. […] In the second deal, Chevron signed a long-term agreement to support the development of Enterprise’s Sea Port Oil Terminal, or SPOT, in the Gulf of Mexico. Still pending federal approval, the offshore crude oil export terminal is located roughly 30 miles from the Brazoria County coast where it will be able to accommodate Very Large Crude Carriers, or VLCC tankers, that can carry 2 million barrels of crude oil in a single shipment. ‘We are very pleased to announce these agreements with Chevron,’ Enterprise CEO Jim Teague said in a statement. ‘As a result, we are announcing our final investment decision for our offshore crude oil terminal, subject to government approvals.’” [Houston Chronicle, 7/30/19]

Steel Held Royalties In BP PLC, The Company Responsible For The Worst Oil Spill In United States History

Steel Held Royalties In BP PLC. According to her United States House of Representatives financial disclosure report, Steel held royalties in BP PLC. Steel submitted her financial disclosure report in June of 2019. [United States House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Michelle Steel, filed 6/28/19]

BP PLC Was Responsible For The Worst Oil Spill In United States History. “Nine years ago, BP’s Deepwater Horizon drilling rig exploded off the coast of Louisiana, causing the worst oil spill in US history. The disaster on April 20, 2010 killed 11 workers as the flaming rig sank into the Gulf of Mexico. It took nearly three months to stem the flow of oil from the ruptured undersea well. By then, four million barrels of crude had seeped into the surrounding water, endangering marine wildlife and throwing local ecosystems out of balance.” [Motherjones, 4/19/19]
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Steel Held Royalties In Occidental Petroleum, The Company Responsible For The World’s Deadliest Offshore Disaster


Occidental Petroleum Was Responsible For The World’s Deadliest Offshore Disaster. “The world’s deadliest offshore oil disaster, the Piper Alpha explosion, happened 30 years this week. One hundred sixty-seven workers perished in the fire that followed an explosion on July 6, 1988, caused by a gas leak on the North Sea oil rig, 120 miles off the northeast coast of Scotland. […] The rig was owned by Occidental Petroleum Corporation, an international oil and gas exploration and production company headquartered in Houston, Texas.” [ABC News, 7/1/18]

A Report Judged That Occidental Petroleum Had Used “Inadequate Maintenance And Safety Procedures.” “The Piper Alpha disaster which killed 167 workers on 6 July 1988 off the coast of Aberdeen is the world’s deadliest ever oil rig accident. The controversy around it was heightened when a report into the disaster by Lord Cullen judged that the operator Occidental Petroleum had used inadequate maintenance and safety procedures. He made more than 100 recommendations about how safety should be improved in the North Sea. Along with other oil companies, Occidental had massively scaled back spending as the price of oil had plunged from more than $30 per barrel to $8 in the 1980s compared to today’s level of more than $100.” [Guardian, 7/4/13]

Steel Objected To A Fire-Prevention Fee For 825,488 Rural Property Owners And Called The Fee “Unconstitutional”

Steel Objected To A Fire-Prevention Fee For 825,488 Rural Property Owners. “A week before California begins mailing notices to 825,488 rural property owners that they face paying a new fire-prevention fee, state officials are bracing for a flood of angry responses and some are predicting the charge will end up being challenged in court. The state Board of Equalization plans to begin sending out notices next week, and mail the first bills Aug. 13. Board Vice Chair Michelle Steel said this week that she objects to the fee and ‘will not be surprised to see this unconstitutional fire tax in the courts soon after bills are sent.’” [Politico, 8/1/12]

California Has Since Seen A Steady Uptick In Wildfires, With Four Of The Five Biggest Fires In The State’s History Occurring In The Years Following Steel’s Objection. According to data from the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE), four of the five biggest fires in California’s history have occurred since August 2012. These fires include the Rim Fire (August 2013), the Rush Fire (August 2012), the Thomas Fire (December 2017) and the Mendocino Complex Fire (July 2018). [California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, 8/8/19]

Steel Opposed Proposition 21, A Measure That Would Raise About $500 Million Per Year For California’s 278 State Parks By Increasing Vehicle License Fees By $18 A Year
Proposition 21 Would Raise About $500 Million Per Year In A Dedicated Fund For California’s 278 State Parks By Increasing Vehicle License Fees By $18 A Year

Steel Opposed Proposition 21, Calling The Measure A “Cynical Ploy From Sacramento”

Steel Opposed Proposition 21, Calling The Measure A “Cynical Ploy From Sacramento.” “Proposition 21 is a cynical ploy by Sacramento politicians to bring back the car tax and enable their addiction to wasteful government spending. State parks are some of California’s true jewels, and Californians agree about the importance of conserving these treasures for future generations. But a new tax is not the answer for funding our state parks. […] Let’s recommit ourselves to conserving the wonder and beauty of our great state. But first let’s reject this cynical ploy from Sacramento. Join me in voting ‘no’ on Proposition 21 in November.” [San Gabriel Valley Tribune, 8/21/10]

Steel Opposed The California Air Resources Board’s Expansion Of The State’s Cap-And-Trade Program To Include Gasoline And Diesel Fuels

The California Air Resources Board Expanded The State’s Cap-And-Trade Program To Include Gas And Diesel. “Starting on January 1, 2015, fuels, such as gasoline, diesel and natural gas, will be covered under the Cap-and-Trade Program.” [California Air Resources Board, accessed 1/23/20]

Fuels Were Included In The Cap-And-Trade Program To Achieve 2020 Greenhouse Gas Reduction Limits And Invest In Programs Funding Public Health And Quality Of Life

Fuels Were Included In The Cap-And-Trade Program To Achieve 2020 Greenhouse Gas Reduction Limits And Invest In Programs Funding Public Health And Quality Of Life. “Transportation fuels also produce 80 percent of smog-causing pollution and more than 95 percent of fine particle pollution from diesel engines. Reducing emissions from the transportation sector is critical to achieving the 2020 greenhouse gas reduction limit required by AB 32, as well as meeting ambient air quality standards and reducing localized health impacts. […] Proceeds from the sales of permits under the Cap-and-Trade Program are invested in California, funding programs statewide that improve public health, quality of life and economic opportunity.” [California Air Resources Board, accessed 10/1/19]

Steel Opposed The Tax, Stating It Would Hurt Job Creation Through Increased Fees And Regulations

Steel Opposed The Tax, Stating It Would Hurt Job Creation Through Increased Fees And Regulations. “Just when California’s economy appears to be moving forward again, our state regulators have found yet another way to extract more dollars from consumers’ pockets and send them to Sacramento. […] As a member of the Board of Equalization, I’ve seen time and time again how increasing costs through taxes, fees and regulations can hurt job creation. Already, many small businesses operate on the thinnest of margins and struggle to make payroll due to California’s high taxes and excessive regulation. An additional tax on gas will only make it more difficult for these
businesses to survive, much less expand and hire additional employees.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 8/22/14]

...Students...

Steel Opposed Proposition 30, A Measure That Would Increase Taxes To Prevent Cuts To Music, Dance, Art And Physical Education Programs In Schools

Proposition 30 Would Prevent Cuts To Music, Dance, Art And Physical Education Programs In Schools By Increasing The Sales Tax By 0.25% For Four Years And Increasing The Income Tax On Households Earning More Than $250,000 A Year

Proposition 30 Would Prevent Cuts To Music, Dance, Art And Physical Education Programs In Schools By Increasing The Sales Tax By 0.25% For Four Years And Increasing The Income Tax On Households Earning More Than $250,000 A Year. “Up to 15 additional days permanently obliterated from the school calendar. Further cuts to music, dance, art, and physical education programs. Larger classes and fewer teachers. Tuition increase for all California public universities. If Prop 30 doesn’t pass, this is what every Californian student has to look forward to. [...] See, informed voters seem to be aware of what Prop 30 will do if passed: increase sales tax by 0.25% for four years and increase income tax on households earning more than $250,000 a year.” [La Prensa San Diego, 10/5/12]

Steel Opposed Proposition 30

Steel Opposed Proposition 30. “Proposition 30, on the Nov. 6 ballot, will ask Californians to raise their own taxes by about $6.6 billion to fund California’s bloated government. Prop. 30 won’t fix our schools or stabilize the state budget; it will increase tax volatility and leave our children facing more of the same. […] Class warfare may be a good political tactic, but it is bad policy. Prop. 30 will make life for Californians more difficult across the wealth spectrum. […] The higher taxes in Prop. 30 will hurt the economy by taking more from Californians, making it more difficult to save for the future, to create jobs, or to find them. It will increase state spending without increasing student performance. And it will aggravate the revenue volatility that has plagued state budgeting for decades. Please join me in voting no on Prop. 30.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 9/25/12]

Steel Opposed The Legislature’s Ending Of The Teacher Tax Credit To Close The State’s Budget Gap

Steel Opposed The Legislature’s Ending Of The Teacher Tax Credit To Close The State’s Budget Gap. “Teachers should be reimbursed for their out-of-pocket classroom expenses, and tax credits give each teacher the greatest flexibility to decide the classroom supplies they need most. The state Legislature has the unenviable task of closing a $2 billion budget gap, but the $165 million Teacher’s Tax Credit is only milk money out of the state’s $140 billion budget.” [San Bernardino Sun, Michelle Steel 7/26/07]

...Infrastructure...

Steel Voted Against A $110 Million Tax District That Would Repay The County For Major Infrastructure Improvements

The Tax District Would Repay The County For Street Improvements, Libraries, A Fire Station, A K-8 School, And Additional Infrastructure
The Tax District Would Repay The County For Street Improvements, Libraries, A Fire Station, A K-8 School, And Additional Infrastructure. “Orange County’s biggest housing development is ready to begin the second phase of construction after county leaders on Tuesday approved a new $110 million tax district that will repay the county for huge infra-structure improvements. […] That tax revenue will repay Orange County for the development of what will basically be the county’s newest city, though all of the land is unincorporated areas of South County. It will fund street improvements, infrastructure, libraries, a fire station, a K-8 school and the extension of Cow Camp and La Pata roads.” [Orange County Register, 4/15/15]

Steel Voted Against The Tax District

Steel Voted Against The Tax District. “The Board of Supervisors’ 4-1 approval - Supervisor Michelle Steel was opposed - of the new district for the next village, Esencia, on Tuesday set a range of $4,636 to $10,234 in annual Mello-Roos taxes that most owners of the new homes will have to pay. […] But Steel doesn’t want taxpayers to assume the risk. She said when she voted against the district that the money should be obtained by increasing home prices, not taxing the residents once they buy the homes.” [Orange County Register, 4/15/15]

Steel Opposed A Per-Mile Tax That Would Raise Money For California’s Roads And Bridges, Calling The Proposal “Orwellian”

Steel Opposed A Per-Mile Tax That Would Raise Money For California’s Roads And Bridges, Calling The Proposal “Orwellian.” “Do you want the government following your car every day? Most of us don’t like that Orwellian vision, but that’s what a tax scheme brewing in the Legislature just might do. Californians don’t want a new tax on driving. […] Californians can’t trust what Sacramento will do with our tax dollars. Until we can, I urge Sacramento to park the new tax on driving and to lose the keys.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 3/10/16]

Steel Opposed A Statewide Ballot Measure That Would Issue $7.1 Billion In Bonds To Pay For Water Infrastructure.

Steel Opposed A Statewide Ballot Measure That Would Issue $7.1 Billion In Bonds To Pay For Water Infrastructure. “Steel, who said she is no fan of bonds, is against a statewide ballot measure, Proposition 1, that would issue $7.1 billion in bonds to pay for water infrastructure.” [Orange County Register, 10/28/14]

A 2016 Study Conducted By The Municipal Water District Of Orange County Found That Projected Water Supply Shortages Were Too Great To Sustain Without New Investments In The County. “A number of conclusions can be drawn from both Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the OC Study, these being: Projected water supply shortages, both in terms of likelihood and size, are too great to sustain for the MET region and Orange County without NEW investments in water supply over today’s existing supply levels. Without NEW investments, water shortages in Orange County are anticipated to occur in 8 of 10 years by year 2040.” [Municipal Water District of Orange County, Orange County Water Reliability Study, 12/1/16]

…And People Of Color


Steel Wrote That Policies Encouraging Minority Hiring In Los Angeles’ Firefighters Corps Were “Draconian” And Could Create “A New Level Of Racial Exclusion.” “Because of their skin color, ‘white,’ 5,000 applicants were prohibited from taking the firefighter exam in February. The genesis of this Draconian remedy is a 1974 consent decree between the city of Los Angeles and the Justice Department. The decree’s interim and long-range goals effectively required the Fire Department to hire 50% of its firefighters from minorities. […] Everyone recognizes the importance of encouraging minority races to get a fair opportunity to become firefighters.
But in the hands of bureaucracy, this process can become a horror show by creating a new level of racial exclusion.” [Los Angeles Times, Michelle Eun Joo Park-Steel, 4/4/94]

### Steel Repeatedly Criticized California’s Sanctuary State Law


#### April 2018: Steel Argued “Illegal Aliens” Were Provided “Privileges That American Citizens Don’t Receive – All While Endangering Innocent People.” “The law prohibits state and local law-enforcement officials from informing federal authorities when an illegal alien who has committed a crime is being released from custody. Instead of protecting American citizens, politicians in Sacramento have prioritized the safety of alien criminals. They are provided privileges that American citizens don’t receive—all while endangering innocent people.” [Wall Street Journal, Michelle Park Steel, 4/3/18]

#### January 2019: Steel Blamed California’s Sanctuary State Law For The Death Of Police Officer Ronil Singh. “In truth, all SB 54 supporters have done is put innocent lives in danger. If state elected officials truly cared about our communities, they would do everything within their power to support and protect individuals like Singh. Unfortunately, that dream was stolen from him, just as it was from many others. Perhaps because of these state policies, another family has lost a loved one, a husband and father who will never return home. The safety of our loved ones and communities remains my priority. We can no longer allow our families to be sacrificial lambs for elected officials who choose not to protect the citizens they serve and represent.” [Los Angeles Times Daily Pilot, Michelle Steel, 1/9/19]

### Steel Introduced A Resolution To Condemn California’s Sanctuary State Law

#### Steel Introduced A Resolution To Condemn California’s Sanctuary State Law. “On March 27 I introduced a resolution to condemn the state sanctuary law and to direct county counsel to take legal action. Every Orange County supervisor present voted in favor. We currently are reviewing the best ways in which to intervene in the federal government’s lawsuit against California.” [Wall Street Journal, Michelle Park Steel, 4/3/18]

### Steel Voted To Join The Trump Administration Lawsuit Against California’s Sanctuary State Law

#### Steel Voted To Join The Trump Administration Lawsuit Against California’s Sanctuary State Law. “The Orange County Board of Supervisors voted on Tuesday, March 27 to join a Trump administration lawsuit against California’s controversial sanctuary law. The board’s vote may mark the biggest maneuver yet in a nascent local movement against California’s law to protect people residing illegally in the country. The board announced its unanimous decision after discussing the matter during a closed session Tuesday. Orange County, they said, plans to join a lawsuit filed earlier this month by U.S. Attorney General Jeff Sessions that alleges three of California’s laws are unconstitutional.” [Orange County Register, 3/27/18]

#### Trump Commended Steel For Joining His Administration’s Lawsuit Against California’s Sanctuary State Law. “But I am very, very excited that Orange County actually filed a lawsuit to join Attorney General Jeff Sessions that alleges three of California’s laws are unconstitutional.” [Daily Compilation of Presidential Documents, 5/16/18]

### Los Angeles Council Members Sought To Remove Steel From Her Position On The Board Of Airport Commissioners Because Of “Her Views On Affirmative Action”
Steel Supported The California Civil Rights Initiative, Which Proposed Eliminating State Laws Enforcing Racial Preferences In Hiring

Los Angeles Council Members Sought To Remove Steel From Her Position On The Board Of Airport Commissioners Because Of “Her Views On Affirmative Action.” “Mayor Richard Riordan’s new appointee to the Los Angeles airport commission has taken her oath of office, but some City Council members want to undo her appointment because of her views on affirmative action. The council’s more liberal members have called for the removal of Michelle Park-Steel from the Board of Airport Commissioners because she has advocated rescinding state affirmative action laws.” [Bond Buyer, 9/5/95]

Steel Was Said To Have Endorsed The California Civil Rights Initiative, A “Proposal To Scrap State Laws Supporting Quotas And Racial Preferences In Hiring.” “Later in the day, even before the dust had settled on the racially charged council debate, the saga of the appointment of Michelle Park-Steel to the Airport Commission took other wild twists when it was announced -- and then denied -- that the appointee had endorsed a controversial measure that civil rights supporters say would gut affirmative action. […] Feuer pledged to introduce a motion Friday demanding that both Park-Steel and Joe Gelman -- a Riordan appointee and a proponent of a proposal to scrap state laws supporting quotas and racial preferences in hiring -- appear before the council to explain themselves. It was Gelman -- already an anathema to civil rights leaders -- who issued a press release only hours after Park-Steel was narrowly confirmed by the council. The release quoted her as saying she endorsed his initiative.” [Los Angeles Times, 9/7/95]

Steel’s Husband, Shawn Steel, Raised Funds In Support Of The California Civil Rights Initiative. “It was also revealed that her husband, attorney Shawn Steel, who is the treasurer of the California Republican Party, has also raised funds for the proposed ballot measure, called the California Civil Rights Initiative, which seeks to roll back many affirmative action programs in the state.” [Los Angeles Times, 11/8/95]

Steel Attacked An African American Commissioner, Claiming He Misrepresented Her Support For The Initiative

Steel Claimed She Had Not Read The Initiatives’ Proposal And That She Did Not Endorse It. “Apparently, the friction between the City Council office and the Mayor’s office over the affirmative action issue was initiated when Civil Service Councilman Joe Gelman had, according to Riordan, ‘improperly claimed’ that another commissioner, Park-Steel, had endorsed his Gelman’s ballot proposal called California’s civil rights initiative which attacks traditional affirmative action programs. After Park-Steel’s confirmation that there was a misunderstanding between Gelman and herself and that in fact she had never read the official proposal, Riordan announced that Park-Steel would have ‘had never done no such thing,’ (agree to Gelman’s controversial affirmative action ballot proposal) thus securing the welcomed resignation of Gelman less than a week prior to Park-Steel’s resignation last Tuesday. […] According to Councilman Nate Holden in Sept. 8 issue of The Los Angeles Times, he is convinced that Park-Steel had lied about her position on Gelman’s initiative to win appointment.” [Korea Times, 9/20/95]

Steel Said Holden Had “Exploited The Korean Community” And Was “Morally And Personally Bankrupt.” “According to Councilman Nate Holden in Sept. 8 issue of The Los Angeles Times, he is convinced that Park-Steel had lied about her position on Gelman’s initiative to win appointment. This imputation was charged back at in Park-Steel’s pointed statement which she read in the mayor’s conference room upon her announced departure. Singling out Holden, an African American whose district includes Koreatown, Park-Steel called him ‘a vengeful person who has exploited the Korean community. He is morally and personally corrupt and utterly unqualified to sit in judgment of me,’ as appeared in an article in the Sept. 8 issue of The Los Angeles Times.” [Korea Times, 9/20/95]
Steel Was “Forced Out” Of Her Position On The Board Of Airport Commissioners Over Controversy About Her Affirmative Action Views

Steel Was “Forced Out” Of Her Position On The Board Of Airport Commissioners Over Controversy About Her Affirmative Action Views. “Garcia, a former lobbyist and veteran of key commission leadership posts in two mayoral Administrations, is Riordan’s choice to replace Michelle Park-Steel, who was forced out earlier this month in controversy over her affirmative action views and her statements about them with the City Council during confirmation hearings on her reappointment. […] Recently, some council members have threatened to hold up the appointments over a clash with the Administration over affirmative action. While the clash forced the resignations of Park-Steel and Civil Service Commissioner Joe Gelman, no nominee since then has been rejected by the council.” [Los Angeles Times, 9/23/95]

Steel Voted Against Funding Orange County’s Human Relations Commission, A Commission Dedicated To Combatting Hate Crimes

Since Its Founding In 1991, The Orange County Human Relations Commission Has Worked To Combat Hate Crimes In The County

Since Its Founding In 1991, The Orange County Human Relations Commission Has Worked To Combat Hate Crimes In The County. “Han’s nonprofit organization was founded in 1991 in part to raise money and develop programs overseen by the Orange County Human Relations Commission. The council and commission works with law enforcement agencies, compile an annual hate crime report and provide mediation and violence prevention programs in partnerships with schools, corporations, cities, foundations and individuals.” [Los Angeles Times, 6/17/17]

Steel Voted Against Funding Orange County’s Human Relations Commission

Steel Voted Against Funding Orange County’s Human Relations Commission. “She picked up the phone and called the Orange County Human Relations Council to talk with Don Han, who deals with hate crimes. […] The board voted 4 to 1 last week, with Supervisor Michelle Steel as the lone dissenter, to continue funding the council for at least another year.” [Los Angeles Times, 6/17/17]

Steel Cast Her Dissenting Vote Despite An Increase In The Number Of Reported Hate Crimes In Orange County Over The Previous Year. “Meanwhile, the number of reported hate crimes increased — 50 in 2016, compared with 44 the year before — with African Americans and the LGBT population as the two most frequently targeted communities across the county, according to the groups’ newest report.” [Los Angeles Times, 6/17/17]

A Report From The Commission Found That Hate Crimes And Incidents “Spiked Following The Election Of President Donald Trump In November.” “Hate crimes and incidents spiked following the election of President Donald Trump in November, according to figures in a staff report of the Orange County Human Relations Commission released today.” [El Paso Times, 6/1/17]

Steel Sought To Grant County Supervisors The Power To Directly Control The Human Relation Commission Staff In An Effort To Oust A Member Of The Commission Who Voted To Release Their Annual Report On Hate Crimes

Steel Sought To Grant County Supervisors The Power To Directly Control The Human Relation Commission’s Staff
Steel Sought To Grant County Supervisors The Power To Directly Control The Human Relations Commission’s Staff. “Two Orange County supervisors, who have sought to end funding for the staff of a county commission tasked with reducing hate crimes and improving community relations with police, succeeded this week in granting county supervisors the ability to directly control the commission’s staff. The changes, put forward by Supervisors Michelle Steel and Andrew Do, overhaul the governing rules for the county Human Relations Commission and give supervisors the power to fire the executive director at any time, for any reason, and pick the replacement.” [Voice of Orange County, 11/2/17]

Steel’s Appointee To The Commission Voted Against Releasing An Annual Report On Hate Crimes, And Steel Was Reportedly Seeking To Replace A Member On The Commission Who Voted To Release It

Steel’s Appointee To The Commission Voted Against Releasing Their Annual Report On Hate Crimes “Additionally, Do and Steel’s appointees to the commission voted in June to not release the commission’s annual report about hate crimes. That decision was opposed by Irvine Police Chief Mike Hamel, who serves on the commission and scheduled a vote in August in which the commission voted to release the report.” [Voice of Orange County, 11/2/17]

Another Board Member Alleged That Steel Was Seeking To Oust Mike Hamel, A Member Of The Commission Who Voted To Release The Annual Report On Hate Crimes, And Replace Him With A Member Of An Influential Republican Group. “Michelle Steel has been trying to get Hamel replaced on the Human Relations Commission with Clare Venegas, the former chief executive of the influential Republican group, the Lincoln Club of Orange County, according to Spitzer, who noted Hamel is a representative of his district.” [Voice of Orange County, 11/2/17]

The Same Board Member Accused Steel Of Not Believing In The Human Relation Commission’s Mission And Interjecting In The Appointment Process Of Other Districts. “‘Supervisors are interjecting in the appointment process’ of other districts, Spitzer said. Michelle Steel objected, saying it’s city representatives who pick that position. […] Spitzer also suggested Do and Michelle Steel don’t believe in the mission of the commission, and that some supervisors have disagreed with certain stances the commissioners and their former executive director, Rusty Kennedy, took.” [Voice of Orange County, 11/2/17]

Steel Voted To Upgrade Orange County’s Contract With ICE, Adding An Additional 120 Beds For Immigrant Detainees In A Facility That Was Found To Serve Moldy Food And Provide Moldy Showers

Steel Voted To Upgrade Orange County’s Contract With ICE, Adding An Additional 120 Beds For Immigrant Detainees

Steel Voted To Upgrade Orange County’s Contract With ICE Anyways, Adding An Additional 120 Beds For Immigrant Detainees. “As the board unanimously approved the upgrade to its contract with ICE, some audience members chanted ‘shame,’ prompting Chairwoman Michelle Steel to briefly gavel the meeting so sheriff’s deputies could clear the room. Minutes later, the supervisors opted to meet privately to discussed closed-session items.” [El Paso Times, 5/9/17]

An Inspection Revealed That Immigrants Housed At Orange County’s Theo Lacy Jail “Have Been Served Foul, Slimy Lunch Meat; Used Moldy Showers; And Contended With Inoperable Phones”

An Inspection Revealed That Immigrants Housed At Orange County’s Theo Lacy Jail “Have Been Served Foul, Slimy Lunch Meat; Used Moldy Showers; And Contended With Inoperable Phones.” “The hundreds of immigrant detainees housed in Orange County’s largest detention facility have been served foul, slimy lunch meat; used moldy showers; and contended with inoperable phones, according to the findings of an internal inspection issued Wednesday. Some detainees said they had to rinse their acrid lunch meat off with water before eating it,

While Homelessness Increased And Led To Hundreds Of Deaths In Orange County, Steel Opposed Efforts To Provide Housing

**Significant Findings**

- In 2019, homelessness in Orange County was labeled a “public health crisis” by a Federal Judge. After a change in methodology, Orange County found homelessness increased 43 percent from 2017 to 2019, with coroner data indicating it was associated with hundreds of deaths.

- Steel wrote an op-ed opposing the Orange County Housing Finance Trust, a new government agency that would fund housing for homeless and low-income populations. The Housing Finance Trust “enjoyed bipartisan support from Orange County’s delegation in the state legislature, among county and city elected officials, and in the private sector.”

  - The co-author of HB 448 – the legislation that would create the Housing Finance Trust – said Steel’s op-ed was “not leadership” and chided her for fearmongering and promoting NIMBYism.

  - Governor Brown signed the legislation into law in 2018 and the Housing Finance Trust is currently spending over $11 million to fund housing for Orange County’s homeless and extremely low-income households.

- Steel was one of three Supervisors to not attend a special session on housing alternatives and options for Orange County’s homeless population, preventing the Board of Supervisors from holding a vote on the issue.

  - Months earlier, a Federal judge demanded Orange County officials find shelter for homeless populations in the County.

- Steel selectively enforced her newly instituted one-minute limit on public comments in Board of Supervisors meetings, acting “more lenient with corporate executives than she was with county workers and homeless advocates.”

  - A homelessness task force filed a lawsuit alleging the Board of Supervisors were violating public record laws and residents’ First Amendment rights. Steel was mentioned by name in the suit.

- Steel opposed the use of a state-owned facility as an emergency homeless shelter.

**Homelessness In Orange County Was A “Public Health Crisis” With 6,860 People Experiencing Homelessness, Leading To Hundreds Of Deaths Annually**

2019: Homelessness In Orange County Was Labeled A “Public Health Crisis” By A Federal Judge, “Leading To The Deaths Of Hundreds Of People Experiencing Homelessness.” “U.S. District Judge David O. Carter, after years of rapid growth in Orange County homeless deaths, issued an emergency request this week describing a ‘public health crisis in the County leading to the deaths of hundreds of people experiencing homelessness.’” [Voice of Orange County, 2/22/19]

From 2005 To 2018, The Number Of Homeless Deaths In Orange County Quadrupled, Rising From 53 To 250. “Carter, who is presiding over federal civil rights lawsuits regarding police enforcement amid OC’s shelter
shortage, laid out his concerns in a court filing Tuesday. Coroner data shows the number of homeless deaths in Orange County skyrocketed, from 53 deaths in 2005 to 164 deaths in 2015, to more than 250 deaths in 2018 – a fourfold increase.” [Voice of Orange County, 2/22/19]

2017 – 2019: After Changing Its Methodology For Counting Homelessness, Orange County’s Homeless Population Increased 43 Percent From 4,792 To 6,860. “In what may be the most accurate count of Orange County’s homeless population ever, there’s a significant uptick in the number of people without a permanent home, but the data released today, April 24, also shows more than twice as many homeless people in shelters now versus two years ago. Preliminary data from the most recent federally mandated Point in Time count found 6,860 homeless people in Orange County, with 2,899 of those – a little more than 42 percent – having some kind of emergency or transitional shelter. It seems like a big jump from the 2017 tally of 4,792 homeless people (it’s about a 43 percent increase), but Orange County officials said it would be misleading to make a direct comparison because this year’s survey was much more thorough and sophisticated than in previous years.” [Orange County Register, 5/7/19]

Steel Strongly Opposed A Bipartisan Housing Trust That Would Go On To Benefit Orange County’s Homeless And Extremely-Low Income Households

Steel Wrote An Op-Ed Opposing The Orange County Housing Finance Trust

Steel Wrote An Op-Ed Opposing The Orange County Housing Finance Trust. “Assembly Bill 448, introduced by Assemblymembers Tom Daly, D-Anaheim, and Sharon Quirk-Silva, D-Fullerton, and co-authored by State Sen. Pat Bates, R-Laguna Niguel, John Moorlach, R-Costa Mesa, and Janet Nguyen, R-Garden Grove, authorizes the creation of the Orange County Housing Finance Trust, a new government agency that would fund housing for the homeless and low income in Orange County. […] Assembly Bill 448 will not work to resolve the greater problem of the ridiculous costs of building a house in this state. In fact, it won’t do anything but approve an authority local governments already possess, and give control of the process to Sacramento. I would encourage all of our local leaders to work to effect real change in creating affordable housing for Californians instead of choosing a ‘solution’ that would only grow government and saddle us with another financial burden we can’t afford.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 7/8/19]

HEADLINE: Orange County Register: Orange County Housing Finance Trust Unnecessary At Best. [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 7/8/19]

The Orange County Housing Finance Trust Allowed Orange County And Its 34 Cities To Jointly Compete For State And Federal Funds To Help House The Local Homeless Population

The Orange County Housing Finance Trust Funded Housing For “The Homeless Population And Personals And Families Of Extremely Low, Very Low, And Low Income With The County Of Orange.” “Gov. Jerry Brown on Tuesday signed into law a bill that will allow Orange County and its 34 cities to form the Orange County Housing Finance Trust and jointly compete for state and federal funds to help house the local homeless population.” [Orange County Register, 9/11/18]

The Orange County Finance Trust “Enjoyed Bipartisan Support From Orange County’s Delegation In The State Legislature, Among County And City Elected Officials, And In The Private Sector”

The Orange County Finance Trust “Enjoyed Bipartisan Support From Orange County’s Delegation In The State Legislature, Among County And City Elected Officials, And In The Private Sector.” “The legislation, known as Assembly Bill 448, had enjoyed bipartisan support from Orange County’s delegation in the state legislature, among county and city elected officials, and in the private sector. County supervisor Michelle Steel was a notable exception.” [Orange County Register, 9/11/18]
The Co-Author Of HB 448 Said Steel’s Op-Ed Against The Legislation Was “Not Leadership” And Chided Her For Fearmongering And Promoting NIMBYism

The Co-Author Of HB 448 Said Steel’s Op-Ed Against The Legislation Was “Not Leadership” And Chided Her For Fearmongering And Promoting NIMBYism. “For all these reasons I was dismayed to read Supervisor Michelle Steel's recent column, ‘Orange County Housing Finance Trust unnecessary at best.’ She claims AB 448 ‘will take away local control, and grow the size of government, to build large scale homeless and subsidized government housing in your backyard with your hard-earned dollars.’ This is not leadership. This is classic NIMBYism and fearmongering.” [Orange County Register, 7/10/18]

September 2018: Governor Jerry Brown Signed The Bill Into Law

September 2018: Governor Jerry Brown Signed The Bill Into Law. “Gov. Jerry Brown on Tuesday, Sept. 11, signed into law a bill that will allow Orange County and its 34 cities to form the Orange County Housing Finance Trust and jointly compete for state and federal funds to help house the local homeless population.” [Orange County Register, 9/11/18]

2020: The Orange County Housing Finance Trust Was In The Process Of Spending Over $11 Million To Fund Housing For Orange County’s Homeless And Extremely Low-Income Households

2020: The Orange County Housing Finance Trust Was In The Process Of Spending Over $11 Million To Fund Housing For Orange County’s Homeless And Extremely Low-Income Households. “This 2020 Permanent Supportive Housing Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) announces the availability of eleven million, four-hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($11,450,000) in Orange County Housing Finance Trust (OCHFT) funds to promote the new construction or acquisition/rehabilitation of Permanent Supportive Housing affordable for Orange County’s extremely low-income households who are homeless by providing below market rate financing.” [Orange County Housing Finance Trust, accessed 3/9/20]

Steel Prevented The Board Of Supervisors From Holding A Vote On Housing Alternatives Amid A Court Order To Find Shelter For The Homeless

Steel Was One Of Three Supervisors To Not Attend A Special Session On Housing Alternatives And Options For Orange County’s Homeless Population

Steel Was One Of Three Supervisors To Not Attend A Special Session On Housing Alternatives And Options For Orange County’s Homeless Population. “Do called for a special meeting Monday to have supervisors discuss and provide direction to staff about housing alternatives and transitional options for the county’s homeless population. Do and Vice Chairman Shawn Nelson were the only board members who attended; Supervisors Michelle Steel, Todd Spitzer and Lisa Bartlett were absent.” [Los Angeles Times, 4/3/18]

Steel’s Office Claimed She Couldn’t Attend The Session Because She Had “A Previous Commitment.”

Steel’s Office Claimed She Couldn’t Attend The Session Because She Had “A Previous Commitment.” [Los Angeles Times, 4/3/18]

Steel’s Absence Helped Prevent The Board Of Supervisors From Holding A Vote On The Issue

Steel’s Absence Helped Prevent The Board Of Supervisors From Holding A Vote On The Issue. “California’s open-meetings law prohibits government panels from taking action without a majority of members present, so no vote was taken. ‘It’s not easy to have these conversations,’ Nelson said. ‘No one wants to be a participant.’” [Los Angeles Times, 4/3/18]
Months Earlier, A Federal Judge Demanded Orange County Officials Find Shelter For Homeless Populations In The County

“A federal judge in California is fed up with how officials in affluent Orange County treat homeless people, and on Tuesday he demanded that they work to find shelter for dozens living in encampments along the Santa Ana River. ‘I’m tired of the paperwork and the ‘we can’t get it done’ nonsense,’ District Court Judge David Carter told attorneys in a lawsuit filed by a group of homeless people and a local anti-poverty nonprofit against the county and several cities. ‘I’m looking for solutions.’” [Mother Jones, 2/14/18]

A Recent Study Found That Homelessness In North Orange County Was Significantly Higher Than The Previous Official Estimate

“As Orange County squabbles over how to address homelessness, a previously unreleased count found the number of people living on the streets of north Orange County is nearly 60 percent higher than the last official estimate in 2017. Anaheim, the largest city in north Orange County, has twice the homeless population estimated in that 2017 count.” [LAist, 3/6/19]

Steel Selectively Enforced Her Newly Instituted One-Minute Limit On Public Comments, Cracking Down On Homelessness Advocates In Meetings

“Supervisor Michelle Steel, in her first meeting as chairwoman of the board, instituted a one-minute limit on speakers during this Tuesday’s meeting. [...] This was the shortest speaking allotment in memory, and is the latest in a series of moves by supervisors in recent years to curtail public comments at their regular meetings, which are one of the few venues where county residents and employees have the opportunity to directly address their top elected officials.” [Voice of Orange County, 1/26/17]

Voice Of Orange County: “When It Came Time To Impose The Limit, [Steel] Was More Lenient With Corporate Executives Than She Was With County Workers And Homeless Advocates”

“‘But when it came time to impose the limit, she was more lenient with corporate executives than she was with county workers and homeless advocates. [...] The first several speakers were executives with aviation companies, asking for supervisors’ votes on John Wayne Airport contracts up for a decision later that day. But when they went over the one-minute mark, they were allowed several sentences further, without interruption. The president of a corporate jet services company, for example, spoke for a minute and a half before Steel politely asked him to ‘sum it up please.’ She then let him speak for another 36 seconds, giving him a total a total of about 2 minutes of speaking time. The very next speaker was Diana Corral, the workers’ union president. Steel handled her very differently. ‘Make sure that you [take] just one minute,’ Steel told her. [...] The next employee who spoke went 30 seconds over the limit and received an irritated admonishment from Steel to ‘stay in one minute.’ Later, a hospitality manager for a jet
company was allowed to continue speaking without interruption after the speaker light turned red about how her company could accommodate private jet fliers by arranging ‘a VIP wine tour and limo for a group of 20.’” [Voice of Orange County, 1/26/17]

When A Homelessness Advocate Deliberately Broke Steel’s One-Minute Limit, She Responded By Turning Off His Microphone And Ordering Deputies To Clear The Board Room

When A Homelessness Advocate Deliberately Broke Steel’s One-Minute Limit, She Responded By Turning Off His Microphone And Ordering Deputies To Clear The Board Room. “Homeless activist Muhammad Ali deliberately broke the rules and refused to leave the podium, claiming he wanted to take 30 minutes to deliver his message at Tuesday’s meeting. […] Then, referring to a rule against directing comments to an individual supervisor, Ali said, ‘I don't like your rules. I'm going to be addressing you all by name... and I'm going to give myself 30 minutes. I'm going to be talking about homeless children for the next 30 minutes. You'll have to arrest me and drive me from this building.’ Orange County Board Chairwoman Michelle Steel turned off Ali's microphone and ordered deputies to clear the board room moments later. ‘My mic is off but I'm going to yell,’ Ali said.” [MyNewsLA, 4/12/17]

A Homelessness Task Force Filed A Lawsuit Alleging The Board Of Supervisors Were Violating Public Records Laws And Residents’ First Amendment Rights

A Homelessness Task Force Filed A Lawsuit Alleging The Board Of Supervisors Were Violating Public Records Laws And Residents’ First Amendment Rights. “A homelessness task force is accusing the Orange County Board of Supervisors of restricting free speech at its meetings and violating California public records laws. The American Civil Liberties Union Foundation of Southern California, on behalf of the People’s Homeless Task Force, filed a lawsuit Tuesday in Santa Ana Superior Court alleging that county supervisors limit access to public documents, destroy public documents and give officials preferential treatment over members of the public, in violation of residents’ 1st Amendment rights and the Brown Act.” [Los Angeles Times, 4/9/19]

Steel Was Mentioned By Name In The Lawsuit. “Supervisors Andrew Do and Michelle Steel, who are both mentioned by name in the suit, could not be reached for comment Tuesday afternoon.” [Orange County Register, 4/9/19]

Steel Opposed The Use Of A State-Owned Facility As An Emergency Homeless Shelter

Local Officials Were Considering Fairview Developmental Center As A Potential Emergency Homeless Shelter

Local Officials Were Considering Fairview Developmental Center As A Potential Emergency Homeless Shelter. “On Friday, Orange County Supervisor Shawn Nelson issued a news release announcing that he and state Sen. John Moorlach (R-Costa Mesa) were looking into the potential for using the state-owned Fairview site as an emergency homeless shelter. The release also raised the possibility of ‘centralizing temporary housing and basic services for the homeless’ at the developmental center, which opened in 1959. It provides services and housing to 133 people with intellectual and developmental disabilities, according to the California Department of Developmental Services.” [Los Angeles Times, 3/30/18]

Fairview Developmental Center Was In Costa Mesa, A City Within Steel’s District On The Board Of Supervisors. “A proposal made this week by Orange County Supervisor Shawn Nelson to build a temporary homeless shelter in Huntington Beach is being met with resistance by city officials and Chairwoman Michelle Steel, whose 2nd District includes Huntington. [Daily Pilot, 5/11/17]
Steel Opposed The Use Of Fairview Developmental Center As A Potential Emergency Homeless Shelter.

“Kim also conveyed a message to the council from county Supervisor Michelle Steel -- whose district includes Costa Mesa -- that ‘her office absolutely does not support the use of Fairview for [a] homeless shelter’ and that ‘the long-term use of that site should be discussed and worked out in collaboration with the city.’” [Los Angeles Times, 3/30/18]
Key Visuals

Video

2016: Steel Delivered The Pledge Of Allegiance At Donald Trump’s Campaign Rally In Anaheim

2016: Steel Delivered The Pledge Of Allegiance At Donald Trump’s Campaign Rally In Anaheim. Michelle Steel delivered the pledge of allegiance at Donald Trump’s campaign rally in Anaheim on 5/25/16. [YouTube, 5/25/16]

2018: Steel Discussed Her Opposition To California’s Sanctuary City Laws On Laura Ingraham’s Fox News Show

2018: Steel Discussed Her Opposition To California’s Sanctuary City Laws On Laura Ingraham’s Fox News Show. “The Orange County Board of Supervisors voted to condemn California’s sanctuary law; supervisor Michelle Steel explains on ‘The Ingraham Angle.’” [YouTube, 3/28/18]
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2016: Steel Delivered The Pledge Of Allegiance At Donald Trump’s Campaign Rally In Anaheim

2016: Steel Delivered The Pledge Of Allegiance At Donald Trump’s Campaign Rally In Anaheim

[YouTube, 5/25/16]

2018: Michelle And Shawn Steel Posed With Donald Trump

2018: Michelle And Shawn Steel Posed With Donald Trump

[Costa Mesa Democrats, 5/7/18]
2018: Michelle And Shawn Steel Greeted Trump At LAX When He Visited California To See Border Wall Prototypes

[Costa Mesa Democrats, 5/7/18]

2018: Steel Attended An Immigration And Sanctuary Cities Roundtable With Trump

[Rafu Shimpo, 5/18/18]

[Press-Enterprise, 5/16/18]

2018: Steel Discussed Her Opposition To California’s Sanctuary City Laws On Laura Ingraham’s Fox News Show
[YouTube, 3/28/18]
Personal & Professional History
This section provides background information on Steel’s personal life, including education, personal finances, criminal and civil record, and other areas. Searches were conducted with various local media in Orange County, media outlets including the Orange County Register, OC Weekly, Los Angeles Times, Voice of Orange County, as well as a number of other online resources, including Lexis-Nexis.

**Birth Date**

Michelle Eunjoo Park Steel Was Born On June 12, 1955 in Seoul, South Korea

Steel Immigrated To The United States In 1975

Steel Was Born In Korea, Raised In Japan, And Came To The United States To Go To College

**Education**

Steel Held A Bachelor’s Degree In Business From Pepperdine University And An Executive MBA From The University Of Southern California

**Career**

The following provides a brief overview of Steel’s professional career:
Political
- 2018: Member, CalOptima Board of Directors
- 2015: Orange County Board of Supervisors
- 2007: California Board of Equalization
- 1995: Los Angeles County’s Commission For Children And Families
- 1995: Los Angeles Board Of Airport Commissioners

Professional
- 2004: Fundraiser for the Presidential Campaign of George W. Bush
- 1995: Comptroller at the Law Offices of Shawn Steel

Criminal Record
Steel Had No Criminal Or Traffic Records

Steel Had No Criminal Or Traffic Records. As of November 2019, Steel was not associated with any criminal or traffic violations. [Nexis Comprehensive Person Report, accessed 11/4/19; Nexis Criminal Records Search, accessed 11/4/19]

Judgments Or Liens
Steel Was Associated With A $3,000 Small Claims Judgment

Steel Was Associated With A $3,000 Small Claims Judgment. As of November 2019, Steel was associated with a $3,000 small claims judgment in 2005 in LA County. The claim was released on May 1, 2006. [Nexis Comprehensive Person Report, accessed 11/4/19; Nexis Bankruptcy, Judgments & Liens Search, accessed 11/4/19]

Bankruptcy Filings
Steel Had No Bankruptcy Filings


Voter Registration & History
According to her voter history records, Steel’s voting history is depicted below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Primary</th>
<th>Muni Primary</th>
<th>Muni Runoff</th>
<th>Municipal</th>
<th>Pres Primary</th>
<th>Special</th>
<th>Special Primary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Michelle Steel Voter History, Orange County Board of Elections, accessed 11/6/19]
In 2019 Steel had an estimated net worth of between $4,806,019.72 and $4,899,557.72.

According to Steel’s federal personal financial disclosures, her 2019 annual unearned income was between $61,762 and $155,300. Steel also earned $552,998.72 in salary from the California Board of Equalization, Orange County Board of Supervisors, Orange County Transportation District, Orange County Sanitation District, and the Shawn Steel Law Firm. Steel’s assets totaled between $4,806,019.72 and $4,899,557.72. Steel had no liabilities.

NOTE: For detailed descriptions of Steel’s personal financial disclosures by year, see Appendix I – Personal Financial Disclosures.

Steel’s Federal Personal Financial Disclosure Summary

NOTE: For detailed descriptions of Steel’s personal financial disclosures by year, see Appendix I – Personal Financial Disclosures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel Assets And “Unearned” Income</th>
<th>YEAR-END VALUE</th>
<th>TYPE OF INCOME</th>
<th>AMOUNT OF INCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP DC JT</td>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>MIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT ARIZONA HEALTH FACS 5%21</td>
<td>$50,001</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>INTEREST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT ARTISAN INTL FUND ADV</td>
<td>$15,001</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>CAPITAL GAINS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT AXA EQUITABLE HOLDINGS</td>
<td>$50,001</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP BAIRD CORE PLUS BD INST</td>
<td>$15,001</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>TAX-DEFERRED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP BANK OF HOPE ACCOUNTS</td>
<td>$500,001</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>INTEREST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP BP PLC</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td>ROYALTY</td>
<td>$201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT BREITBURN OPERATING LP</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td>ROYALTY</td>
<td>$201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td>TAX-DEFERRED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT BOARD OF</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td>TAX-DEFERRED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT EQUALIZATION -</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td>TAX-DEFERRED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT EMPLOYEE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td>TAX-DEFERRED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT BOARD OF</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td>TAX-DEFERRED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT EQUALIZATION -</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td>TAX-DEFERRED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT MEMBER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT CBB ACCOUNT</td>
<td>$500,001</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>INTEREST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT COUNTY OF ORANGE -</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td>TAX-DEFERRED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT MEMBER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT DOUBLELINE TOTAL RETURN</td>
<td>$15,001</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>TAX-DEFERRED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT ENERGY NORTHWEST WA 5%21</td>
<td>$50,001</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>INTEREST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT ENTERPRISE CRUDE OIL</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td>ROYALTY</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Account Name</td>
<td>Value 1</td>
<td>Value 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Fidelity Small Cap</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Fidelity Small Cap Value</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>Florida St Board Ed 5xxx</td>
<td>$50,001</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>Glenmeade Large Cap Gwth</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>Glenmeade Large Cap Gwth</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Glenmeade Large Cap Gwth Adv: GTLLX</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>Harbor International</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>Harbor International</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>Invesco FTSE RAFI US 1500 Small-Mid ETF (PRFZ)</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>Invesco S&amp;P 500 Equal Weight ETF (RSP)</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>Invesco S&amp;P 500 Equal Weight ETF (RSP)</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>Invesco S&amp;P 500 Equal Weight Financial ETF (RYF)</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>Invesco S&amp;P 500 Equal Weight Financial ETF (RYF)</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>Invesco S&amp;P Emerging Markets Low Volatility ETF (EELV)</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>Invesco S&amp;P Emerging Markets Low Volatility ETF (EELV)</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Invesco S&amp;P Emerging Markets Low Volatility ETF (EELV)</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>Invesco S&amp;P Smallcap Low Volatility ETF (XSLV)</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>Iowa Fin Auth Rev 5%23</td>
<td>$50,001</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>iShares Core S&amp;P U.S. Growth ETF (IUSG)</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Value1</td>
<td>Value2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>ISHARES EDGE MSCI MIN VOL EAFE ETF (EFAV)</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>ISHARES MSCI EAFE SMALL-CAP ETF</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>JANUS HENDERSON</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>JANUS HENDERSON ENTERPRISE FD I</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>JANUS HENDERSON GLBL</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>JANUS HENDERSON GLBL</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>JANUS HENDERSON GLBL</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>JANUS HENDERSON GLBL EQTY INCM I</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>JANUS HENDERSON GLBL LIFE SCIENCES I</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>KLEIN TEXAS INDEPENDENT 5%22</td>
<td>$50,001</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>KS ST DEPT TRANS 5%24</td>
<td>$50,001</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>LARIO OIL &amp; GAS</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>LAUDUS INTERNATIONAL MARKETMASTERS FD</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>LEGACY RESERVES</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>LORD ABBETT SHORT DUR</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>MARYLAND ST DEPT TR 4%19</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>MASSACHUSETTS ST 5%25</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>MATERIALS SELECT SECTOR SPDR (XLB)</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>MFS INTL VALUE CL I</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>MFS INTL VALUE CL I</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>NEW HANOVER COUNT 4.5XXX</td>
<td>$15,001</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>NM FA ST TRANS 5%23</td>
<td>$50,001</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>NORTH TEXAS TWY AUT 5%19</td>
<td>$50,001</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>NYC NY TRAN AUTH 5%19</td>
<td>$50,001</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>OCCIDENTAL PERMIAN</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>OHIO ST 5%19</td>
<td>$50,001</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>OPPENHEIMER DEVELOPING</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>OPPENHEIMER DEVELOPING</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>OPPENHEIMER INTL SMALL</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>OR ST TRANS HWY TAX 5%20</td>
<td>$50,001</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>OREGON HEALTH SCIEN 5%20</td>
<td>$50,001</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>OXY USA, INC.</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td>ROYALTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>PENNSYLVANIA ECONOM 5%19</td>
<td>$15,001</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>PHILLIPS 66</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td>ROYALTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>PIMCO INCM INST CL</td>
<td>$15,001</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>REAL ESTATE SELECT SECTOR SPDR FUND (XLRE)</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>RIVIERA OPERATING, LLC</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td>ROYALTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>SALT RIVER PROJECT 5%22</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>SCH CA MUNI MONEY FD</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SCHWAB FUNDAMENTAL US</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SCHWAB FUNDAMENTAL US LARGE CO INDEX:SFLNX</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>SCHWAB INTERNATIONAL EQUITY ETF (SCHF)</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>SCHWAB U.S. SMALL-CAP ETF (SCHX)</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>SCHWAB U.S. SMALL-CAP ETF (SCHA)</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>SCOUNT ENERGY MANAGEMENT</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td>ROYALTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SPDR S&amp;P 500 (SPY)</td>
<td>$15,001</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SPDR SELECT SECTOR FUND - CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY (XLY)</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>SPDR SELECT SECTOR FUND - CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY (XLY)</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SPDR SELECT SECTOR FUND - CONSUMER STAPLES (XLP)</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SPDR SELECT SECTOR FUND - ENERGY SELECT SECTOR (XLE)</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>JT SPDR SELECT SECTOR FUND - ENERGY SELECT SECTOR (XLE)</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>JT SPDR SELECT SECTOR FUND - FINANCIAL (XLF)</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SP SPDR SELECT SECTOR FUND - FINANCIAL (XLF)</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SP SPDR SELECT SECTOR FUND - INDUSTRIAL (XLI)</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>JT SPDR SELECT SECTOR FUND - INDUSTRIAL (XLI)</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SP SPDR SELECT SECTOR FUND - TECHNOLOGY (XLK)</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>JT SPDR SERIES TRUST SPDR S&amp;P OIL &amp; GAS EQUIPMENT &amp; SERVICES ETF (XES)</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>JT TEXLAND PETROLEUM, LP</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td>ROYALTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>JT UNIV CO ENTP SYS</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>JT VANGUARD EQUITY INCOME</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>JT VANGUARD EQUITY INCOME</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>JT VANGUARD GLOBAL EX-U.S. REAL ESTATE ETF (VNQI)</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SP VANGUARD GLOBAL EX-U.S. REAL ESTATE ETF (VNQI)</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SP VANGUARD INFLATION</td>
<td>$15,001</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>JT VANGUARD INFORMATION TECH ETF (VGT)</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>JT VANGUARD INFORMATION TECH ETF (VGT)</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SP VANGUARD INTERM TERM</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SP VANGUARD INTERM TERM INVESTMENT GRADE ADMIRALSHARE: VFIDX</td>
<td>$15,001</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>JT VANGUARD INTL GROWTH FD</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>VANGUARD SELECTED VALUE</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>VANGUARD SHORT TERM</td>
<td>$15,001</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT</td>
<td>WISCONSIN STATE AAA 5%22</td>
<td>$50,001</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>WISDOMTREE INTERNATIONAL SMALLCAP FUND (DLS)</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,140,084</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,029,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[United States House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Michelle Steel, filed 6/28/19]

### Steel Earned Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>AMOUNT (CURRENT YEAR TO FILING)</th>
<th>AMOUNT (PRECEDING YEAR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHAWN STEEL LAW FIRM</td>
<td>SPOUSE SALARY</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE OF CALIFORNIA BOARD OF EQUALIZATION - MEMBER</td>
<td>PENSION</td>
<td>$19,605.23</td>
<td>$65,717.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE OF CALIFORNIA BOARD OF EQUALIZATION - EMPLOYEE</td>
<td>PENISON</td>
<td>$539.24</td>
<td>$1,606.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORANGE COUNTY TRANSPORATION AUTHORITY</td>
<td>MEMBER STIPEND</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$4,070.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORANGE COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT</td>
<td>MEMBER STIPEND</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$2,676.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS</td>
<td>MEMBER SALARY</td>
<td>$153,928.39</td>
<td>$153,928.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHAWN STEEL LAW FIRM</td>
<td>SALARY</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$325,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$552,998.72</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[United States House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Michelle Steel, filed 6/28/19]

### Steel PFD Toflines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Earned Income</th>
<th>Asset Value</th>
<th>Unearned Income</th>
<th>Transactions</th>
<th>Liabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>MIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$552,998.72</td>
<td>$2,140,084</td>
<td>$5,029,000</td>
<td>$61,762</td>
<td>$155,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$330,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$1,050,000</td>
<td>$3,503</td>
<td>$31,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$1,050,000</td>
<td>$7,006</td>
<td>$62,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$310,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$2,050,000</td>
<td>$17,006</td>
<td>$162,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$380,000</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>$2,170,000</td>
<td>$16,504</td>
<td>$145,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[[United States House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Michelle Steel, filed 6/28/19; Steel 2015 Statement of Economic Interests, filed 4/1/16; Steel 2014 Statement of Economic Interests, filed 2/2/15; Steel 2013 Statement of Economic Interests, filed 2/25/14; Steel 2012 Statement of Economic Interests, filed 2/10/13]

**NOTE:** Steel’s Personal Financial Disclosure forms from 2016-18 are not available on the California Fair Political Practices Commission’s online database. We have reached out and requested these forms from the Orange County Registrar of Voters.
Taxpayer Funded Salaries

2007-2018: Steel Earned $1,705,971.41 In Taxpayer Funded Salary As A Member Of Orange County’s Board Of Supervisors And California’s Board Of Equalization

2015-2018: Steel Earned $608,678.41 In Salary As A Member Of Orange County’s Board Of Supervisors

When Steel first took office as a member of the Orange County Board of Supervisors, she earned $146,016.32 as a member’s salary.

In 2018, Steel earned a Member’s salary of $153,928.39, an increase of $7,912.07.

Over her four years on the Orange County Board of Supervisors, Steel had earned a total of $608,678.41 in taxpayer-funded salary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Pay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$153,928.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$156,507.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$152,225.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$146,016.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$608,678.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[United States House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Michelle Steel, accessed 11/12/19; Transparent California Public Salary Search, accessed 11/12/19]

NOTE: Total Pay data from Transparent California details public pay by position and is compiled through public records requests.

2007-2014: Steel Earned $1,097,293 In Salary As A Member Of California’s Board Of Equalization

When Steel first took office as a member of the Board of Equalization, she earned $159,134 as a Member’s salary.

In 2014, Steel earned a Member’s salary of $133,100, a decrease of $26,034.

Over her six years on the Board of Equalization, Steel had earned a total of $1,097,293 in taxpayer-funded salary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Pay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$133,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$130,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$123,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$130,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$130,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$130,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$159,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$159,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$1,097,293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[California Citizens Compensation Commission, accessed 10/17/19]

2018: Steel Received $67,323.61 Per Year From California In Taxpayer Funded Pensions

2018: Steel Received $67,323.61 Per Year From California In Taxpayer Funded Pensions. According to her House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Steel received $67,323.61 per year in taxpayer funded
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Pensions from her time with the California Board of Equalization. Steel received $65,717.17 from her capacity as a member of the board and $1,606.44 from her capacity as an employee. [House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Michelle Steel, accessed 11/12/19]

While Campaigning, Steel Said She Would Be Willing To Forego The Public Employee Pension Awarded To Supervisors

Steel Said She Would Be Willing To Forego The Public Employee Pension Awarded To Supervisors. “If elected, Daily Pilot Editor John Canalis asked, ‘Would you be willing to forego your pension?’ Supervisors can opt out of taking a public employee pension -- a symbolic move, given the tense climate surrounding the board’s contract negotiations with county employee unions. Candidate Michelle Steel, a Republican state Board of Equalization member who leads the pack in fundraising by a wide margin, answered in one word: ‘Yes.’” [Los Angeles Times, 4/30/14]

Oil And Gas Investments

Steel Held Investments In Several Oil And Natural Gas Corporations

Steel Held Stock In Several Oil And Natural Gas Corporations. According to her United States House of Representatives financial disclosure report, Steel held stock in 11 oil and natural gas corporations. Steel submitted her financial disclosure report in June of 2019. [United States House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Michelle Steel, filed 6/28/19]

Steel Reported Holding Interest In Oil And Gas Production Companies, Most Of Which Each “Earned Her Up To $10,000.” “Steel reported holding interest royalty in eight oil and gas production companies, most of which earned her up to $10,000. She also reported income of more than $1 million from her husband’s law firm in Seal Beach.” [Orange County Register, 4/10/15]

Steel Held Stock In Oil And Gas Corporations With Operations In And Around California’s 48th District

Steel Held Stock In Oil And Gas Corporations With Operations In And Around California’s 48th District. According to her United States House of Representatives financial disclosure report, Steel held stock interest with Maverick Resources and Phillips66. Maverick Resources had oil fields in Seal Beach, California, a municipality within California’s 48th Congressional district. Steel also held royalty interest with Phillips 66, which has oil pipelines traveling throughout California and just outside the 48th district. [United States House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Michelle Steel, 6/28/19; Maverick Natural Resources, California, accessed 11/16/19; Phillips66, Pipelines, accessed 11/16/19]

Several Of The Companies Steel Held Stock In Engaged In Offshore Oil Drilling

Phillips 66 Sought Federal And State Permits To Build An Oil Export Port 20 Miles Off The Coast Of Texas. “U.S. oil refiner Phillips 66 is proposing a deepwater crude export terminal off the U.S. Gulf Coast, the company said on Wednesday, challenging at least eight other projects aiming to send U.S. shale oil to world markets. […] Phillips 66 has applied for federal and state permits to build an export port about 20 miles (32 km) off Corpus Christi, Texas, and related crude pipelines, according to documents viewed by Reuters and people familiar with the filings.” [Reuters, 6/19/19]

According To Her 2019 U.S. House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Steel Earned Between $201 And $1,000 In Royalty Income From Phillips 66. According to her 2019 United States House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Steel earned between $201 and $1,000 in royalty income from

**BP PLC Was One Of The Largest Oil Producers In The Gulf Of Mexico.** “BP is one of the largest oil producers in the deepwater Gulf of Mexico. Its strategy is rooted in continued investment and exploration around four operated hubs: Atlantis, Mad Dog, Na Kika and Thunder Horse.” [BP, Where We Operate, accessed 10/16/19]

**According To Her 2019 U.S. House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Steel Earned Between $201 And $1,000 In Royalty Income From BP PLC.** According to her 2019 United States House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Steel earned between $201 and $1,000 in royalty income from BP PLC. The report covered Steel’s financial interests from January 1, 2018 to May 31, 2019. [United States House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Michelle Steel, filed 6/28/19]

**Enterprise Product Partners, The Parent Company Of Enterprise Crude Oil LLC, Signed A Deal With Chevron To Receive Support For The Development Of Enterprise’s Offshore Crude Oil Terminal.** “Houston pipeline operator Enterprise Products Partners has made a final investment decision on the company’s proposed offshore crude oil export terminal following two contracts with Chevron. Enterprise announced late Tuesday afternoon that the company had signed two deals with Chevron. […] In the second deal, Chevron signed a long-term agreement to support the development of Enterprise’s Sea Port Oil Terminal, or SPOT, in the Gulf of Mexico. Still pending federal approval, the offshore crude oil export terminal is located roughly 30 miles from the Brazoria County coast where it will be able to accommodate Very Large Crude Carriers, or VLCC tankers, that can carry 2 million barrels of crude oil in a single shipment. ‘We are very pleased to announce these agreements with Chevron,’ Enterprise CEO Jim Teague said in a statement. ‘As a result, we are announcing our final investment decision for our offshore crude oil terminal, subject to government approvals.’” [Houston Chronicle, 7/30/19]


**Steel Held Royalties In BP PLC, The Company Responsible For The Worst Oil Spill In United States History**

**Steel Held Royalties In BP PLC.** According to her United States House of Representatives financial disclosure report, Steel held royalties in BP PLC. Steel submitted her financial disclosure report in June of 2019. [United States House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Report, Michelle Steel, filed 6/28/19]

**BP PLC Was Responsible For The Worst Oil Spill In United States History.** “Nine years ago, BP’s Deepwater Horizon drilling rig exploded off the coast of Louisiana, causing the worst oil spill in US history. The disaster on April 20, 2010 killed 11 workers as the flaming rig sank into the Gulf of Mexico. It took nearly three months to stem the flow of oil from the ruptured undersea well. By then, four million barrels of crude had seeped into the surrounding water, endangering marine wildlife and throwing local ecosystems out of balance.” [Mother Jones, 4/19/19]

**An Assessment By The National Oceanic And Atmospheric Administration Found That The BP Oil Spill Killed Up To 167,600 Turtles And Contributed To The Largest And Longest Dolphin Die-Off In The Gulf Of Mexico’s History.** “And for long-lived marine animal populations, the oil spill has been deadly. Up to 167,600 turtles from five endangered species were estimated to have been killed as a result of the spill, according to an assessment by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. The contamination contributed to the Gulf of Mexico’s largest and longest dolphin die-off, and research points to lasting reproductive issues among the region’s population.” [Mother Jones, 4/19/19]
Steel Held Royalties In Occidental Petroleum, The Company Responsible For The World’s Deadliest Offshore Disaster


Occidental Petroleum Was Responsible For The World’s Deadliest Offshore Disaster. “The world’s deadliest offshore oil disaster, the Piper Alpha explosion, happened 30 years this week. One hundred sixty-seven workers perished in the fire that followed an explosion on July 6, 1988, caused by a gas leak on the North Sea oil rig, 120 miles off the northeast coast of Scotland. […] The rig was owned by Occidental Petroleum Corporation, an international oil and gas exploration and production company headquartered in Houston, Texas.” [ABC News, 7/1/18]

A Report Judged That Occidental Petroleum Had Used “Inadequate Maintenance And Safety Procedures.” “The Piper Alpha disaster which killed 167 workers on 6 July 1988 off the coast of Aberdeen is the world’s deadliest ever oil rig accident. The controversy around it was heightened when a report into the disaster by Lord Cullen judged that the operator Occidental Petroleum had used inadequate maintenance and safety procedures. He made more than 100 recommendations about how safety should be improved in the North Sea. Along with other oil companies, Occidental had massively scaled back spending as the price of oil had plunged from more than $30 per barrel to $8 in the 1980s compared to today’s level of more than $100.” [Guardian, 7/4/13]
Political Career

This section provides an overview of Steel’s political career, from 1993 to 2019.

### Significant Findings

- **Steel claimed she was not a career politician, yet has spent the last 15 years seeking or in elected office.**
- **Steel has won every election in which she’s ran, including two successful bids for California Board of Equalization and two successful bids for Orange County Board of Supervisors.**
- **2005-2006: Steel served as a deputy to an Equalization Board Member for three months in order list the position as her job title on the ballot.**
  - Claude Parrish, the Board Member Steel worked for, was a close political ally of her husband. Steel resigned from Parrish’s office three months after being hired and just weeks after filing her campaign papers with the job title of “Equalization Board Member’s Deputy.”
  - The Deputy that Steel replaced, who had previously held the position for eight years, was re-hired the day after Steel resigned. He had worked as a paid fundraiser for Steel’s campaign during the three-month period that she held his job.
- **2009: While serving as Alan Nakanishi’s campaign chairwoman, Steel helped boost his Board of Equalization candidacy by hiring Nakanishi as an “assistant to the Board Member,” allowing him to list the position as his occupation on the ballot.**
  - Steel was characterized as knowing “first-hand” the boost a staff job on the Board of Equalization gives a candidate.
- **2014: Following a complaint from an opponent, Steel was barred from designating herself as a “taxpayer advocate/businesswoman” on the ballot while running for Orange County Board of Supervisors.**
  - The judge ruled to remove both “taxpayer advocate” and “businesswoman” as Steel’s ballot designation because the former represented a “campaign posture” and the latter was not her “principal occupation.”
- **Steel provided differing reasons behind her runs for office.**

### Attendance Record

#### 2014-2019: Steel Was Present At 97.86% Of Orange County Board Of Supervisors Meetings

2014-2019: Steel was present at 97.86% of Orange County Board of Supervisors’ meetings. According to the Orange County Board of Supervisors’ Archived Meeting Minutes, Steel was present at 97.86% of Orange County Board of Supervisors meetings from the start of her term in 2014 to 2019. [Orange County Board of Supervisors, Archived Meeting Minutes, accessed 11/14/19]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Meeting Type</th>
<th>Present?</th>
<th>Absent/Tardy/Excused</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/9/2014</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>NO ATTENDANCE GIVEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/16/2014</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>NO ATTENDANCE GIVEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/13/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>NO ATTENDANCE GIVEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>NO ATTENDANCE GIVEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/3/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>NO ATTENDANCE GIVEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/10/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/3/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/24/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/31/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/14/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/21/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/28/2015</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/5/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/12/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/19/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/2/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/9/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/16/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/23/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/29/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>NO ATTENDANCE GIVEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/14/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>NO ATTENDANCE GIVEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/21/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/4/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/25/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/1/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/8/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/22/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>NO EXCUSED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/28/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/6/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/20/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/27/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/10/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/17/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/8/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/15/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/23/2015</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/12/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/26/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/29/2016</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/9/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Attendance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/1/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/15/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/22/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/12/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/26/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/10/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/17/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/24/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/10/2016</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/14/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/28/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/12/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/26/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/9/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/23/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/29/2016</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/6/2016</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>NO ATTENDANCE GIVEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/13/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/27/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/11/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/18/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/25/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/8/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/22/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/6/2016</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/13/2016</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/10/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/24/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/2/2017</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/9/2017</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/14/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2017</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/28/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/9/2017</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/14/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/11/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/18/2017</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/25/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/9/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/23/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/6/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/13/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/27/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/11/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/8/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/22/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/12/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/26/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/12/2017</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/17/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/14/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/5/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/12/2017</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>EXCUSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/9/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/23/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/6/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/15/2018</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/27/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/13/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/19/2018</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/10/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/17/2018</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/24/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/8/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/22/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/5/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/12/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/26/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/17/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/31/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/3/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/14/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/28/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/11/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/25/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/2/2018</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/16/2018</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/30/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/6/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/20/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/4/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Meeting Type</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/18/2018</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/4/2019</td>
<td>SPECIAL BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/8/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/29/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/5/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/26/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/12/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/9/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/23/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/7/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/21/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/11/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/25/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/16/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/30/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/13/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/27/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/10/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/24/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>EXCUSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/08/2019</td>
<td>BOARD MEETING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: PRESENT AT 140 EXCUSED AT 3 97.8%

[Orange County Board of Supervisors, Archived Meeting Minutes, accessed 11/14/19]

Campaigns

Election History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Office</th>
<th>Candidates</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Orange County Board of Supervisors (District 2)</td>
<td>Michelle Steel (R)</td>
<td>63.4%</td>
<td>+38.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brendon Perkins (NP)</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Michael Mahony (NP)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Orange County Board of Supervisors (District 2)</td>
<td>Michelle Steel (R)</td>
<td>62.3%</td>
<td>+24.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Allan R. Mansoor (D)</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>California Board of Equalization (District 3)</td>
<td>Michelle Steel (R)</td>
<td>54.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mary Christian Heising (D)</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>+20.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terri Lussenheide (AI)</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jerry L. Dixon (LIB)</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mary Lou Finley</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>California Board of Equalization (District 3)</td>
<td>Michelle Steel (R)</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mary Christian-Heising (D)</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>+18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mary Lou Finley (PF)</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[California Secretary of State, Election Results, 11/7/06; 11/2/10; Orange County Election Results, 11/4/14; 6/5/18]
Political History

| Steel Claimed She Was Not A Career Politician, Yet Has Spent The Last 15 Years Seeking Or In Elected Office |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel Said She Was Not A Career Politician</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steel Said She Was Not A Career Politician. “Ms. Steel, who likely has a long political career ahead of her, told us she is not a career politician. And that is good, because it is important to remember that the district seat is not a stepping stone, but a full-time job all its own.” [Orange County Register, Editorial, 5/2/14]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2005: Steel First Filed To Run For The California Board Of Equalization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005: Steel Filed Her Statement Of Intent To Run For The California Board Of Equalization. ‘He recently filed a statement of intent to run for the same Board of Equalization post being vacated next year by Claude Parrish. […] Also filing a statement of intent to run for the seat is Michelle Park Steel, the wife of former state GOP Chairman Shawn Steel. San Bernardino County Supervisor Bill Postmus is also considering running.’ [Riverside Press Enterprise, 3/28/05]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2006: Steel Said She Would Not Use Her Position On The Board Of Equalization As A Stepping Stone To Other Political Offices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steel Said She Would Not Use Her Position On The Board Of Equalization As A Stepping Stone To Other Political Offices. ‘WHAT WOULD YOU DO DIFFERENTLY THAN YOUR OPPONENTS? I come to this office not as a career politician using the board as a stepping stone, but as a businesswoman, a former employee of the board who understands tax policy intricately, who has no learning curve on tax issues.’ [Riverside Press Enterprise, 5/30/06]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2010: Steel Ran For Reelection To The California Board Of Equalization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010: Steel Ran For Reelection To The California Board Of Equalization. “Reporting from Sacramento — All four seats on California’s elected tax board are up for grabs this November, but in three of the four contests incumbents have an inside track to reelection. […] Incumbent Republican Michelle Steel of Rolling Hills, who represents much of Southern California outside of Los Angeles, faces perennial Democratic challenger Mary Christian Heising of La Jolla and three minor-party hopefuls.” [Los Angeles Times, 10/10/10]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>October 2012: Steel Filed To Run For The Orange County Board Of Supervisors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 2012: Steel Filed To Run For The Orange County Board Of Supervisors. According to the Orange County Registrar of Voters, Steel filed her candidate intention statement to run as a County Supervisor for the Orange County Board of Supervisor’s 2nd district on October 2, 2012. [Orange County Registrar of Voters, Michelle Steel Candidate Intention Statement, 10/2/12]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>January 2015: Steel Filed For Reelection To Orange County’s Board Of Supervisors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 2015: Steel Filed For Reelection To Orange County’s Board Of Supervisors. According to the Orange County Registrar of Voters, Steel filed her candidate intention statement to run for reelection as a County Supervisor for the Orange County Board of Supervisor’s 2nd district on January 22, 2015. [Orange County Registrar of Voters, Michelle Steel Candidate Intention Statement, 1/22/15]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
January 2019: Steel Created A Committee To Run For California State Controller In 2022

In January 2019, Steel Created A Committee To Run For California State Controller In 2022. According to the California Secretary of State, Steel created a campaign committee to run for California State Controller in 2022. The committee has raised $13,629.99 and spent $1,690.70. As of February 13, 2019, Steel had made no significant public indication that she was running in this race. [California Secretary of State, accessed 2/13/19]

May 2019: Steel Filed To Run For U.S. Congress In California’s 48th District

May 2019: Steel Filed To Run For U.S. Congress In California’s 48th District. According to her Statement of Candidacy from the Federal Election Commission, Steel filed to run for U.S. Congress in California’s 48th Congressional District on May 1, 2019. [Federal Election Commission, Statement of Candidacy, 5/1/19]

Steel Announced Her Run For Congress In Los Angeles, Outside Of California’s 48th Congressional District. “But Steel told Radio Korea during a Thursday press conference at the Korean American Chamber of Commerce in Los Angeles that she’s been considering a run for CA-48 since the November election. […] Rouda’s campaign team on Friday sent a fundraising email criticizing Steel’s choice to make her announcement outside of Orange County and highlighting her strong ties to President Donald Trump.” [Orange County Register, 4/26/19]

Steel Provided Differing Reasoning Behind Her Interest In Running For Public Office

1993: Steel Said She Became Interested In Politics After She Saw “The Damage Faced By Fellow Korean-Americans” During The Los Angeles Riots. “Michelle Eunjoo Park-Steel said she became interested in politics after last spring’s riots when she saw the damage faced by fellow Korean-Americans.” [Los Angeles Times, 7/17/93]

2014: While Campaigning For The Board Of Equalization, Steel Said Her Desire To Run For Public Office Was “Fueled By The Way Her Mother Was Treated By The State Tax Agency.” “She said her desire to run for public office was fueled by the way her mother was treated by the state tax agency, the California Board of Equalization, after she opened a clothing store in Los Angeles. ‘She got harassed and abused by tax agency,’ Mrs. Steel said. ‘So I had a grudge against them. She paid taxes that she didn’t owe, plus collecting interest on top of it.’” [Washington Times, 7/17/14]

2019: Steel Has Repeated This Version Of Events While Campaigning For Congress. “I first became interested in public service at an early age when I watched my single working mother struggle to fight an unwarranted tax bill from the California State Board of Equalization. As an immigrant who owned a small business, my mother lacked the resources she needed to appeal the state agency and reluctantly acquiesced to the board’s demands. After watching my own mother struggle, I knew I needed to help those who couldn’t help themselves and be a strong voice for the voiceless. I knew I needed to serve my community, so I decided to run for public office.” [Michellesteelca.com, Michelle Steel for U.S. Congress, accessed 11/13/19]

1995: Steel Was Appointed To Los Angeles County’s Commission For Children And Families Two Months After Her Views On Affirmative Action Forced Her To Resign From The Board Of Airport Commissioners

November 1995: Steel Was Appointed To Los Angeles County’s Commission For Children And Families Two Months After Her Views On Affirmative Action Forced Her To Resign From The Board Of Airport Commissioners

November 1995: Steel Was Appointed To Los Angeles County’s Commission For Children And Families Two Months After Her Views On Affirmative Action Forced Her To Resign From The Board Of Airport Commissioners
Commissioners. “The former Los Angeles airport commissioner who quit her job two months ago when her views on affirmative action came under fire was appointed by the Board of Supervisors on Tuesday to the county’s Commission for Children and Families.” [Los Angeles Times, 11/8/95]

September 1995: Steel Resigned From The Board Of Airport Commissioners Over Her Supposed Endorsement Of The California Civil Rights Initiative, A “Proposal To Scrap State Laws Supporting Quotas And Racial Preferences In Hiring.” “Later in the day, even before the dust had settled on the racially charged council debate, the saga of the appointment of Michelle Park-Steel to the Airport Commission took other wild twists when it was announced -- and then denied -- that the appointee had endorsed a controversial measure that civil rights supporters say would gut affirmative action. […] Feuer pledged to introduce a motion Friday demanding that both Park-Steel and Joe Gelman -- a Riordan appointee and a proponent of a proposal to scrap state laws supporting quotas and racial preferences in hiring -- appear before the council to explain themselves. It was Gelman -- already an anathema to civil rights leaders -- who issued a press release only hours after Park-Steel was narrowly confirmed by the council. The release quoted her as saying she endorsed his initiative.” [Los Angeles Times, 9/7/95]

Steel Was Nominated To The County Commission For Children And Families By Mike Antonovich, A Staunch Supporter Of The California Civil Rights Initiative

Steel Was Nominated To The County Commission For Children And Families By Mike Antonovich, A Staunch Supporter Of The California Civil Rights Initiative. “Michelle E. Park-Steel of Tarzana was nominated for the post by Supervisor Mike Antonovich and approved by the board on a 4-0 vote without discussion. Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky was absent. […] After her resignation, Park-Steel said, Antonovich -- who is one of the initiative's staunchest supporters -- asked if she was interested in a spot on the 15-member Commission for Children and Families.” [Los Angeles Times, 11/8/95]

Steel Admitted That She Had “Little Knowledge About The Responsibilities Of The Commission” And Said “It Was A Surprise” That She Had Been Appointed. “During a phone interview, Park-Steel admitted that she had little knowledge about the responsibilities of the commission, and said she expected her nomination to generate at least some controversy. […] ‘It was a surprise that Mike appointed me,’ she said. ‘He sent me a letter saying I would be a good candidate. I’m very excited.’” [Los Angeles Times, 11/8/95]

2004: Steel Raised More Than $200,000 For George W. Bush’s Reelection Campaign

2004: Steel Raised More Than $200,000 For George W. Bush’s Reelection Campaign. “Steel is a Bush ‘Ranger,’ the president’s nickname for those who raise more than $200,000 for his re-election campaign. […] While Steel counts himself as a longtime Bush supporter, neither he nor his wife, Michelle - also a Ranger - dove into their Rolodexes with the same fervor in the 2000 campaign. Like the Steels, about half of Bush’s 2004 Rangers and Pioneers were not on the list four years ago.” [Daily News of Los Angeles, 7/11/04]

2005-2006: Steel Served As A Deputy To An Equalization Board Member For Three Months In Order To List The Position As Her Job Title On The Ballot

2005-2006: Steel Served As A Deputy To A Board Member For Three Months In Order To List The Position As Her Job Title On The Ballot

2005-2006: Steel Served As A Deputy To The Board Member She Was Campaigning Against For Three Months In Order To List The Position As Her Job Title On The Ballot. “On the June 6 primary ballot, Michelle Steel is identified as a deputy to a member of the powerful tax board on which she is seeking a seat. It is a job title that political analysts say is likely to help her win votes. But Steel held the job for only three months.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/06]
Parrish, The Board Member Steel Worked Under For Three Months, Was A Close Political Ally Of Her Husband

Claude Parrish, The Board Member Steel Worked Under For Three Months, Was A Close Political Ally Of Her Husband, Shawn Steel. “Steel hopes to succeed Claude Parrish, a leading Republican candidate for state treasurer and a close political ally of her husband, Shawn Steel, a former state GOP chairman.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/06]

Steel’s Husband Donated To Parrish’s Political Campaigns. “Among the donors to both candidates is Shawn Steel, who gave $4,600 to Parrish and lent $75,000 to his wife.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/06]

December 2005: Parrish Demoted His Deputy Of Eight Years And Hired Steel Despite Her Limited Accounting Experience

December 2005: Parrish Demoted His Deputy Of Eight Years And Hired Steel Despite Her Limited Accounting Experience. “State personnel records show that Parrish demoted Marcus Frishman, his deputy of eight years, on Dec. 30. Parrish then moved Steel, whose official campaign biography shows little accounting experience, into the job.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/06]

March 2006: Steel Resigned Three Months Later, Just Weeks After Filing Her Campaign Papers With The Job Title Of “Equalization Board Member’s Deputy”

March 2006: Steel Resigned Three Months Later, Just Weeks After Filing Her Campaign Papers With The Job Title Of “Equalization Board Member’s Deputy.” “She filed her campaign papers in mid-March with the job title of ‘Equalization Boardmember’s Deputy.’ On March 31, personnel records show, she resigned.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/06]

Steel Held The Position “Just Long Enough To Secure The Ballot Designation.” “Michelle Steel held the job as deputy to Parrish for only about three months - just long enough to secure the ballot designation. She then resigned and Parris filled the vacancy with Frishman.” [Copley News Service, 6/2/06]

Steel Claimed She “Only Resigned Because The Race Grew Too Time-Consuming”

Steel Claimed She “Only Resigned Because The Race Grew Too Time-Consuming.” “In her defense, Steel told Copley News Service that she had always been interested in tax work and took the job with Parrish thinking she could work full-time and run the campaign in her spare time. She only resigned because the race grew too time-consuming, Steel said.” [Copley News Service, 6/2/06]

April 2006: Parrish’s Deputy Of Eight Years Worked For Steel’s Campaign After His Demotion And Was Re-Hired To His Original Position The Day After Steel Resigned

April 2006: Parrish’s Deputy Of Eight Years Worked For Steel’s Campaign After His Demotion And Was Re-Hired To His Original Position The Day After Steel Resigned. “State personnel records show that Parrish demoted Marcus Frishman, his deputy of eight years, on Dec. 30. Parrish then moved Steel, whose official campaign biography shows little accounting experience, into the job. She filed her campaign papers in mid-March with the job title of ‘Equalization Boardmember’s Deputy.’ On March 31, personnel records show, she resigned. During those three months, Frishman worked as an assistant to Parrish. According to the state, his monthly salary was reduced from $9,223 to $6,394. He was hired, for an undisclosed amount, to work for Steel’s campaign. Frishman was reinstated as deputy April 1, with a $91 monthly raise over the higher salary.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/06]
Steel’s campaign acknowledged that it paid Frishman -- who in the 1990s was ordered by a court to do community service after Beverly Hills police found him with a BMW convertible he had rented and then reported stolen -- for ‘fundraising’ work to do on his own time. ‘Marcus Frishman is helping us raise money,’ said Tim Clark, a spokesman for Michelle Steel.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/06]

**2006: Steel Described Herself As Part Of An “Elite Group” Of Fundraisers For President Bush**

Steel Described Herself As Part Of An ‘Elite Group’ Of Fundraisers For President Bush. “Steel is a Republican activist who describes herself as part of an ‘elite group’ of fundraisers for President Bush. She is a small-business woman, a political appointee to various boards and commissions, and a trustee of an emergency shelter for runaway youths.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/06]

**2009: While Serving As Alan Nakanishi’s Campaign Chairwoman, Steel Helped Boost His BOE Candidacy By Hiring Nakanishi As An “Assistant To The Board Member,” Allowing Him To List The Position As His Occupation On The Ballot**

Steel Was A Close Political Ally Of Alan Nakanishi

2009: Steel Was Alan Nakanishi’s Campaign Chairwoman. “The former Republican assemblyman and practicing doctor was recently hired by his campaign chairwoman, BOE District 3 Member Michelle Steel, as an ‘assistant to the board member.’” [Capitol Alert, 11/6/09]

2002: Steel Donated To Nakanishi’s 2004 Campaign For State Assembly. According to Follow The Money, Steel donated $700 to Alan Nakanishi’s 2004 campaign for State Assembly. [Follow The Money, accessed 11/5/19]

2004: Steel’s Husband, Shawn, Donated To Nakanishi’s 2004 Campaign For State Assembly. According to the California Secretary of State, Shawn Steel, Michelle Steel’s Husband, donated $1,000 to Alan Nakanishi’s 2004 campaign for State Assembly. [California Secretary of State Contributions Search, Shawn Steel, accessed 11/16/19]

2009: Steel Helped Boost Nakanishi’s Board Of Equalization Candidacy By Hiring Him As “An Assistant To The Board Member,” Allowing Nakanishi To List The Position As His Occupation On The Ballot

2009: Steel Helped Boost Nakanishi’s Candidacy By Hiring Him As “An Assistant To The Board Member,” Allowing Nakanishi To List The Position As His Occupation On The Ballot. “Board of Equalization candidate Alan Nakanishi has gotten a bit of a boost in his bid to replaced termed-out board member Bill Leonard. The former Republican assemblyman and practicing doctor was recently hired by his campaign chairwoman, BOE District 3 Member Michelle Steel, as an ‘assistant to the board member.’ In addition to pulling in an additional $7,852 a month, the new title means Nakanishi will be able to request that his gig with the board be listed as his profession on the ballot and play up the ‘experience’ card in the crowded GOP primary for the safe Republican seat. […] Nakanishi’s been running hard for the seat since the outset, spending hundreds of thousands of dollars to get his name on slate mailers for the election. The added bonus of his new ballot designation could make a difference in a race where most voters aren’t familiar with the candidates, or even what a position on the board, which administers state tax laws, entails. [Capitol Alert, 11/6/09]

Steel Was Characterized As Knowing “First-Hand” The Boost A Staff Job On The Board Of Equalization Gives A Candidate

Steel Was Characterized As Knowing “First-Hand” The Boost A Staff Job On The Board Of Equalization Gives A Candidate. “Steel knows first-hand the boost a staff job at the board can give a BOE hopeful. Early in her 2006 BOE bid, her predecessor Claude Parrish brought her on staff as a deputy. She said at the time that she
believed the experience and better ballot designation would help her candidacy against her opponent, former Republican Assemblyman Ray Ray Haynes.” [Capitol Alert, 11/6/09]

### 2014: Following A Complaint From An Opponent, Steel Was Barred From Designating Herself As A “Taxpayer Advocate/Businesswoman” On The Ballot While Running For Orange County Board Of Supervisors

2014: A Complaint Was Filed Against Steel For Designating Herself As A “Taxpayer Advocate/Businesswoman” On The Ballot While Running For Orange County Board Of Supervisors. “One of Steel’s opponents, Assemblyman Allan Mansoor, R-Costa Mesa, challenged the occupational designation of ‘Taxpayer Advocate’ on the grounds that it described her campaign posture rather than her job. And he challenged ‘Businesswoman’ because, while she says she is controller and co-owner of her husband’s law firm, it is not a ‘principal occupation.’” [Orange County Register, 3/26/14]

### 2014: An Orange County Judge Ruled That Steel Could Not Appear On The Ballot With The Designation “Taxpayer Advocate/Businesswoman”

2014: An Orange County Judge Ruled That Steel Could Not Appear On The Ballot With The Designation “Taxpayer Advocate/Businesswoman.” “Orange County supervisor candidate Michelle Steel suffered a setback Tuesday when a Superior Court judge ruled that she cannot appear on the ballot with the designation ‘Taxpayer Advocate/Businesswoman.’ [...] Judge Franz Miller agreed. He also ordered ‘business owner’ stricken from Steel’s candidate statement, which appears in the county’s voter information pamphlet.” [Orange County Register, 3/26/14]

### 2014: The Judge Ruled To Remove Both “Taxpayer Advocate” And “Businesswoman” As Steel’s Ballot Designation Because The Former Represented A “Campaign Posture” And The Latter Was Not Her “Principal Occupation.”

2014: The Judge Ruled To Remove Both “Taxpayer Advocate” And “Businesswoman” As Steel’s Ballot Designation Because The Former Represented A “Campaign Posture” And The Latter Was Not Her “Principal Occupation.” “One of Steel’s opponents, Assemblyman Allan Mansoor, R-Costa Mesa, challenged the occupational designation of ‘taxpayer advocate’ on the grounds that it described her campaign posture rather than her job. And he challenged ‘businesswoman’ because, while she says she is controller and co-owner of her husband’s law firm, it is not a ‘principal occupation.’ Judge Franz Miller agreed.” [Orange County Register, 3/26/14]

### 2018: During Her Reelection Campaign, Opponents Criticized Steel For “Doing Little While In Office” And Only Introducing “A Total Of Five Agenda Items”

2018: During Her Reelection Campaign, Opponents Criticized Steel For “Doing Little While In Office” And Only Introducing “A Total Of Five Agenda Items.” “Steel’s competitors have accused her of doing little while in office. For instance, since 2015 Steel has introduced a total of five agenda items, when not counting nonbinding resolutions and committee appointments. Steel’s initiatives include an unsuccessful attempt to create an off-leash dog beach, a vote to undo her earlier support of a county plan to analyze placing homeless shelters in three cities, including one in Huntington Beach, an effort to tell voters how much they would be spending on an ethics commission she doesn’t support, and an action in which county supervisors increased their oversight of a commission tasked with reducing hate crimes, a commission Steel previously sought to not fund.” [Orange County Register, 5/16/18]

### Agenda Items Steel Introduced Included A Dog Beach, Withdrawal Of Support For Emergency Homeless Shelters, Including The Cost Of An Ethics Commission In A Ballot Initiative, And Control Of The County Human Relations Commission Staff

**An Unsuccessful Ordinance To Create An Off-Leash Dog Beach.** “Orange County Supervisor Michelle Steel, whose district includes Newport and Huntington, introduced an ordinance last year to allow dogs to roam off-leash along the county-controlled sandbar at the mouth of the Santa Ana River, straddling the cities’ boundaries. The
ordinance received initial support, but never received the second vote it needed to become official, and Michelle Cook, a spokeswoman for Steel’s office, said she doesn’t foresee that vote coming back to a supervisors’ agenda.” [Los Angeles Times, 6/30/17]

A Withdrawal Of Her Previous Support For Emergency Homeless Shelters On County-Owned Land. “Two days after voting to direct county staff to develop a plan for emergency shelters on county-owned land in three cities, Orange County Supervisor Michelle Steel on Wednesday withdrew her support for placing a homeless shelter on the site of an abandoned landfill in Huntington Beach.” [Los Angeles Times, 3/21/18]

Steel Had Previously Voted In Support Of The Measure. “The statement was a reversal from Steel’s position when she signed off the plan on Monday.” [Los Angeles Times, 3/21/18]

An Action To Tell Voters The Cost Of An Ethics Commission She Didn’t Support. “Orange County Supervisors on Tuesday ordered a cost analysis of the proposed ethics and campaign finance commission. […] Supervisor Michelle Steel, the only supervisor who last month voted against putting the proposal on the June 7 ballot, suggested including a price with the ballot proposal, but her colleagues instead chose to order the analysis.” [Orange County Register, 11/10/15]

An Effort To Grant County Supervisors The Power To Directly Control The Human Relations Commission’s Staff. “Two Orange County supervisors, who have sought to end funding for the staff of a county commission tasked with reducing hate crimes and improving community relations with police, succeeded this week in granting county supervisors the ability to directly control the commission’s staff. The changes, put forward by Supervisors Michelle Steel and Andrew Do, overhaul the governing rules for the county Human Relations Commission and give supervisors the power to fire the executive director at any time, for any reason, and pick the replacement.” [Voice of Orange County, 11/2/17]

Steel’s Appointee To The Commission Had Voted Against Releasing Their Annual Report On Hate Crimes, And Steel Was Reportedly Seeking To Oust A Member On The Commission Who Voted To Release It. “Additionally, Do and Steel’s appointees to the commission voted in June to not release the commission’s annual report about hate crimes. That decision was opposed by Irvine Police Chief Mike Hamel, who serves on the commission and scheduled a vote in August in which the commission voted to release the report. […] Michelle Steel has been trying to get Hamel replaced on the Human Relations Commission with Clare Venegas, the former chief executive of the influential Republican group, the Lincoln Club of Orange County, according to Spitzer, who noted Hamel is a representative of his district.” [Voice of Orange County, 11/2/17]

2019: Trump Announced Steel As Co-Chair Of The President’s Advisory Commission On Asian Americans And Pacific Islanders

Two Years Before Steel Was Assigned To The Commission, 10 Out Of 14 Members Resigned, Saying They “Opposed Trump’s Planned Immigration Policies And Anti-Immigration Rhetoric.” “The commission has counseled the past three U.S. Presidents on how the federal government can improve the well being of the nation’s fastest-growing racial group. But that commission’s role has hit turbulence during the Trump administration. Nearly two years ago, a month after Trump was sworn in, ten members of the then 14-person commission resigned, saying they opposed Trump’s planned immigration policies and anti-immigration rhetoric.” [Orange County Register, 1/24/19]
Ethics

Significant Findings

✓ While serving as an Orange County Supervisor, Steel twice acted to benefit private jet operator ACI Jet, one of her leading campaign contributors. In 2019, she allowed consideration of a wildly unpopular proposal to expand private flights at John Wayne Airport, which would benefit ACI Jet. Three years earlier, she voted in favor of a lucrative general aviation contract for the company, prompting a FAA investigation into the decision.

✓ ACI Jet was described as “one of the main beneficiaries of expanding corporate jets” at John Wayne Airport. The company was Steel’s largest aviation-industry donor, with its employees and lobbyist donating $23,450 to her campaigns.

✓ Furthermore, during the expansion debate, ACI Jet donated $20,000 at Steel’s request to the Korean American Chamber of Commerce – where Steel serves as a board member. Campaign finance filings show chamber leaders and board have heavily contributed to her campaigns, donating at least $65,708.

✓ The expansion proposal was met with public outcry, with the Mayors of Newport and Costa Mesa presenting a petition in opposition with 1,500 signatures. Specifically, the Mayors and residents lamented how the expansion would benefit the well-heeled users of private jets and complained about existing issues with pollution and jet noise that the expansion could worsen.

✓ In her first meeting as chair of the Board of Supervisors, Steel instituted a one-minute limit on public comments.

✓ When it came time to impose the new limit, Steel was “more lenient with corporate executives than she was with County workers and homeless advocates.

✓ The ACLU sued the Orange County Board of Supervisors over Steel’s decision to limit public comments. Steel was mentioned by name in the lawsuit.

✓ Steel repeatedly voted to allow County officials such as herself to immediately destroy public records.

✓ September 2017: Steel voted to allow county officials to immediately destroy any “working notes” they no longer needed.

✓ September 2019: Steel voted to allow for the immediate destruction of public records, despite public law and a court ruling saying they must be kept for two years.

✓ After clashing with the Auditor-Controller over alleged waste, Steel supported dismantling the County’s ability to independently audit the board.

✓ Steel supported a proposal to limit oversight on hiring practices for taxpayer-funded government jobs.
Steel sought to grant County Supervisors the power to directly control the Human Relation Council’s staff in an effort to oust a member of the commission who voted to release their annual report on hate crimes.

Steel’s appointee to the commission voted against releasing their annual report on hate crimes.

Another Board Member alleged that Steel was seeking to oust Mike Hamel, a member of the commission who voted to release the annual report on hate crimes, and replace him with a member of an influential Republican group.

Steel’s Chief of Staff was named in a lawsuit the alleged he improperly used county e-mail for political activity at a previous job.

Steel approved Aleman, a former staffer on her husband’s campaign, as an assistant assessor even though he didn’t have a college degree.

As Supervisor, Steel Directed A Lucrative Contract To A Donor And Later Pushed For An Unpopular Proposal On Their Behalf

ACI Jet Was A Fixed Base Operator At John Wayne Airport

ACI Jet Was A Fixed Base Operator At John Wayne Airport, Had A Fleet Of 14 Private Jets, And Touted Jets “That Have Redefined Luxury Travel”

ACI Jet Operated A Fleet Of 14 Private Jets. “Today, ACI Jet employs more than 200 people, operates a fleet of 14 private jet aircraft, three executive terminals and FBOs, one self-service fuel service location, and a multi-million dollar maintenance facility. While ACI Jet has grown by leaps and bounds, our passion for excellence, service and integrity remains unchanged.” [ACI Jet, accessed 5/30/19]

ACI Jet Touted A “Portfolio Of Private Jets Features Aircraft[s] That Have Redefined Luxury Travel In Their Own Right.” “The ACI Jet portfolio of private jets features aircraft that have redefined luxury travel in their own right. For journeys out of state or excursions to the furthest reaches of Planet Earth, you’ll enjoy a service experience that is tailored exclusively for you.” [ACI Jet, accessed 11/20/19]

ACI Jet Was A FBO (Fixed Base Operator) At John Wayne Airport. “California’s Orange County Board of Supervisors unanimously approved major alterations to the general aviation infrastructure at John Wayne Airport (SNA). The proposal recently put forward by Supervisor Michelle Steel will redefine the acreage designated for GA operations and reduce the space available for larger business jets. The airport is currently served by two full-service FBOs—Atlantic Aviation and ACI Jet, the latter of which occupies facilities on both sides of the airport.” [Business Jet Traveler, 6/27/19]

Fixed Base Operators Offer “Five-Star Amenities For Private Jet Customers Along With Aircraft Facilities Such As Hangar Storage, Fueling, De-Icing And Repair And Maintenance Services.” “One of the top perks of private jet charter is enjoying the luxury lounges on offer at fixed-base operators (FBOs) before the flight. […] Private jet airports have dedicated terminals for their FBOs. Since their inception in the 1920s, these commercial aircraft service centres have become evermore lavish, offering five-star amenities for private jet customers along with aircraft facilities such as hangar storage, fueling, de-icing and repair and maintenance services. Flight crews also have space to relax, sleep and complete training between flights. Private jet passengers can take advantage of free aircraft shuttles and fast-track customs and immigration desks. There’s usually a concierge service to deal with
luggage and check-in while passengers chill out in sleek lounges serving complimentary food and drink, take advantage of on-site hotels or catch up on work in private conference rooms.” [Air Charter Service, 6/29/18]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACI Jet Was A Leading Donor To Steel’s Congressional And Supervisor Campaigns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Steel’s Supervisor Campaign Received $5,400 From ACI Jet And Its Executives

2019: Steel Accepted $5,400 In Campaign Contributions From ACI Jet And Its Executives. [Orange County Registrar of Voters, accessed 5/28/19]

Steel’s Supervisor Campaign Received $750 From William Steiner, Who Lobbied On Behalf Of ACI Jet

Steel Accepted $750 In Donations From William Steiner. [Orange County Registrar of Voters, accessed 5/28/19]

Steiner Was A Lobbyist For ACI Jet. “ACI Jet’s lobbyist is former county Supervisor Bill Steiner. The other general aviation management contractor at the airport, Atlantic Aviation, hired as its lobbyists Curt Pringle, the former Anaheim mayory, and Roger Faubel, a longtime water board member and county lobbyist.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]

![Individual Lobbyist Registration Statement Form](image)

[Orange County Ethics Commission, dated 7/5/19]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>William Borgsmiller</td>
<td>ACI Jet</td>
<td>President/CEO</td>
<td>9/30/2019</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyle Cassidy</td>
<td>ACI Jet</td>
<td>Assistant Manager</td>
<td>9/30/2019</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyle Cassidy</td>
<td>ACI Jet</td>
<td>Assistant Manager</td>
<td>9/30/2019</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivier Leclercq</td>
<td>ACI Jet</td>
<td>Executive VP</td>
<td>9/30/2019</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivier Leclercq</td>
<td>ACI Jet</td>
<td>Executive VP</td>
<td>9/30/2019</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Steiner</td>
<td>Steiner Public Affairs</td>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td>8/29/19</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Borgsmiller</td>
<td>ACI Jet</td>
<td>President/CEO</td>
<td>6/20/2019</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total $17,300

[FEC, accessed 11/6/19]

Steiner Was A Lobbyist For ACI Jet. “ACI Jet’s lobbyist is former county Supervisor Bill Steiner. The other general aviation management contractor at the airport, Atlantic Aviation, hired as its lobbyists Curt Pringle, the former Anaheim mayory, and Roger Faubel, a longtime water board member and county lobbyist.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]

At Steel’s Request, In 2018 And 2019, ACI Jet Donated $20,000 To The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce; Steel Was On The Board Of The Chamber’s LA Chapter
Behested Payments Were Donations Paid To Charities Requested By Elected Officials

Voice Of OC: Behested Payments Were “Donations Requested, Or Behested, By The Office Holders For Their Favorite Charities.” “Under California law, lobbyists and wealthy political contributors can make large cash donations requested, or behested, by the office holders for their favorite charities. It works the other way too. Elected officials can curry favor with large donors by creating government programs or events that promote causes, businesses or hobbies popular with wealthy contributors. There are no limits to the amount of an individual behested payment — with some as high as $100,000 — but the office-holder has 30 days after donations of $5,000 or more are received to publicly report who gave the money and the amount.” [Voice of OC, 7/25/16]

2018 – 2019: Steel’s Campaign Routed $20,000 In “Behested” Donations From ACI Jet To The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce Foundation

April 2018: Steel’s Campaign Behested A $10,000 Donation From ACI Jet To The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce Foundation. [Orange County Registrar of Voters, accessed 1/13/20]

May 2019: Steel’s Campaign Behested A $10,000 Donation From ACI Jet To The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce Foundation. [Orange County Registrar of Voters, accessed 1/13/20]
Steel Was A Board Member Of The Los Angeles Chapter Of The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce

2019: On Her House Personal Financial Disclosure, Steel Listed Serving As A Board Member With The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce Los Angeles. [Clerk of the House of Representatives, 6/28/19]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCHEDULE E: POSITIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Position</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Board of Directors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Board of Directors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Board of Directors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Clerk of the House of Representatives, 6/28/19]

Steel’s Campaigns Received $65,708 From Leaders And Board Members Of The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce And Its Leadership

Steel’s Congressional Campaign Received $8,800 From The Leadership Of The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce. [FEC, accessed 1/13/20]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kim, Peter H.</td>
<td>9/11/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yi, Steve</td>
<td>8/21/2019</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lim, Woo Sung</td>
<td>8/21/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kang, Soa</td>
<td>6/30/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choung, Sangbong</td>
<td>6/12/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yi, Steve</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Steel’s Campaigns For Supervisor Received $7,750 From The Leadership Of The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce. [FEC, accessed 1/13/20]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albert Jang</td>
<td>4/11/2017</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benjamin Koo &amp; Co, APC</td>
<td>4/6/2017</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Offices of Benjamin Koo, APC</td>
<td>7/25/2016</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Offices of Benjamin Koo, APC</td>
<td>5/16/2016</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Offices of Benjamin Koo, APC</td>
<td>6/15/2015</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Offices of Benjamin Koo, APC</td>
<td>8/18/2014</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sung Ho Lee</td>
<td>8/18/2014</td>
<td>$1,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benjamin Koo &amp; Co, APC</td>
<td>12/3/2013</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Offices of Benjamin Koo, APC</td>
<td>6/25/2013</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Offices of Benjamin Koo, APC</td>
<td>6/25/2013</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kee Whan Ha</td>
<td>6/24/2013</td>
<td>$1,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Hahn*</td>
<td>6/21/13</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benjamin Koo &amp; Co, APC</td>
<td>6/7/2013</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Orange County Registrar of Voters, accessed 1/13/20]

Steel Received $48,758 From Board Members Of The Los Angeles Chapter Of The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce. [FEC, accessed 1/13/20]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ryu, Don H.</td>
<td>9/18/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park, Jin G.</td>
<td>9/11/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park, Daniel S.</td>
<td>9/11/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paik, Peter</td>
<td>9/11/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, Daewoo (Brian)</td>
<td>9/11/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, Brad</td>
<td>9/11/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim, Jina</td>
<td>9/11/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyon, Keith H.</td>
<td>9/11/2019</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong, Mark</td>
<td>9/11/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baek, Cindy T.</td>
<td>9/11/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yi, Steve</td>
<td>8/21/2019</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park, Daniel S.</td>
<td>8/21/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lim, Woo Sung</td>
<td>8/21/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim, Sunny H.</td>
<td>8/21/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yi, Chris</td>
<td>6/30/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, Seungdeok</td>
<td>6/30/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim, Sunny H.</td>
<td>6/30/2019</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heo, Jim</td>
<td>6/30/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, Christine</td>
<td>6/29/2019</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim, Jay Hang</td>
<td>6/29/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han, Howard H.</td>
<td>6/29/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim, Joon D.</td>
<td>6/28/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heo, Jim</td>
<td>6/28/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim, Vivian</td>
<td>6/20/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shin, Young Im</td>
<td>6/18/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park, Wilson Ho</td>
<td>6/18/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chung, Christine</td>
<td>6/7/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yi, Steve</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park, Soo Young</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juhn, Gic Ja ‘Gloria’</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong, Mark</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
<td>$1,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park, Jin G.</td>
<td>6/5/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myung, Won S.</td>
<td>6/5/2019</td>
<td>$1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chung, Joo H.</td>
<td>6/5/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shin, Shirley</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shin, Jane</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhee, Don</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park, Laura H.</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park, Daniel S.</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paek, Stephan S.</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, Young Sun</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, Young Sun</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kong, Joseph B.</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim, Steve C.</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim, Ronnie H. R.</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim, Gary J.</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ha, Kee W.</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ha, K.C.</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chung, Bryant T.</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cha, Peter J. H.</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baek, Cindy T.</td>
<td>6/4/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryu, Don H.</td>
<td>6/3/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokko, Ryan</td>
<td>5/31/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokko, Ryan</td>
<td>5/31/2019</td>
<td>$2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokko, Ryan</td>
<td>5/31/2019</td>
<td>$3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chin, Charlie Chul Hee</td>
<td>5/25/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, Ilgun</td>
<td>5/24/2019</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lim, Hee-Won</td>
<td>5/22/2019</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** $48,758

[FEC, accessed 1/15/20; Korean American Chamber of Commerce Los Angeles Chapter, accessed 1/15/20]
List Of The Leadership At The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Years Active</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Woo Sung Lim</td>
<td>Director / President</td>
<td>2013 – 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soa Kang</td>
<td>Director / Secretary</td>
<td>2013 – 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Yi</td>
<td>Director / Treasurer</td>
<td>2013 –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benjamin Koo</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>2013 –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Sung Ho Lee</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>2013 – 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Jang</td>
<td>Director / Secretary</td>
<td>2016 –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kee Whan Ha</td>
<td>Director / President</td>
<td>2017 –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Kim</td>
<td>Director / Secretary</td>
<td>2017 –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Songbong Choung</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>2017 –</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Candid.org, Korean American Chamber of Commerce IRS 990, 2013; Candid.org, Korean American Chamber of Commerce IRS 990, 2014; Candid.org, Korean American Chamber of Commerce IRS 990, 2015; Candid.org, Korean American Chamber of Commerce IRS 990, 2016;


Peter Kim, Who Worked At NEO USA Food Co. Was Associated With The Korean American Chamber Of Commerce Of Los Angeles. [Peter Kim, LinkedIn, accessed 1/13/20]

In A Donation To Steel, Kim Listed The Middle Initial H. And Employment At Heo USA Food Company. [FEC, 9/11/19]
Steel Voted In Favor Of Awarding The General Aviation Contract To ACI Jet, But Never Explained Her Reasoning

Steel Voted In Favor Of Awarding A Contract To ACI Jet. “A county evaluation panel chose both incumbents, Signature and Atlantic, as the first and second-ranked firms, respectively. But supervisors instead voted 4-1 in late January to award Signature’s lease to ACI Jet, which was ranked fifth by the panel. The sole no vote was from Lisa Bartlett.” According to the Orange County Board of Supervisors, “THE BOARD AWARDED INTERIM LEASE FOR EASTSIDE FIXED BASEOPERATIONS AND WESTSIDE HANGARS TO ACI JET FOR TERM 2/1/17 - 12/31/18.” Michelle Steel voted in favor. [Voice of OC, 2/27/17; Orange Board of Supervisors, Meeting Minutes, 1/24/17]


ACI Jet Was Awarded The Contract Despite Being Ranked Fifth Out Of Six Bidders

2016: Supervisors Awarded ACI Jet A “Lucrative” General Aviation Contract After Being Ranked Fifth Out Of Six By An Evaluation Panel While Out Donating The Top-Ranked Firm 2-To-1 In The Second Half Of 2016. “In 2016, supervisors supervisors granted John Wayne Airport’s lucrative general aviation lease to a firm, ACI Jet, that was ranked fifth out of six by the county’s evaluation panel. In the run-up to awarding that contract, the supervisors collected thousands of dollars in campaign donations from people who work or advocate for the companies vying for the lease. ACI and its supporters outspent the top-ranked firm, Signature Flight Support, by 2-to-1 in contributions to supervisors in the second half of 2016, according to a Voice of OC review of campaign filings. ACI's supporters contributed $7,700, while Signature’s supporters spent $2,750.” [Voice of OC, 8/27/19]

A County Memorandum Ranked ACI Jet As Fifth Out Of Six Bidders, And Stated “JWA Is Recommending That The Two Highest Ranked Respondent Firms […] Be Selected By The Board.” [Orange County, Memorandum S58A, 12/1/16 (page 4)]
The following table sets forth the scores and ranking of each firm that met the minimum qualifications as defined in the RFQ:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent Firm</th>
<th>Overall Score</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Signature Flight Support</td>
<td>2469.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic Aviation</td>
<td>2461.50</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet Aviation</td>
<td>1915.50</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay Lacy Aviation, Inc.</td>
<td>1709.50</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACI Jet</td>
<td>1643.00</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Air, LLC</td>
<td>1348.50</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

JWA is recommending that the two highest ranked respondent firms, Signature Flight Support and Atlantic Aviation, be selected by the Board for award of the Interim Leases.

[Orange County, Memorandum S58A, 12/1/16 (page 4)]

The FAA Investigated How ACI Jet Was Awarded The Contract; The Complaint Regarding The Process Was Dismissed

February 2017: The Federal Aviation Administration Was Investigating ACI Jet’s Contract Award After The Top Ranked Company In A County Evaluation Filed A Complaint. “Federal aviation officials are looking into why Orange County supervisors plan to award a lucrative John Wayne Airport lease to a company that was ranked fifth by the county’s official evaluation panel. A batch of emails released Monday by county officials includes correspondence from Federal Aviation Administration officials saying they’re conducting an ‘inquiry’ into a complaint by the top-ranked bidder, Signature Flight Support. The company has argued that supervisors violated federal contracting rules when they picked fifth-ranked ACI Jet.” [Voice of OC, 2/27/17]

July 2017: The Federal Aviation Administration Dismissed The Complaint That The Orange County Board Of Supervisors Rigged The Bidding Process For ACI Jet. “The Federal Aviation Administration has dismissed a complaint against Orange County by the world’s largest operator of private-plane airport bases alleging that county supervisors rigged a February contracting process to award a lucrative John Wayne Airport lease to a low-ranked applicant. The FAA issued its ruling on Friday, July 21, saying that the county followed proper procedures when it awarded the lease to run the airport’s private-plane terminals to ACI Jet, the second-to-last ranked company, rather than Signature Flight Support, the incumbent and top-ranked operator.” [Orange County Register, 7/25/17]

2019: Appearing To Follow Steel’s Lead, The Orange County Board Of Supervisors Were Prepared To Expand Space For Private Jets At John Wayne Airport, Which Would Benefit ACI

Supervisors Were Responsible For Oversight Of John Wayne Airport. “Orange County supervisors lambasted top officials of John Wayne Airport from the dais Tuesday for what they consider poor management of lucrative airport contracts for private plane services, and then rejected the staff recommendation for a major contract. […] Supervisors, who oversee the airport, have heard complaints from individuals and business owners about the contractors who run the airport’s general aviation operations. Those services include fuel, hangar, parking, rental, maintenance, and other services for business jets, prop planes, and other aircraft that don’t fly out of the normal passenger terminals.” [Voice of OC, 1/25/17]

Voice Of OC: “Supervisors Initially Were Eager To Approve The Project Tuesday, With Most Supporting A Version That Would Remove 137 Existing Parking Spots For Small Propeller Planes To Make Room For Adding Space For 21 Private Jets To Be Based At The Airport.” “Supervisors initially were eager to approve the project Tuesday, with most supporting a version that would remove 137 existing parking spots for small propeller planes to make room for adding space for 21 private jets to be based at the airport. But after facing anger
from Newport residents, a majority showed interest in capping private jets at their current number of 65 and the board agreed to wait two more weeks to take the issue up again on May 21. Critics, many of whom live under the airport’s flight path, packed the supervisors’ chambers and an overflow room to express concerns about additional jet noise over their homes, while smaller planes owners opposed being pushed out for corporate jets. The mayors of Newport Beach and Costa Mesa presented a petition against the county’s plan they said had gathered 1,500 signatures.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]

Steel’s Constituents Vocally Opposed The Plan For The Airport

May 2019: Voice Of OC: During An Orange County Supervisors Meeting “None Of The 40-Plus Public Commenters Spoke In Favor Of Adding More Jets.” “Newport Beach residents and small plane owners succeeded Tuesday in getting county supervisors to step back from controversial plans to expand private jet spaces at John Wayne Airport. […] None of the 40-plus public commenters spoke in favor of adding more jets.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]

Voice Of OC: The Proposal Caused A “Raucous Four-Hour Showdown” And “Criticism That Supervisors Were Answering Their Campaign Donors In Backing Corporate Jet Expansion.” “The raucous four-hour showdown featured yelling from the audience, which the supervisors didn’t stop; warnings of fighting supervisors’ future election ambitions if they don’t back down on the jet expansion; and criticism that supervisors were answering their campaign donors in backing corporate jet expansion, which the supervisors didn’t dispute.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]

The Mayors Of Newport And Costa Mesa Circulated A Petition With 1,500 Signatures Against The Plan, While Criticizing Benefits For Private Jet Owners And Environmental Issues

Mayors Of Newport Beach And Costa Mesa Claimed They Had A Petition Opposing The Expansion With 1,500 Signatures. “Supervisors initially were eager to approve the project Tuesday, with most supporting a version that would remove 137 existing parking spots for small propeller planes to make room for adding space for 21 private jets to be based at the airport. But after facing anger from Newport residents, a majority showed interest in capping private jets at their current number of 65 and the board agreed to wait two more weeks to take the issue up again on May 21. Critics, many of whom live under the airport’s flight path, packed the supervisors’ chambers and an overflow room to express concerns about additional jet noise over their homes, while smaller planes owners opposed being pushed out for corporate jets. The mayors of Newport Beach and Costa Mesa presented a petition against the county’s plan they said had gathered 1,500 signatures.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]

The Newport Beach Mayor Complained An Expansion At JWA Was Not Necessary, Adding “It’s Not Designed To Be LAX.” “A crowd concerned about their quality of life in the flight path packed the hearing room with signs. People told the officials they want fewer takeoffs and landings. Calling the corporate flights Ubers in the sky, citizens said they want to be sure airport expansion doesn’t mean larger, louder corporate jets filling the sky. ‘I don’t think they’re necessary. We’ve got a great airport. It’s not designed to be LAX,’ said Newport Beach Mayor Diane Nixon. ‘The community does not want an expansion of this airport,’ said Costa Mesa Mayor Katrina Foley.” [CBS Los Angeles, 5/7/19]

The Mayor Of Newport Beach Stated Opposition To The Expansion: “The City Of Newport Beach Does Not Believe That We Have To Provide The Absolute Ultimate In Facility Convenience To Everybody Who Has A Private Jet Aircraft.” “Newport Beach residents and small plane owners succeeded Tuesday in getting county supervisors to step back from controversial plans to expand private jet spaces at John Wayne Airport. […] The city of Newport Beach does not believe that we have to provide the absolute ultimate in facility convenience to everybody who has a private jet aircraft. That is not a burden that is appropriate to place on the residents of Orange County,’ Newport Beach Mayor Diane Dixon told supervisors.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]
The Mayor Of Costa Mesa Opposed The JWA Expansion, Urging “The Orange County Board Of Supervisors To Protect The Environment Surrounding John Wayne Airport And The Health Of Residents.”

“A petition has been created by the Democratic Mayor of Costa Mesa, Katrina Foley, to stop the proposed alteration of John Wayne Airport (JWA) in Orange County, California, which is planned to bring the airport into compliance with requirements set by the FAA. Signs can been seen throughout the cities of Newport Beach and Costa Mesa that read ‘Stop JWA Expansion,’ including a link to the petition that opposes the construction. Mayor Foley’s petition states that the changes to the airport will negatively impact the surrounding community. A portion of the statement from the petition website reads: ‘We urge the Orange County Board of Supervisors to protect the environment surrounding John Wayne Airport and the health of residents, and stop any plans to operationally expand John Wayne Airport. We encourage the Board to keep the blend of light General Aviation the same as it is today, to not expand the footprint of JWA, and to restrict expansion of corporate and private jet traffic now and in the future.’” [Epoch Times, 6/23/19]

Newport Beach And Costa Mesa Were In Steel’s District. [Orange County Board of Supervisors, accessed 5/29/19]

Citizens Expressed Concern About The Expansion Increasing Jet Noise Over Their Homes, Causing A Disturbance. “Critics, many of whom live under the airport’s flight path, packed the supervisors’ chambers and an overflow room to express concerns about additional jet noise over their homes, while smaller planes owners opposed being pushed out for corporate jets. The mayors of Newport Beach and Costa Mesa presented a petition against the county’s plan they said had gathered 1,500 signatures. ‘The city of Newport Beach does not believe that we have to provide the absolute ultimate in facility convenience to everybody who has a private jet aircraft. That is not a burden that is appropriate to place on the residents of Orange County,’ Newport Beach Mayor Diane Dixon told supervisors.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]
Residents Complained At A County Supervisor Meeting About The Pollution From The John Wayne Airport, With One Person Saying “We Not Healthy. The Amount Of Pollution Continues To Spray Over Costa Mesa, Newport Beach, Tustin, Santa Ana, Huntington Beach – Just To Name A Few.” According to a CBS Los Angeles segment, “One by one, people worried about the noise and pollution generated by the planes at John Wayne Airport went on the record at the Board of Supervisors meeting in Orange County.” The segment included video of a resident saying “We not healthy. The amount of pollution continues to spray over Costa Mesa, Newport Beach, Tustin, Santa Ana, Huntington Beach – just to name a few. We are still waiting for this to be resolved.” [CBS Los Angeles, YouTube, 5/7/19]

Residents Complained At A County Supervisor Meeting About Jet Noise Preventing Them From Sleeping. According to a CBS Los Angeles segment, members of the public at the Orange County Board of Supervisors meeting said “Even with the windows closed, the jets are extremely loud and wake up the baby. […] I am not exaggerating – I can’t sleep.” [CBS Los Angeles, YouTube, 6/26/19]

Voice Of OC: ACI Jet Would Be “One Of The Main Beneficiaries OF Expanding Corporate Jets” At John Wayne Airport

Voice Of OC: “One Of The Main Beneficiaries Of Expanding Corporate Jets [At John Wayne Airport] May Be ACI Jet.” “Newport Beach residents and small plane owners succeeded Tuesday in getting county supervisors to step back from controversial plans to expand private jet spaces at John Wayne Airport. […] One of the main beneficiaries of expanding corporate jets may be ACI Jet, which has a county lease to oversee general aviation services at the airport and is a major donor in supervisor and congressional elections. Last year, ACI Jet revealed renderings for new hangars it planned to build at John Wayne Airport, an apparent part of the project now before supervisors.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]

John Wayne Airport Was Located In Steel’s District, Providing Her Influence Over County Decisions Regarding The Facility

Voice Of OC: “Under The Supervisors’ Usual Practice, Known As ‘District Prerogative,’ Supervisor Michelle Steel Would Have The Most Influence Over County Decisions At John Wayne Airport, Because It’s In Her District.” “Under the supervisors’ usual practice, known as ‘district prerogative,’ Supervisor Michelle Steel would have the most influence over county decisions at John Wayne Airport, because it’s in her district. The unofficial ‘honor code’ of district prerogative is an unwritten rule among generations of supervisors in which each supervisor is given deference – and even veto power – over county projects and events within their district. Steel announced last week she’s running for Congress in an effort to unseat Democrat Rep. Harley Rouda from his coastal 48th District.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]

Steel’s Campaigns Received More Than $20,000 From Additional Donors Connected To John Wayne Airport

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kurt Belcher</td>
<td>STA Jets</td>
<td>CEO</td>
<td>6/20/2019</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Total] [FEC, accessed 11/4/19]

STA Jets Was Headquartered At John Wayne Airport. [STA Jets, accessed 11/4/19]
STA Jets Touted Providing “Strategic Private Aviation Solutions That Respond To The Unique Needs Of High-End Clientele.” “We provide strategic private aviation solutions that respond to the unique needs of high-end clientele.” [STA Jets, accessed 11/4/19]

Steel’s County Supervisor Campaigns Received $19,450 From Donors Connected To The Aviation Industry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel Air Industry Donors – Entities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Donor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay Lacy Aviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal Weather &amp; Aviation, Inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcadis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACI Jet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunrise Aviation Corp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Coast Aviation Services, LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County Flight Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcadis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcadis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Terminal Management, Inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Orange County Registrar of Voters, accessed 5/28/19]
NOTE: Leclercq’s LinkedIn also lists him as an executive Vice President at ACI Jet, where he is also listed as a member of corporate leadership on the company’s website. Steiner was a lobbyist for ACI Jet. Daichert also appears to work for ACI Jet, being listed as a Senior Vice President on the company’s website.

Steel Received $4,000 From Clay Lacy Aviation And Its Executives, A Private Jet Operator At The John Wayne Airport

Steel Accepted $4,000 From Clay Lacy Aviation And Its Executives. [Orange County Registrar of Voters, accessed 5/28/19]

Clay Lacy Aviation Was A Private Jet Company Operating At John Wayne Airport. “Another private jet company at the airport, Clay Lacy Aviation, hired as its lobbyist Jeff Corless, a former election campaign consultant to current Supervisor Lisa Bartlett who recently drew scrutiny over his contract with the county toll roads agency.” [Voice of OC, 5/8/19]

Steel Received $2,000 From An Executive Of Atlantic Aviation, A Fixed-Base Operator Providing Luxury Services To Private Planes Operating At John Wayne Airport

Steel Accepted $2,000 From An Atlantic Aviation Executive. [Orange County Registrar of Voters, accessed 5/28/19]

Atlantic Aviation Was A Fixed-Based Operator That Operated At John Wayne Airport. “Located in the heart of Orange County, Atlantic SNA caters to business and vacation travelers across Southern California. Our FBO at John Wayne-Orange County Airport is just a few miles from popular beach resorts and local attractions, like Disneyland and Knotts Berry Farm. Atlantic Aviation Orange County offers the exceptional service you expect – from our on-site concierge, valet and baggage services – to amenities, like crew cars, pilot’s lounge, snooze room, shower, off-site exercise facility, and much more! Come visit our beautiful facility and experience world class service yourself – it’s what we love to do.” [Atlantic Aviation, accessed 5/29/19]

Fixed Base Operators Offer “Five-Star Amenities For Private Jet Customers Along With Aircraft Facilities Such As Hangar Storage, Fueling, De-Icing And Repair And Maintenance Services.” “One of the top perks of private jet charter is enjoying the luxury lounges on offer at fixed-base operators (FBOs) before the flight. […] Private jet airports have dedicated terminals for their FBOs. Since their inception in the 1920s, these commercial aircraft service centres have become evermore lavish, offering five-star amenities for private jet customers along with aircraft facilities such as hangar storage, fueling, de-icing and repair and maintenance services. Flight crews also have space to relax, sleep and complete training between flights. Private jet passengers can take advantage of free aircraft shuttles and fast-track customs and immigration desks. There’s usually a concierge service to deal with luggage and check-in while passengers chill out in sleek lounges serving complimentary food and drink, take advantage of on-site hotels or catch up on work in private conference rooms.” [Air Charter Service, 6/29/18]

Steel Received $1,000 From Arcadis, Which Had Previously Worked On A Major Construction Project At John Wayne Airport

Steel Accepted $1,000 From Arcadis. [Orange County Registrar of Voters, accessed 5/28/19]

2011: Arcadis Was The Construction Manager For The $211.5 Million Terminal C At John Wayne Airport. “In mid-November, airport director Alan Murphy opened the doors to the new $211.5 million Terminal C at John Wayne Airport (SNA) in Orange County, CA. The 282,000-square-foot, multi-level building includes six new commercial passenger gates, two commuter terminals, common-use technology for check-in and boarding, three
In Her First Meeting As Chair Of The Board Of Supervisors, Steel Instituted Limits On Public Comments

2017: In Her First Meeting As Chairwoman Of The Board Of Supervisors, Steel Instituted A One-Minute Limit On Public Comments. “Supervisor Michelle Steel, in her first meeting as chairwoman of the board, instituted a one-minute limit on speakers during this Tuesday’s meeting. […] This was the shortest speaking allotment in memory, and is the latest in a series of moves by supervisors in recent years to curtail public comments at their regular meetings, which are one of the few venues where county residents and employees have the opportunity to directly address their top elected officials.” [Voice of Orange County, 1/26/17]

Historically, Members Of The Public Were Allotted Three Minutes To Speak. “Historically, members of the public could speak for up to three minutes per item on the supervisors’ agenda. This was in addition to being able to speak during a general public comment period about topics not on the agenda.” [Voice of Orange County, 1/26/17]

Steel Selectively Enforced Her Own New Limit Acting “More Lenient With Corporate Executive Than She Was With County Workers And Homeless Advocates”

When It Came Time To Impose The New Limit, Steel Was “More Lenient With Corporate Executives Than She Was With County Workers And Homeless Advocates.” “But when it came time to impose the limit, she was more lenient with corporate executives than she was with county workers and homeless advocates. […] The first several speakers were executives with aviation companies, asking for supervisors’ votes on John Wayne Airport contracts up for a decision later that day. But when they went over the one-minute mark, they were allowed several sentences further, without interruption. The president of a corporate jet services company, for example, spoke for a minute and a half before Steel politely asked him to ‘sum it up please.’ She then let him speak for another 36 seconds, giving him a total a total of about 2 minutes of speaking time. The very next speaker was Diana Corral, the workers’ union president. Steel handled her very differently. ‘Make sure that you [take] just one minute,’ Steel told her. […] The next employee who spoke went 30 seconds over the limit and received an irritated admonishment from Steel to ‘stay in one minute.’ Later, a hospitality manager for a jet company was allowed to continue speaking without interruption after the speaker light turned red about how her company could accommodate private jet fliers by arranging ‘a VIP wine tour and limo for a group of 20.’” [Voice of Orange County, 1/26/17]

The ACLU Sued The Orange County Board Of Supervisors Over Steel’s Decision To Limit Public Comments

The ACLU Sued The Orange County Board Of Supervisors Over Steel’s Decision To Limit Public Comments. “A homelessness task force is accusing the Orange County Board of Supervisors of restricting free speech at its meetings and violating California public records laws. The American Civil Liberties Union Foundation of Southern California, on behalf of the People’s Homeless Task Force, filed a lawsuit Tuesday in Santa Ana Superior Court alleging that county supervisors limit access to public documents, destroy public documents and give officials preferential treatment over members of the public, in violation of residents’ 1st Amendment rights and the Brown Act. […] The ACLU also alleges that supervisors are more lenient when giving county officials or leaders of certain groups time to speak at meetings while consistently silencing members of the People’s Homeless Task Force, which advocates for homeless individuals and has criticized the board’s actions on homelessness. In one instance, elected officials were allowed to talk for more than the two-minute limit they were given, which was
already more time than what was allowed for other speakers, according to the lawsuit. [...] In another instance, a speaker representing the Dana Point Harbor revitalization project and a speaker discussing workers’ compensation for the Sheriff’s Department exceeded their time limit without being asked to stop. At the same meeting, a member of the homeless task force, Lou Noble, was held strictly to his speaking time limit, according to the lawsuit.” [Los Angeles Times, 4/9/19]

Steel Was Mentioned By Name In The Lawsuit. “Supervisors Andrew Do and Michelle Steel, who are both mentioned by name in the suit, could not be reached for comment Tuesday afternoon.” [Orange County Register, 4/9/19]

Steel Repeatedly Voted To Allow County Officials Such As Herself To Immediately Destroy Public Records

September 2017: Steel Voted To Allow County Officials To Immediately Destroy Any “Working Notes” They No Longer Needed: A Judge Claimed County Officials “Are Likely Breaking The Law”

September 2017: Steel Voted For A Policy Allowing County Officials To Immediately Destroy Any “Working Notes” They No Longer Needed. “Orange County supervisors have a new policy that allows immediate deletion of certain county emails and text messages about official business, a move advocates say opens the door to destruction of documents the public is entitled to under open records laws. The policy, which the supervisors approved unanimously Tuesday, says officials may ‘at any time’ destroy emails and text messages they consider to be internal memos or ‘working notes’ they no longer need. [...] All five supervisors – Andrew Do, Michelle Steel, Todd Spitzer, Shawn Nelson, and Lisa Bartlett – voted for the new policy. None expressed any concerns about it.” [Voice of Orange County, 9/27/17]

July 2019: A Judge Later Ruled Against The Policy And Said Orange County Officials “Are Likely Breaking The Law.” “Orange County officials likely are breaking the law with a policy allowing immediate destruction of public records and another rule banning public commenters from asking questions of county supervisors or addressing supervisors by name unless they have permission, a Superior Court judge ruled Wednesday. Judge Sheila B. Fell now plans to issue a court order banning the county from enforcing the comment restrictions and records destruction policy.” [Voice of Orange County, 7/3/19]

September 2019: Steel Voted To Allow For The Immediate Destruction Of Public Records, Despite Public Law And A Court Ruling Saying They Must Be Kept For Two Years

September 2019: Steel Voted For A Policy That Would Allow For The Immediate Destruction Of Public Records.

September 2019: Steel Voted For A Policy That Would Allow For The Immediate Destruction Of Public Records. “Orange County officials, without public discussion, approved a controversial new policy last week allowing immediate destruction of public records, despite state law and a court ruling saying they must be kept for two years. The updated rules, which supervisors approved on a 4-0 vote Sept. 10, lets officials immediately destroy records they believe are not ‘required by law or County policy to be filed and preserved,’ without imaging or copying them as state law mandates before destroying records less than two years old. [...] The new policy was approved by supervisors Andrew Do, Doug Chaffee, Michelle Steel and Lisa Bartlett. Supervisor Don Wagner did not attend the meeting. Chaffee, Steel, and Bartlett didn’t return phone messages seeking comment and asking if they believe the county’s new policy is legal.” [Voice of Orange County, 9/16/19]

July 2019: A Judge Had Ruled Against A Similar County Policy Months Earlier, Citing The California Public Records Act And The Brown Act. “Orange County officials likely are breaking the law with a policy
allowing immediate destruction of public records and another rule banning public commenters from asking questions of county supervisors or addressing supervisors by name unless they have permission, a Superior Court judge ruled Wednesday. Judge Sheila B. Fell now plans to issue a court order banning the county from enforcing the comment restrictions and records destruction policy.” [Voice of Orange County, 7/3/19]

**Steel Supported A Plan To Limit Oversight On Hiring For Government Jobs**

**Steel Supported A Proposal To Limit Oversight On Hiring Practices For Taxpayer-Funded Government Jobs**

The Proposal Stripped The County CEO Of The Ability To Approve Hiring Requests And Review Salary Requests. “A move by newly elected Orange County officials to gain lucrative, taxpayer-funded government jobs for their offices has prompted county leaders to examine a longstanding hiring process that some fear allows political cronies undeserved access to high-paying positions. They’re called executive assistants, and they can be paid upward of $60 an hour. And now, despite an attempt by some county leaders to better monitor who can be hired, even fewer restrictions apply: the Board of Supervisors recently voted 3-2 to create four new jobs, strip the county CEO of the power to review salary requests and rid themselves of the ability to approve hiring requests.” [Orange County Register, 3/14/15]

Steel Voted In Favor Of The Move. “And now, despite an attempt by some county leaders to better monitor who can be hired, even fewer restrictions apply: the Board of Supervisors recently voted 3-2 to create four new jobs, strip the county CEO of the power to review salary requests and rid themselves of the ability to approve hiring requests. [...] Supervisor Michelle Steel shared Do’s opposition to any attempt to influence what goes on in another elected official’s office. Chairman Todd Spitzer voted with them after they agreed to require the Human Resources department to review the performance of all executive assistants every six months. [Orange County Register, 3/14/15]

**Staff**

Steel’s Chief Of Staff Was Named In A Lawsuit Alleging He Improperly Used County E-Mail For Political Activity At A Previous Job

Mike Richman Served As Michelle Steel’s Chief Of Staff And Managed Her 2006 Campaign For The Board Of Equalization

Richman Served As Michelle Steel’s Chief Of Staff And Managed Her 2006 Campaign For The Board Of Equalization. “Richman is now the chief of staff to Michelle Steel on the state Board of Equalization. Steel’s district includes Riverside County and nearly all of San Bernardino County. […] Richman helped run Steel’s 2006 campaign for the state board and headed her transition into office.” [Riverside Press Enterprise, 12/22/08]

As Steel’s Chief Of Staff, Richman Made $122,000 A Year. “Richman, 24, earns $122,424 a year in the new post, which he took over Nov. 1. ‘It is great,’ Richman said of his new job. ‘I have always been interested in tax policy, and she is a good representative.’” [Riverside Press Enterprise, 12/22/08]

Mike Richman Was Previously The Campaign Manager For Shawn Steel’s Campaign. “As one of 50 campaign workers and volunteers, Aleman was dispatched statewide to collect proxy forms, waivers that allow
substitutes to vote in place of delegates at the state GOP convention, which was held Feb. 22-24 in San Francisco, said Steel’s campaign manager, Mike Richman, and campaign strategist, Tim Clark. Aleman was responsible for collecting proxy forms in San Bernardino County.” [Inland Valley Daily Bulletin, 7/3/08]

While Richman Worked On Shawn Steel’s Campaign He Also Was Working In The County Assessor’s Office. “Meanwhile, as Richman directed Shawn Steel’s statewide campaign for the position of GOP committeeman, Richman had also been contracted for $49,200 to work for the Assessor’s Office.” [Inland Valley Daily Bulletin, 7/3/08]

A Grand Jury Found Richman Used His County E-Mail For Political Activity

A Grand Jury Found That Richman Used His County E-Mail For Political Activity. “A county grand jury also criticized the assessor’s office for improperly using county e-mail for political activity. Some of those e-mails were written by Richman. In the past, Richman said he never should have had a county e-mail address, has cooperated with investigators, and no longer is working for Postmus.” [Riverside Press Enterprise, 12/22/08]

In May 2009, A Probe Into Richman’s E-Mail Use Found That He Was Paid By The San Bernardino Assessor For Work He Never Did

Richman Was Named In A Civil Lawsuit Brought By San Bernardino County Supervisors. “The results of that probe led supervisors to file a civil lawsuit against Postmus and five others. The county hopes to re-coup hundreds of thousands of dollars that Hueston said Postmus and his executive staff were paid for work they never did. The others named in the lawsuit are former assistant assessors Adam Aleman and Jim Erwin, former intergovernmental affairs officer Rex Gutierrez, former taxpayer advocate Greg Eyler and outside consultant Mike Richman.” [Riverside Press Enterprise, 5/14/09]

County Supervisors Found Widespread Time-Card Fraud In The San Bernardino County Assessor’s Office. “On Tuesday, county supervisors released a report by attorney John C. Hueston that found widespread time-card fraud in the assessor’s office and a conspiracy by Postmus to use his post to further his political goals.” [Riverside Press Enterprise, 5/14/09]

The Probe Was Initiated By E-Mails Richman Sent Showing He Was Using His County E-Mail For Political Work. “The district attorney’s investigation began with a probe of questionable e-mails. The office’s public integrity unit in August 2007 received copies of e-mails showing consultant Mike Richman using county e-mail for political work. Paul Biane, then chairman of the Board of Supervisors, had the information forwarded to prosecutors.” [Riverside Press Enterprise, 5/14/09]

Richman Had Previously Been Investigated About Whether Consulting Work He Did For A County Assessor Was Misusing Official Resources

Richman And His Firm’s Work For San Bernardino County Assessor Bill Postmus Was Investigated For Potentially Misusing The Assessor’s Office For Political Activity. “A political and public relations consultant hired by San Bernardino County Assessor Bill Postmus last year is a possible subject of an ongoing investigation into the assessor’s office, multiple sources say. Mike Richman and his MPR Strategies firm received a $49,000 noncompetitively bid contract in July 2007 to create community outreach events, promote a monthly newsletter and forge ties with the state Board of Equalization. Last week, district attorney’s investigators served a search warrant at the assessor’s Third Street headquarters and seized computers and documents, sources close to the assessor’s office said. Through a spokeswoman, the district attorney has declined to state the nature of the inquiry, but the sources say investigators and the county grand jury are looking into whether the assessor’s office was illegally used for political activity.” [Riverside Press Enterprise, 4/16/08]
In June 2009, Richman Resigned From Steel’s Office

June 2009: Richman Resigned From Steel’s Office. “Mike Richman, a top aide to Board of Equalization member Michelle Steel and the target of a San Bernardino County lawsuit alleging that he and others engaged in political work on government time, has resigned. Richman’s last day was May 31, Steel’s office said Friday. ’It was his decision to go back to school full time and pursue his graduate degree,’ Steel spokesman John Hrabe said.” [Riverside Press-Enterprise, 6/6/09]

Steel Approved Adam Aleman, A Former Staffer On Her Husband’s Campaign, As An Assistant Assessor Even Though He Didn’t Have A College Degree

Steel Approved Aleman As An Assistant Assessor Even Though He Didn’t Have A College Degree. “In 2007, Postmus took office and brought with him several of his staff members for his executive staff. That included tapping Aleman as one of his two assistant assessors - for which Postmus had to get a waiver from the office of Michelle Steel, a member of the state Board of Equalization, because his young protege had not completed his college degree.” [San Bernardino Sun, 5/4/08]

Steel’s Spokesman Said The Certification Was Not Issued By Her. “Steel’s wife, Michelle, holds a seat on the board. John Hrabe, Michelle Steel’s spokesman, said the temporary certificate was not issued by Steel and that employees of the board typically issue more than 230 such certificates each year. ‘Much like an extension on your taxes, a temporary appraiser’s certificate is a routine request,’ he said.” [Inland Valley Daily Bulletin, 7/3/08]

Aleman Was Arrested And Charged With Multiple Felonies While Working As A Staffer On Shawn Steel’s Campaign For RNC Delegate

Aleman Was Arrested And Charged With Committing Several Felonies While Working To Collect Proxy Forms. “And Adam Aleman, a 25-year-old political junkie, wanted to help deliver the votes to make it happen, Steel’s top campaign staff members have said. […] Aleman, the county’s assistant assessor, was arrested Monday and charged with six felonies, including altering documents and destroying evidence. As one of 50 campaign workers and volunteers, Aleman was dispatched statewide to collect proxy forms, waivers that allow substitutes to vote in place of delegates at the state GOP convention, which was held Feb. 22-24 in San Francisco, said Steel’s campaign manager, Mike Richman, and campaign strategist, Tim Clark. Aleman was responsible for collecting proxy forms in San Bernardino County.” [Inland Valley Daily Bulletin, 7/3/08]

Aleman Was Accused Of Altering Meeting Minutes So It Appeared Richman Did More Work. “Earlier this year, that contract was in the spotlight after Postmus aide Adam Aleman was arrested and charged with six felonies, including presenting false evidence to a county grand jury and destroying public records. Among the charges, Aleman, who has pleaded not guilty, is accused of altering meeting minutes to substitute Rich-man’s name for his to make it appear Richman did more work.” [Riverside Press Enterprise, 12/22/08]

Aleman Worked On Shawn Steel’s Campaign For RNC Delegate. “It was an issue of national importance - sending former state GOP chairman Shawn Steel to the Republican National Convention this fall. And Adam Aleman, a 25-year-old political junkie, wanted to help deliver the votes to make it happen, Steel’s top campaign staff members have said.” [Inland Valley Daily Bulletin, 7/3/08]
**Significant Findings**

- Steel’s Congressional campaign was endorsed by Orange County Sheriff Don Barnes.
  - Barnes had a history of rewarding officers who broke rules and used excessive force.
  - Barnes sought to delete Sheriff Department e-mails that were more than two years old, raising concerns of widespread evidence destruction.

- Steel’s Congressional campaign was endorsed by former Orange County Sheriff Sandra Hutchens.
  - Hutchens’ Orange County Sheriff Department was investigated for running an illegal jailhouse informant program.
  - Hutchens was blamed for alleged violence and inhumane conditions in Orange County jails.
  - Hutchens was sued by the Orange County Deputies Union after inmates escaped jail because of staff reductions and unsafe jail conditions.
  - In 1980, Hutchens shot and killed a 33 year old man, and the victim’s family was compensated $1.3 million in a wrongful death suit.

- Steel donated to charity a $6,000 campaign contribution from Elliott Broidy – a Los Angeles venture capitalist who pled guilty to bribing pension officials.

- 2014: Steel’s Board of Equalization campaign was criticized for accepting the endorsement of Sanjin Miller Oh, a Buena Park City Councilmember who was convicted for repeatedly committing perjury.

- Steel nominated Jack Wu – an Orange County political operative who lied about being an accountant to achieve politically-powerful positions on Municipal boards – to the Assessment Appeals Board, only to rescind his nomination shortly after.

- During a recall election, Steel supported Scott Peotter, a City Councilman who made several homophobic remarks and refused to apologize.

- Steel requested the reappointment of Ed Laird, a longtime Republican operative, to the Orange County Visitors Association.
  - Laird said he wanted to serve on the association because he had a “love to travel.”

- Dana Rohrabacher credited Steel and her husband for helping him first get elected to Congress.

- Steel said she’d known Elaine Chao “for more than 20 years” and was confident “that she will be an excellent Secretary of Transportation.”

- 2007: Steel endorsed Rudy Giuliani for President.
Steel Was Endorsed By Orange County Sheriff Don Barnes. According to the ‘Endorsements’ section of her campaign page, Michelle Steel was endorsed by Orange County Sheriff Don Barnes. [Michelle Steel 2020 Congress Campaign Page via Wayback Machine, Endorsements, accessed 9/30/19]

**Barnes Had History Of Rewarding Officers Who Broke Rules And Used Excessive Force**

**HEADLINE: OC Weekly: New Orange County Sheriff Don Barnes Runs A Toxic Agency.** [Orange County Weekly, 6/5/19]

**Barnes Promoted An Officer Who Engaged In Sexual Behavior With Suspect And Then Falsified Reports.** “Avalos conceded on the witness stand that he’d sent Vasquez—a heroin addict who called him ‘hon’ and supplied him with breast pics—advance notice that undercover agents were snooping around her motel, looking to make drug arrests. […] Barnes… promoted report-falsifier Avalos to sergeant.” [Orange County Weekly, 6/5/19]

**Barnes Awarded ‘Deputy Of The Year’ Honors To Officer Involved In Controversial Shooting.** “Petropulos said, ‘Fuck it,’ placed the Glock at point-blank range on Witt’s left shoulder and fired. […] In the aftermath, Petropulos—who makes more than $255,000 annually in public funds—told a tale at odds with the audio recording and probability. […] Petropulos won ‘deputy of the year’ honors.” [Orange County Weekly, 6/5/19]

**Hibbs Was Accused Of Using Excessive Force And Landed A Pay Raise Under Barnes.** “Though Lares was handcuffed and secured in the back of a patrol car without leg room, Hibbs twice fired his Taser weapon. To justify his violence, he claimed he’d felt physically threatened and in danger. But prosecutors believed it was a classic case of excessive force and charged him after securing damning testimony from five other officers—Trent Hoffman, Robert Long, Bryan Thomas, Rob Gunzel and James Wicks—who’d witnessed the tasing. […] After the OCSD deputies’ chicanery or uses of force when facing imaginary threats, they suffered the following fates […] Hibbs also landed a pay raise and sergeant’s job.” [Orange County Weekly, 6/5/19]

**Barnes Sought To Delete Department E-Mails That Were More Than Two Years Old, Raising Concerns Of Widespread Evidence Destruction**

**Barnes’ Plan, To Automatically Erase Department E-Mails More Than Two Years Old Was Blocked By Judge.** “An Orange County Superior Court judge on Friday temporarily halted Sheriff Don Barnes’ plan to automatically erase department emails more than two years old starting April 1. […] Assistant Public Defender Scott Sanders, representing burglary defendant Justin Weisz, asked for the restraining order for fear that Barnes’ plan would encourage deputies to immediately begin erasing emails, including those involving the phone debacle
that surfaced last August. ‘We have a history of these officers not preserving evidence.’” [Orange County Register, 3/15/19]

**HEADLINE: Orange County Register: “Judge Blocks Email Destruction By OC Sheriff Don Barnes, Fearing Evidence Will Be Erased.”** [Orange County Register, 3/15/19]

Barnes’ Attempt To Delete Department E-Mails ‘Alarming’ Considering Orange County Sheriff Department’s History. “But freshman Sheriff Don Barnes’ decision to destroy hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of government records is alarming because of the timing and OCSD’s sordid history.” [Orange County Weekly, 3/20/19]

**Elliott Broidy**

**Steel Donated To Charity A $6,000 Campaign Contribution From Elliott Broidy – A Los Angeles Venture Capitalist Who Pled Guilty To Bribing Pension Officials**

Steel Donated A $6,000 Campaign Contribution From Elliott Broidy – A Los Angeles Venture Capitalist Who Pled Guilty To Bribing Pension Officials – To Charity. “Candidates for governor, state attorney general and other offices declared Monday that they would return tens of thousands of dollars received from Broidy, who on Friday admitted in court that he paid $1 million in bribes in New York to land $250 million in pension fund business. Broidy faces up to four years in prison. His business with pension funds in California is under investigation by state and federal authorities. […] Michelle Steel, a Republican who serves on the Board of Equalization, which sets state tax policy and presides over tax disputes, said she was also donating to charity the $6,000 Broidy gave her. ‘Because there is that level of impropriety with that donor, it’s important we not spend that money on the campaign,’ said Steel spokesman Tim Clark.” [Los Angeles Times, 12/8/09]

**2019: A Federal Grand Jury In New York Investigated Broidy For Using His Position As Vice Chair Of Trump’s Inaugural Committee To Drum Up Business Deals With Foreign Leaders.** “Reporting from NEW YORK — A federal grand jury in New York is investigating top Republican fundraiser Elliott Broidy, examining whether he used his position as vice chair of President Trump’s inaugural committee to drum up business deals with foreign leaders, according to documents obtained by the Associated Press and people familiar with the matter.” [Los Angeles Times, 7/8/19]

**2018: Broidy Stepped Down As Deputy Finance Chairman Of The RNC After The Wall Street Journal Reported His $1.6 Million Payment To A Model Who Said He Impregnated Her.** “Republican fundraiser Elliott Broidy on Friday resigned from his post as deputy national finance chairman at the Republican National Committee, a person familiar with the matter said, following a Wall Street Journal report that he agreed to pay $1.6 million to a former Playboy model who said Mr. Broidy had impregnated her.” [Wall Street Journal, 4/13/18]

**Elaine Chao**

**Steel Said She’d Known Elaine Chao “For More Than 20 Years” And Was Confident “That She Will Be An Excellent Secretary Of Transportation”**

Steel Said She’d Known Elaine Chao “For More Than 20 Years” And Was Confident “That She Will Be An Excellent Secretary Of Transportation.” “Michelle Park Steel, Vice Chair of the Orange County Board of Supervisors in California, and a member of President-Elect Trump’s Asian Pacific American Advisory Committee said: ‘I have known Elaine Chao for more than 20 years, and I am confident that she will be an excellent Secretary of Transportation.’” [Asian Fortune News, 12/10/16]
Rudy Giuliani

2007: Steel Endorsed Rudy Giuliani For President

2007: Steel Endorsed Rudy Giuliani For President. “Mitt Romney and John McCain may as well pack it in, at least as far as the California GOP presidential primary is concerned. Why? Because Rudy Giuliani has been endorsed by ... Michelle Steel. And who, you may ask with good reason, is Michelle Steel? Only ‘the highest-ranking elected GOP woman politician in California,’ according to a press release from Giuliani’s camp.” [Sacramento Bee, 3/26/07]

Sandra Hutchens

Steel’s Congressional Campaign Was Endorsed By Former Orange County Sheriff Sandra Hutchens

Steel Was Endorsed By Former Orange County Sheriff Sandra Hutchens. According to the ‘Endorsements’ section of her campaign page, Michelle Steel was endorsed by Former Orange County Sheriff Sandra Hutchens. [Michelle Steel 2020 Congress Campaign Page via Wayback Machine, Endorsements, accessed 9/30/19]

Hutchens’ Orange County Sheriff Department Was Investigated For Running An Illegal Jailhouse Informant Program

Hutchens Denied Allegations That The Orange County Sheriff’s Office Ran A Coordinated Jailhouse Informant Program. “Although she acknowledged that her deputies had for years used informants in county jails in order to get incriminating statements from certain inmates, Orange County Sheriff Sandra Hutchens on Wednesday denied allegations that a coordinated jailhouse informant program exists in the county and said a scandal pertaining to it was largely overblown.” [Huffington Post, 7/7/17]

Hutchens Failed To Turn Over Evidence In The Jailhouse Informant Program Case. “Although she acknowledged that her deputies had for years used informants in county jails in order to get incriminating statements from certain inmates, Orange County Sheriff Sandra Hutchens on Wednesday denied allegations that a coordinated jailhouse informant program exists in the county and said a scandal pertaining to it was largely overblown. [...] Goethals questioned Hutchens about the sheriff’s department’s continued failure to disclose all records related to the informant issue — even now, more than four years after he issued his first order that it do so. Goethals has repeatedly lambasted Hutchens for indicating that all pertinent documents have been turned over, only to additional documentation “wash ashore” into his courtroom later. [...] Hutchens responded that she’s aware of the ‘embarrassing’ criticism, adding that the agency has changed some policies pertaining to training deputies in how to use informants and disclosing evidence gleaned from them.” [Huffington Post, 7/7/17]

Hutchens Criticized State Investigation Into The Use Of Illegal Jailhouse Informants. “Sheriff Sandra Hutchens on Friday lambasted an investigation by state authorities into the use of illegal jailhouse informants,
questioning why the California Attorney General’s Office has already blamed her employees for the scandal. ‘I’m not confident with the attorney general investigation,’ Hutchens told supervisors during a workshop about how to better oversee Orange County’s criminal justice system.” [Orange County Register, 7/25/15]

**Hutchens Conceded That Deputies Moved Informants Near Defendants With The Goal Of Eliciting Incriminating Statements.** “Orange County Sheriff Sandra Hutchens admitted that for years deputies in the county’s jails intentionally moved confidential informants near targeted defendants with the goal of eliciting incriminating statements from those defendants, a potential violation of their constitutional rights. […] During more than four hours of testimony, Sheriff Hutchens acknowledged that some of her deputies may have improperly used confidential informants to bolster criminal cases.” [Southern California Public Radio, 7/5/17]

**Hutchens Called Jailhouse Scandal “Embarrassing” For Sheriff’s Department.** “Hutchens acknowledged that the snitch scandal in which her department has been embroiled has been ‘embarrassing.’” [Los Angeles Times, 7/5/2019]

**Department Of Justice Opened Investigation Into Orange County Sheriff’s Department For Possible Violation Of The Sixth Amendment.** “The Justice Department today opened a civil pattern-or-practice investigation into the Orange County District Attorney’s Office and the Orange County Sheriff’s Department, pursuant to the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994. The investigation will focus on allegations that the district attorney’s office and the sheriff’s department systematically used jailhouse informants to elicit incriminating statements from specific inmates who had been charged and were represented by counsel, in violation of the Sixth Amendment.” [Department of Justice, 12/15/16]

**Hutchens Was Blamed For Alleged Violence And Inhumane Conditions In Orange County Jails**

**ACLU Report Blamed Hutchens For Alleged Violence And Inhumane Conditions At Orange County Jails.** “The report also alleges that holding cells for the inmates are filthy, smeared with human waste and packed with people sleeping on the floors. In one case, deputies slammed a woman’s face against the wall, handcuffed her to a wheelchair and ‘paraded’ her around while she was partially nude, she told the ACLU. […] The ACLU blamed Sheriff Sandra Hutchens for the problems at the county’s jails and called for her resignation at Tuesday’s county Board of Supervisors meeting.” [Los Angeles Times, 6/27/17]

**Hutchens Announced Her Retirement After The ACLU Report Was Released.** “In its 104-page report released hours before Hutchens announced her retirement, the American Civil Liberties Union alleged that deputies often use excessive force against inmates, instigate violent confrontations among prisoners, house inmates in unsanitary conditions and deny inmates adequate health care. The report’s authors called for Hutchens’ immediate resignation and recommended numerous changes at the sheriff’s department, including the creation of an independent civilian commission to review jailhouse complaints.” [Orange County Register, 6/28/17]

**Hutchens Was Sued By The Orange County Deputies Union After Inmates Escaped Jail Because Of Staff Reductions And Unsafe Jail Conditions**

**Hutchens Was Sued By The Orange County Deputies Union After Three Inmates Escaped Jail Due To Staff Reductions, Unsafe Jail Conditions.** “The union that represents Orange County’s sheriff’s deputies sued Sheriff Sandra Hutchens and the department Thursday, alleging that staff reductions, unsafe jail conditions and a series of critical missteps contributed to last month’s escape of three inmates.” [Orange County Register, 2/12/16]

**Hutchens Shot And Killed A 33-Year-Old Man, And The Victim’s Family Was Compensated $1.3 Million In Wrongful Death Suit**
NBC: 1980: Hutchens Shot A 33-Year-Old Man, And It Resulted In A $1.3 Million Wrongful Death Suit, “The Largest Police Misconduct Verdict In California” At The Time. “The ACLU pointed out that Hutchens managed to rise through the ranks ‘despite a controversial deadly shooting in 1980, when she fatally shot a 33-year-old man. The death resulted in a $1.3 million wrongful death suit, which at the time was the largest police misconduct verdict in California.’” [NBC Los Angeles, 6/27/17]

**Ed Laird**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel Requested The Reappointment Of Ed Laird To The Orange County Visitors Association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Laird Was A Longtime Republican Operative Who Wanted To Serve On The Association Because He Had A “Love To Travel”

Laird was a wealthy Republican donor and Huntington Beach businessman who’s been involved in politics for many years. […] So why does Laird, whose experience is in chemistry, manufacturing, and ponying up big bucks for far-right wing politicians, want to sit on the Visitors Association? The answer’s simple, really, and can be found on Laird’s application to sit on the OCVA seems to acknowledge this. In the space for the final question—“Please briefly explain why you wish to serve on this Board, Committee, or Commission”—Laird simply wrote, ‘Love to travel.’ Is there a better explanation from a man who’s spent his life getting what he wanted? Qualifications, shmalifications—Laird’s a rich white Republican dude in Orange County.” [Orange County Weekly, 1/30/19]

**Sanjin Miller Oh**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel Requested The Reappointment Of Ed Laird To The Orange County Visitors Association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Steel Requested The Reappointment Of Ed Laird To The Orange County Visitors Association. “On Tuesday, Jan. 29, the Orange County Board of Supervisors approved Supervisor Michelle Steel’s request to reappoint Laird to another term on the Orange County Visitors Association—an organization that boosts OC tourism.” [Orange County Weekly, 1/30/19]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2014: Steel’s Board Of Equalization Campaign Was Criticized For Accepting The Endorsement Of Sanjin Miller Oh, A Buena Park City Councilmember Who Was Convicted For Repeatedly Committing Perjury</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

2014: Steel’s Board Of Equalization Campaign Was Criticized For Accepting The Endorsement Of Sanjin Miller Oh, A Buena Park City Councilmember Who Was Convicted For Repeatedly Committing Perjury. “Sangjin Miller Oh, 50, a Republican Buena Park city council member, was convicted on Friday of repeatedly committing perjury on California Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) documents to avoid paying child support to his ex-wife. Miller Oh, a real estate developer, was found guilty by a jury of five felony counts of perjury by declaration and faces a maximum sentence of six years and four months in state prison at his sentencing Aug. 22, 2014, in Department W-8, West Justice Center, Westminster, according to the Orange County District Attorney. […] Here’s the curious part – why did Michelle Steel, a carpetbagging candidate for the Orange County Board of Supervisors, announce her endorsement by Miller Oh on May 2 of this year, as we reported earlier? Miller Oh has been in trouble since 2012 yet Steel accepted and announced his endorsement? [OC Politics Blog, 5/31/14]
During His Recall Election, Steel Supported Scott Peotter, A City Councilman Who Made Several Homophobic Remarks

Peotter Made Several Homophobic Remarks. “In response to the Supreme Court’s marriage equality ruling, Newport Beach City Councilman Scott Peotter yesterday posted a bigoted and hateful statement on his website. […] As part of his post, Mr. Peotter suggested the LGBT community had appropriated the Rainbow (flag) in an effort to spare ourselves God’s retribution. He called it ‘wishful thinking.’ Peotter implicitly promoted the belief that LGBT people should be destroyed.” [Voice of Orange County, 7/8/15]

Peotter Doubled-Down On His Remarks And Refused To Apologize. “When Peotter was called on to explain his remarks, he doubled down on his bigotry while claiming he is neither homophobic nor against the LGBT community. In defense of his original post, he said ‘the homosexual movement is taking a symbol that was meant for something else and is corrupting it for their use.’” [Voice of Orange County, 7/8/15]

Steel Supported Peotter During His Recall Election

Steel Supported Peotter During His Recall Election. “Other leaders opposing the recall include State Sen. John Moorlach, R-Costa Mesa, county Supervisor Chairwoman Michelle Steel, Republican Assemblyman Matthew Harper, Newport Beach Mayor Kevin Muldoon, and council members Diane Dixon, Duffy Duffield, Will O’Neill and Brad Avery.” [Orange County Register, 4/17/17]

Dana Rohrabacher

Dana Rohrabacher Credited Steel And Her Husband For Helping Him First Get Elected To Congress

Dana Rohrabacher Credited Steel And Her Husband For Helping Him First Get Elected To Congress. “Baugh anticipates that when Rohrabacher retires he’ll back a bid by county Supervisor Michelle Steel to fill his seat. Rohrabacher credits Steel and her husband, Shawn Steel, for helping him first get elected to Congress, but said he has not announced any endorsements because he has not made firm plans to retire.” [Orange County Register, 5/3/16]

Jack Wu

Steel Nominated Jack Wu – An Orange County Political Operative Who Lied About Being An Accountant To Achieve Politically-Powerful Positions On Municipal Boards – To The Assessment Appeals Board, Only To Rescind His Nomination Shortly After

Wu Lied About Being An Accountant To Achieve Politically-Powerful Positions On Municipal Boards.

Wu Lied About Being An Accountant To Achieve Politically-Powerful Positions On Municipal Boards. “Embattled Newport Beach politico Jack Wu has for years portrayed himself as a savvy accountant whose expertise and connections earned him coveted columns in local newspapers and politically powerful positions on municipal boards and commissions. But amid accusations of embezzlement lodged against him by Rep. Dana Rohrabacher, another unflattering allegation has arisen: According to the state of California, Wu isn’t actually an accountant. […] Wu, 44, denied any wrongdoing in a phone interview with the Register and said he has found information through Google that says it’s legal to call yourself an accountant without a CPA license, including comments posted on the website Reddit.” [Orange County Register, 7/12/15]
Steel Nominated Wu To The Assessment Appeals Board, But Rescinded His Nomination Upon Learning About The Allegation Against Him

Steel Nominated Wu To The Assessment Appeals Board, But Rescinded His Nomination Upon Learning About The Allegations Against Him. “Supervisor Michelle Steel nominated Wu for the appeals board in May, but he hasn’t taken office yet and Steel will ask her colleagues to rescind his nomination at this week’s board meeting, according to the meeting agenda. The agenda report, prepared by Steel’s staff, said that prior to the theft allegation, Wu ‘had been a highly respected and trusted accountant and had been an appointee to the City of Newport Beach Finance Committee.’” [Orange County Register, 7/12/15]
Donald Trump

**Significant Findings**

- Steel endorsed Trump’s opponent, Ted Cruz, in the 2016 Republican primary.
  - Less than a month later, Steel said she “will play a role of a bridge between Trump and South Korea.”
- Steel and her husband greeted Trump at LAX when he visited California to see border wall prototypes.
- Steel was co-chair of the President’s Advisory Commission on Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders.

**Early Opposition To Trump**

April 2016: Steel Endorsed Trump’s Opponent, Ted Cruz, In The 2016 Republican Presidential Primary

April 2016: Steel Endorsed Trump’s Opponent, Ted Cruz, In The Republican Primary. “Ted Cruz has earned the endorsements of the following Current and Former Federal and State Elected Officials in California: […] Michelle Steel, Orange County Supervisor, former Board of Equalization Member.” [Targeted News Service, 4/28/16]

**Subsequent Support For Trump**

May 2016: Steel Delivered The Pledge Of Allegiance At Donald Trump’s Campaign Rally In Anaheim

[YouTube, 5/25/16]

**Public Events**

Steel And Her Husband Greeted Trump At LAX When He Visited California To See Border Wall Prototypes

2019: Steel And Her Husband Greeted Trump At LAX When He Visited California To See Border Wall Prototypes. “That same month, Steel and her husband – Shawn Steel, one of California’s three members on the
Republican National Committee – greeted Trump on an LAX tarmac when he came to the state to see border wall prototypes.” [Orange County Register, 1/23/19]

[Costa Mesa Democrats, 5/7/18]

Foreign Policy

Steel Said She “Will Play The Role Of A Bridge Between Trump And South Korea”

May 2016: Steel Said She “Will Play The Role Of A Bridge Between Trump And South Korea.” “Michelle Park Steel, vice chair of California’s Orange County Board of Supervisors, made the remark, vowing to play a bridging role between her native South Korea and Trump amid concerns about the negative effects a Trump presidency could have on the alliance between the two countries. […] ‘I will play the role of a bridge between Trump and South Korea,’ she said.” [Korea Times, 5/22/16]

2019: Trump Announced Steel As Co-Chair Of The President’s Advisory Commission On Asian Americans And Pacific Islanders

2019: Trump Announced Steel As Co-Chair Of The President’s Advisory Commission On Asian Americans And Pacific Islanders. “The President announced his intention to appoint Michelle Park Steel and Paul Hsu as members of the President’s Advisory Commission on Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders and, upon appointment, designate them as Co-chairs.” [Daily Compilation of Presidential Documents, 3/22/19]

2 Years Before Steel Was Assigned To The Commission, 10 Out Of 14 Members Resigned, Saying They “Opposed Trump’s Planned Immigration Policies And Anti-Immigration Rhetoric.” “The commission has counseled the past three U.S. Presidents on how the federal government can improve the well being of the nation’s fastest-growing racial group. But that commission’s role has hit turbulence during the Trump administration. Nearly two years ago, a month after Trump was sworn in, ten members of the then 14-person commission resigned, saying they opposed Trump’s planned immigration policies and anti-immigration rhetoric.” [Orange County Register, 1/24/19]
Issues
Abortion & Women’s Health Issues

Significant Findings

✓ Steel was endorsed by the Susan B. Anthony List and was described as “100% pro-life” by the organization.

Abortion

Steel Was Endorsed By The Susan B. Anthony List And Was Described As “100% Pro-Life” By The Organization

The Susan B. Anthony List Worked To End Abortion Rights By “Electing National Leaders And Advocating For Laws That Save Lives, With A Special Calling To Promote Pro-Life Women Leaders”

The Susan B. Anthony List Worked To End Abortion Rights By “Electing National Leaders And Advocating For Laws That Save Lives, With A Special Calling To Promote Pro-Life Women Leaders.” “About Susan B. Anthony List: SBA List’s mission is to end abortion by electing national leaders and advocating for laws that save lives, with a special calling to promote pro-life women leaders. […] We combine politics with policy, investing heavily in voter education to ensure that pro-life Americans know where their lawmakers stand on protecting the unborn, and in issue advocacy, advancing pro-life laws through direct lobbying and grassroots campaigns.” [Susan B. Anthony List, About Susan B. Anthony List, accessed 2/5/20]

January 2020: The Susan B. Anthony List Endorsed Steel’s Campaign For Congress And Described Her As “100% Pro-Life”

January 2020: The Susan B. Anthony List Endorsed Steel’s Campaign For Congress And Described Her As “100% Pro-Life.” “Washington, D.C. – Today the national pro-life group Susan B. Anthony List Candidate Fund (SBA List) announced a slate of endorsed pro-life women congressional candidates for 2020: […] ‘We are proud to endorse these eight exceptional pro-life women candidates,’ said Marilyn Musgrave, SBA List Vice President of Government Affairs. ‘With a special calling to promote women who will protect the unborn, SBA List stands behind this first group of endorsed candidates.’ […] Michelle Steel (CA-48) is a first generation Korean-American who has helped run her family’s small businesses since she was very young. She is 100% pro-life and passionately dedicated to her Christian faith. Michelle and her husband Shawn have two children.” [Susan B. Anthony List, Press Release, 1/2/20]

[Susan B. Anthony List, Michelle Steel, accessed 2/5/20]
## Budget Issues

### Significant Findings

- **2007:** Steel opposed the legislature ending a teacher tax credit in order to close the state’s budget gap.
- **2007:** Steel opposed Governor Schwarzenegger’s state budget proposal because of concerns about projected revenues and lack of budget reserves.
- **2008:** Steel opposed Governor Schwarzenegger’s plan to balance the state budget by borrowing against future lottery earnings.
- Steel opposed a sales tax on services despite estimates that the additional tax could “single-handedly eliminate California budget deficit.”
- Steel votes for a measure that would analyze how Huntington Beach could provide financial support to a beach airshow.

### Schwarzenegger Budgets

#### Steel Opposed The Legislature’s Ending Of The Teacher Tax Credit To Close The State’s Budget Gap

“Teachers should be reimbursed for their out-of-pocket classroom expenses, and tax credits give each teacher the greatest flexibility to decide the classroom supplies they need most. The state Legislature has the unenviable task of closing a $2 billion budget gap, but the $165 million Teacher’s Tax Credit is only milk money out of the state’s $140 billion budget.” [San Bernardino Sun, Michelle Steel 7/26/07]

#### Steel Opposed Governor Schwarzenegger’s State Budget Proposal Because Of Concerns About Projected Revenues And Lack Of Budget Reserves

OPINION: “Last month, Gov. Schwarzenegger unveiled his May revision to California’s $146 billion state budget. His proposal includes reasons for Californians to cheer; record money for schools and early repayment of bond debt are just two. But, ‘Can we afford it?’ California’s Legislative Analyst Elizabeth Hill - the Suze Orman of Sacramento - immediately released a critical report evaluating California’s capacity to spend. The Legislature needs to follow the legislative analyst’s prescient advice when crafting this budget. Among the list of concerns are overly optimistic assumptions about projected revenue, which would potentially create a $3 billion deficit this year and $5 billion deficit the following year. Furthermore, the proposed budget maintains a mere $529 million budget reserve.” [Riverside Business Press, Michelle Steel, 1/11/07]

#### Steel Opposed Governor Schwarzenegger’s Plan To Balance The State’s Budget By Borrowing Against Future Lottery Earnings

“Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger’s plan to balance the state’s budget crisis -- but at what cost? […] Californians have the
right to spend their money as they see fit, and that includes gambling on a Fantasy Five ticket or supermarket Scratcher. At the same time, it’s bad public policy to gamble the state budget on the hope that more Californians will act recklessly with their money.” [Los Angeles Times, Michelle Steel, 5/23/08]

**Budget Deficits**

**Steel Opposed A Sales Tax On Services Despite Estimates That The Additional Tax Could “Single-Handedly Eliminate California’s Budget Deficit”**

Steel Opposed A Sales Tax On Services Despite Estimates That The Additional Tax Could “Single-Handedly Eliminate California’s Budget Deficit.” “One idea, a new sales tax on services, stands out as the worst budget solution for desert taxpayers. A new sales tax on services is an unfair double tax on consumers that could devastate the desert’s $3.1 billion tourism industry. All the while, this new tax won’t contribute a single penny toward this year’s budget. Late last month, the Board of Equalization chairwoman reported to legislative leaders that a new sales tax on services could single-handedly eliminate California’s budget deficit. ‘At the state general fund rate of 5 percent, a tax levy on a broad base of services in California could generate in the neighborhood of $45 billion annually,’ the state’s top sales tax official wrote. […] If the Legislature’s past performance is any indication of the future, taxpayers need to do their part to oppose this egregious tax increase. Contact the governor and our representatives, and tell them a sales tax on services is the wrong budget solution.” [The Desert Sun, Michelle Steel, 5/14/08]

**Airshow Spending**

**Steel Voted For A Measure That Would Analyze How Huntington Beach Could Provide Financial Support To A Beach Airshow**

Steel Voted For A Measure That Would Analyze How Huntington Beach Could Provide Financial Support To A Beach Airshow. “This year’s show featuring the Blue Angels, slated for Sept. 29 to Oct. 1, has an estimated funding gap of about $350,000, according to a presentation given Monday at the City Council meeting. Last year’s inaugural show lost about $400,000 -- despite having more than 560,000 attendees. The event is expected to cost $975,000. The council voted 5-0 at the meeting to direct City Manager Fred Wilson to analyze ways the city can provide financial support to the air show’s operator, AirSupport LLC. […] Orange County Board of Supervisors Chairwoman Michelle Steel showed up to support the item, citing the air show’s successes, while resident Bobbi Ashurst said she opposed the city spending money on the show because there are other city issues to contend with, including infrastructure improvements.” [Daily Pilot, 8/22/17]
Steel voted against increasing restaurant inspection fees in Orange County. The raise was designed to fund an increase in inspections over a three year period.

Steel Voted Against Increasing Restaurant Inspection Fees; The Raise Was Designed To Fund An Increase In The Number Of Inspections Over A Three Year Period

Stein voted against increasing restaurant inspection fees in Orange County. The raise was designed to fund an increase in inspections over a three year period.

“Orange County supervisors today approved a plan to raise fees for restaurant inspections so there could be more of them throughout the year. The higher fees will boost resources for more inspections over the next three years. The plan is to have three inspections annually for restaurants. [...] Supervisor Michelle Steel and board Chairman Todd Spitzer voted against the increase.” [City News Service, 6/21/15]
Crime & Public Safety Issues

**Significant Findings**

- Steel utilized the power of her public positions to stunt oversight into Orange County law enforcement agencies that were run by her political allies.

- Steel voted to defund the Office of Independent Review while it was actively investigating two of her close political allies – and campaign chairs – for misusing jailhouse informants to illegally obtain confessions.

- Steel voted against the hiring of an expert to prepare a recommendations report on oversight for the County’s law enforcement agencies.

- Steel lamented the punishment of police officers who “step over the line and abuse a citizen.”

**Police Brutality**

**Steel Lamented The Punishment Of Police Officers Who “Step Over The Line And Abuse A Citizen”**

OP-ED: Steel Lamented The Punishment Of Police Officers Who “Step Over The Line And Abuse A Citizen.” “However, convicted thugs like Antione Miller are treated like heros [sic] at rock concerts and are allowed to walk free and harm other people. Policemen who step over the line and abuse a citizen are jailed longer than murderers and a convicted felon who gets roughed up becomes a celebrity and a millionaire.” [Korea Times, Michelle Eun Joo Park-Steel & Shawn Steel, 9/7/94]

**Police Oversight**

**Steel Voted Against Expanding The Office Of Independent Review To Also Oversee The Probation Department, District Attorney And Public Defender’s Offices, And The Social Services Agency**

Steel Voted Against Expanding The Office Of Independent Review To Also Oversee The Probation Department, District Attorney And Public Defender’s Offices, And The Social Services Agency. “By a 3-2 margin, the board voted to expand the Office of Independent Review to also oversee the Probation Department, District Attorney and Public Defender’s offices and the Social Services Agency. Supervisors Shawn Nelson and Michelle Steel cast the dissenting votes.” [City News Service, 12/15/15]

**Steel Voted To Defund The Office Of Independent Review, A Commission Tasked With Overseeing Problematic Practices In The Sheriff’s Department And District Attorney’s Office**

The Office Of Independent Review Was Tasked With Overseeing Problematic Practices In The Sheriff’s Department And District Attorney’s Office. “A second action by supervisors eliminates all funding for the county’s Office of Independent Review, which is tasked with monitoring liability issues from any potentially
problematic practices within the Sheriff’s Department, DA’s office and other county law enforcement agencies.” [Voice of Orange County, 6/13/18]

Steel Voted To Defund The Office Of Independent Review

Steel Voted To Defund The Office Of Independent Review. “Instead, Supervisors Do, Shawn Nelson, and Michelle Steel took a nonbinding straw vote to defund the office.” [Orange County Register, 6/12/18]

At The Time Of Steel’s Vote, The Office Of Independent Review Was Serving An “Important Role” In Investigating The Sheriff’s Department And District Attorney’s Office For Misusing Jailhouse Informants

At The Time Of Steel’s Vote, The Office Of Independent Review Was Serving An “Important Role” In Investigating The Sheriff’s Department And District Attorney’s Office For Misusing Jailhouse Informants. “The board’s straw vote to cut funding to the Office of Independent Review, which oversees both the Sheriff’s Department and the District Attorney’s Office, comes as federal and state investigators are looking at both agencies over whether they misused jailhouse informants to illegally gain confessions. As part of the probe, Dept. of Justice investigators have indicated they believed the OIR serves an important role. Government watchdogs agree.” [Orange County Register, 6/12/18]

Steel Was A Close Political Ally Of Two Individuals Involved In The Investigation, Sandra Hutchens And Tony Rackauckas

Hutchens And Rackauckas Were Sued By The ACLU For Their Role In Violating The Rights Of Criminal Defendants By Misusing Jailhouse Informants To Secure Convictions. “The ACLU of Southern California filed a lawsuit Wednesday against the Orange County’s district attorney and sheriff for allegedly violating the rights of criminal defendants by misusing jailhouse informants to secure convictions. […] The ACLU alleges in its lawsuit that Rackauckas and Hutchens ‘have needlessly compromised meritorious prosecutions and denied individuals who are innocent until proven guilty the evidence needed to defend themselves.’” [Southern California Public Radio, 4/4/18]

Hutchens And Rackauckas Served As Honorary Co-Chairs On Steel’s Campaign For Board Of Supervisors. “Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, county Sheriff Sandra Hutchens and former Assemblyman Jim Silva (R-Huntington Beach) are serving as honorary co-chairs for her campaign, Steel said.” [Los Angeles Times, 5/17/13]

Steel Was Endorsed By Both Hutchens And Rackauckas. “Orange County Sheriff Sandra Hutchens and Dist. Atty. Tony Rackauckas have endorsed Michelle Steel in her campaign for the District 2 seat on the Orange County Board of Supervisors, according to a news release.” [Los Angeles Times, 3/31/14]

Steel Supported Rackauckas Amidst Criticism Of His Handling Of The Scott Dekraai Mass Murder Case. “Todd Spitzer, chairman of the Board of Supervisors, stood before TV cameras last week and vowed the board would hold accountable the District Attorney’s Office for the misconduct that has stalled the Scott Dekraai mass murder case. […] Spitzer went on the attack after a judge removed the District Attorney’s Office from the penalty phase for Scott Dekraai, who pleaded guilty to killing eight people in October 2011 at a Seal Beach salon. Superior Court Judge Thomas Goethals handed the case over to the state Attorney General’s Office, which doesn’t want it and has appealed. […] Supervisor Michelle Steel, who lives in Seal Beach, offered unqualified support for Rackauckas. ‘I think he is an excellent district attorney, and I am confident that he takes responsibility for his department and will act to correct errors when they occur.’” [Orange County Register, 3/26/15]

Steel Endorsed Rackauckas’ Campaign. “Do, Nelson and Steel all have endorsed Rackauckas.” [Orange County Register, 6/12/18]
Steel Voted Against The Hiring Of An Expert To Prepare A Recommendations Report On Oversight For The County’s Law Enforcement Agency

Steel Voted Against The Hiring Of An Expert To Prepare A Recommendations Report On Oversight For The County’s Law Enforcement Agencies. “Michael Gennaco, a principal of OIR Group, who is perhaps best known locally for helping Fullerton police investigate the in-custody death of transient Kelly Thomas, was hired on a 3-2 vote to prepare a report making recommendations on oversight of the county’s law enforcement agencies. Gennaco, who started work today, will be paid $10,000 a month until year’s end. […] Supervisors Michelle Steel and Nelson voted against the Gennaco contract.” [City News Service, 8/25/15]
Education Issues

Significant Findings

✓ Steel opposed Proposition 30, a measure that would increase taxes to prevent cuts to music, dance, art and physical education programs in schools.

✓ Steel criticized college students “whose feelings get hurt by an opposing view,” saying they should receive “pacifiers and binky blankets.” Steel argued that college campuses with safe spaces are “sucking up taxpayer dollars mollycoddling young men and women.”

K-12 Funding

Steel Opposed Proposition 30, A Measure That Would Increase Taxes To Prevent Cuts To Music, Dance, Art And Physical Education Programs In Schools

Proposition 30 Would Prevent Cuts To Music, Dance, Art And Physical Education Programs In Schools By Increasing The Sales Tax By 0.25% For Four Years And Increasing The Income Tax On Households Earning More Than $250,000 A Year

Proposition 30 Would Prevent Cuts To Music, Dance, Art And Physical Education Programs In Schools By Increasing The Sales Tax By 0.25% For Four Years And Increasing The Income Tax On Households Earning More Than $250,000 A Year. “Up to 15 additional days permanently obliterated from the school calendar. Further cuts to music, dance, art, and physical education programs. Larger classes and fewer teachers. Tuition increase for all California public universities. If Prop 30 doesn’t pass, this is what every Californian student has to look forward to. […] See, informed voters seem to be aware of what Prop 30 will do if passed : increase sales tax by 0.25% for four years and increase income tax on households earning more than $250,000 a year.” [La Prensa San Diego, 10/5/12]

Steel Opposed Proposition 30

Steel Opposed Proposition 30. “Proposition 30, on the Nov. 6 ballot, will ask Californians to raise their own taxes by about $6.6 billion to fund California’s bloated government. Prop. 30 won’t fix our schools or stabilize the state budget; it will increase tax volatility and leave our children facing more of the same. […] Class warfare may be a good political tactic, but it is bad policy. Prop. 30 will make life for Californians more difficult across the wealth spectrum. […] The higher taxes in Prop. 30 will hurt the economy by taking more from Californians, making it more difficult to save for the future, to create jobs, or to find them. It will increase state spending without increasing student performance. And it will aggravate the revenue volatility that has plagued state budgeting for decades. Please join me in voting no on Prop. 30.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 9/25/12]

University Free Speech Issues

Steel Criticized College Students “Whose Feelings Get Hurt By An Opposing View” By Saying They Should Receive “Pacifiers And Binky Blankets”

Orange County Republicans Called On UC Irvine To Provide Security For Conservative Speaker Milo Yiannopoulos And Condemned Political Correctness, Trigger Warnings And Safe Spaces
Orange County Republicans Called On UC Irvine To Provide Security Protection For Conservative Speaker Milo Yiannopoulos And Condemned Political Correctness, Trigger Warnings And Safe Spaces “Members of the County’s Republican elected officials praised the courage of the College Republicans for hosting the controversial speaker and strongly condemned Political Correctness, ‘Trigger Warnings’, and ‘Safe Spaces’ for destroying academic freedom. ‘The extreme form of political correctness on college campuses isn’t just silly it’s a real threat to American principles. Free speech is how we avoid totalitarianism.” [OC Politics Blog, 5/28/16]

Steel Joined In On The Critiques

Steel Criticized Students “Whose Feelings Get Hurt By An Opposing View” By Saying They Should Receive “Pacifiers And Binky Blankets” And Said College Campuses With Safe Spaces Are “Sucking Up Taxpayer Dollars Mollycoddling Young Men And Women.” “It would probably be less expensive for taxpayers if the university distributes pacifiers and binky blankets to any student whose feelings get hurt by an opposing view then to fund group therapy in safe spaces. Either one is a racket but at least someone in the private sector would make money off the pacifiers rather than a campus employee sucking up tax dollars mollycoddling young men and women.’ - Michelle Steel, Orange County Supervisor, 2nd District.” [OC Politics Blog, 5/28/16]
Election Law & Campaign Finance Issues

Significant Findings

✓ Steel was alleged to have violated campaign finance law by accepting donations that exceeded the $1,900 limit set by county law.

✓ Steel was criticized for using taxpayer funds to send 16,000 voters campaign-style mailers in support of Tony Rackauckas, a close political ally, and herself.

✓ Steel dodged state law by sending 115,000 taxpayer-funded mailers to constituents before the start of a blackout period on spending.

✓ Steel opposed an Orange County campaign finance and ethics commission, calling the effort a “symbolic gesture.”

✓ Steel opposed a voting system upgrade that could “boost turnout and save taxpayers more than $20 million” without engaging in public discussion and failed to explain her reasoning.

✓ Steel supported term limits.

Steel Was Alleged To Have Accepted Donations Exceeding The Legal Limit

Steel Was Alleged To Have Violated Campaign Finance Law By Accepting Donations That Exceeded The $1,900 Limit Set By County Law

Steel Was Alleged To Have Violated Campaign Finance Law By Accepting Donations That Exceeded The $1,900 Limit Set By County Law. “A campaign watchdog filed a formal complaint this week with the Orange County District Attorney’s Office alleging supervisorial candidate Michelle Steel violated campaign contribution limits. Shirley Grindle said Steel accepted donations for her legal defense fund that exceeded the $1,900 limit set by county law. Grindle, author of the county’s TINCUP (Time Is Now, Clean Up Politics) campaign finance ordinance, said she filed the complaint after asking Steel to issue four refunds totaling $17,400.” [Orange County Register, 10/4/12]

The Formal Complaint Said That Steel “Refused To Refund” The Contributions Despite Being Requested To

The Formal Complaint Said That Steel “Refused To Refund” The Contributions Despite Being Requested To. “I have requested Mrs. Steel to refund those contributions made to her Legal Defense Fund that exceeded the contribution limit of $1900 per contributor (including those that should be aggregated because they are related companies). Mrs. Steel has refused to refund these even though the local ordinance clearly intended for a limit to be placed on funds accepted to pay for legal expenses.” [OC Politics Blog, 10/1/14]

Ethics

Steel Used Taxpayer Funds To Send 16,000 Campaign-Style Mailers For An Event Held At A Private Home
Steel Used Taxpayer Funds To Mail Out Invitations For “Community Coffee.” “In sending the mailers for the Jan. 27 coffee meeting, at a cost to taxpayers of about $5,800, Steel ignored advice from county lawyers who said that the mailer needed to have an expressly stated purpose.” [Orange County Register, 2/7/18]

Steel Sent The Invitation To 16,000 Voters Even Though The Event Was Being Held At A Private Home That Only “Had Room For A Few Dozen Participants.” “The mailings to 16,000 people – for an event held at the home of Costa Mesa City Council candidate Lee Ramos, which had room for a few dozen participants – included Rackauckas’ name but no public purpose for the gathering.” [Orange County Register, 2/7/18]

Steel Ignored The Advice Of County Lawyers Who Said The Mailer “Needed To Have An Expressly Stated Purpose.” “In sending the mailers for the Jan. 27 coffee meeting, at a cost to taxpayers of about $5,800, Steel ignored advice from county lawyers who said that the mailer needed to have an expressly stated purpose.” [Orange County Register, 2/7/18]

The Event Also Benefitted Tony Rackauckas, A Close Political Ally Of Steel

The Event Also Benefitted Tony Rackauckas. “Orange County supervisors expressed concern Tuesday that their colleague, Supervisor Michelle Steel, improperly spent taxpayer funds to send out 16,000 public mailers for campaigning purposes that also benefited incumbent District Attorney Tony Rackauckas. [...] Steel and Rackauckas are both seeking re-election this year.” [Orange County Register, 2/7/18]

Steel Was Endorsed By Both Hutchens And Rackauckas. “Orange County Sheriff Sandra Hutchens and Dist. Atty. Tony Rackauckas have endorsed Michelle Steel in her campaign for the District 2 seat on the Orange County Board of Supervisors, according to a news release.” [Los Angeles Times, 3/31/14]

Steel Supported Rackauckas Amidst Criticism Of His Handling Of The Scott Dekraai Mass Murder Case. “Todd Spitzer, chairman of the Board of Supervisors, stood before TV cameras last week and vowed the board would hold accountable the District Attorney’s Office for the misconduct that has stalled the Scott Dekraai mass
murder case. […] Spitzer went on the attack after a judge removed the District Attorney’s Office from the penalty phase for Scott Dekraai, who pleaded guilty to killing eight people in October 2011 at a Seal Beach salon. Superior Court Judge Thomas Goethals handed the case over to the state Attorney General’s Office, which doesn’t want it and has appealed. […] Supervisor Michelle Steel, who lives in Seal Beach, offered unqualified support for Rackauckas. ‘I think he is an excellent district attorney, and I am confident that he takes responsibility for his department and will act to correct errors when they occur.’” [Orange County Register, 3/26/15]

Steel Endorsed Rackauckas’ Campaign. “Do, Nelson and Steel all have endorsed Rackauckas.” [Orange County Register, 6/12/18]

---

**After The Orange County Auditor-Controller Questioned The Legality Of Campaign-Style Mailers, Steel Supported Dismantling The County’s Ability To Independently Audit The Board**

**The Orange County Auditor-Controller Questioned The Legality Of Taxpayer Funded Mailers**

Voice Of OC: County Supervisors Clashed With The Auditor-Controller For “Questioning Of The Legality Of Supervisors’ Taxpayer Funded Mailers To Voters That Prominently Feature The Supervisors.” “The auditor-controller is independently elected by county voters, and Woolery won re-election last week with about 75 percent of the vote. But he has repeatedly drawn the ire of Nelson and other county supervisors since he took office in early 2015, including his questioning of the legality of supervisors’ taxpayer funded mailers to voters that prominently feature the supervisors.” [Voice of OC, 6/13/18]

Steel Voted To Give The Board Of Supervisors Control Of The County’s Internal Auditors

Headline: “Supervisors Start Taking Control Of County’s Financial Checks And Balances.” [Voice of OC, 6/28/18]

Ste Voted To Give The Board Of Supervisors Of The County’s Internal Auditors, Removing Them From The Jurisdiction Of The County Auditor-Controller. “Orange County supervisors acted Tuesday to grant themselves control over the county’s financial checks and balances – a move encouraged by two former employees who allege wrongdoing and opposed by people concerned the supervisors were dismantling independent oversight. The supervisors’ most immediate action was to take control of the county’s internal auditors, who are supposed to function as watchdogs against fraud in county departments and currently report to the county’s independently-elected auditor-controller, Eric Woolery. The 14 budgeted staff of the Internal Audit Division will be transferred from Woolery’s office and will start reporting to the supervisors this Sunday, July 1, according to the supervisors’ action. The move was approved on a 4-1 vote, with Supervisor Todd Spitzer opposing.” [Voice of OC, 6/28/18]

Steel Voted To Cut $1 Million From The Budget Of The County Auditor-Controller

June 2018: The Orange County Board Of Supervisors Cut $1 Million From The Budget Of The Auditor-Controller. “Earlier at Monday’s meeting, supervisors voted to cut $1 million from recently re-elected county Auditor-Controller Eric Woolery’s budget and strip some of his auditing powers. A more substantial plan to break up Woolery’s office fell one vote shy of passing after some supervisors worried that taking away his control of issuing county payments could be too disruptive in the short-term.” [Orange County Register, 6/27/18]

Steel Voted To Decrease Funding For The Auditor-Controller By $656,570. “ON THE MOTION OF VICE CHAIRMAN NELSON, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR STEEL, AND SUPERVISOR SPITZER VOTING NO, THE BOARD REDUCED FUNDING FOR THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, BUDGET 003 BASELINE APPROPRIATIONS BY $656,570.” [Orange County Board of Supervisors Meeting Minutes, 6/26/18 (Afternoon Meeting)]
Steel Voted To Eliminate $385,639 In Funding Requests By The Auditor-Controller. “ON THE MOTION OF VICE CHAIRMAN NELSON, SECONDED BY CHAIRMAN DO, AND SUPERVISOR SPITZER VOTING NO, THE BOARD ELIMINATED ALL RESTORE AUGMENTATION REQUESTS FOR THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER OF $385,639.” [Orange County Board of Supervisors Meeting Minutes, 6/26/18 (Afternoon Meeting)]

Steel Dodged State Law By Sending Taxpayer-Funded Mailers To Constituents Before The Start Of A Blackout Period On Spending

California State Law Prohibits Elected Officials Statewide From Sending Publicly Funded Mailers During The 60 Days Leading Up To An Election

California State Law Prohibits Elected Officials Statewide From Sending Publicly Funded Mailers During The 60 Days Leading Up To An Election. “California law prohibits elected officials from sending publicly funded mailers during the 60 days leading up to an election. The state Legislature passed the regulation last year, after another Orange County supervisor, board Chairman Andrew Do, spent $277,000 of taxpayer funds to send 1.2 million pieces of mail during the 2016 election, which he later won by fewer than 700 votes. This year, the 60-day blackout started April 6.” [Orange County Register, 5/1/18]

Steel Dodged State Law By Sending Hundreds Of Thousands Of Taxpayer-Funded Mailers To Constituents Before The Start Of A Blackout Period On Spending

Steel Dodged State Law By Sending Taxpayer-Funded Mailers To Constituents Before The Start Of A Blackout Period On Spending. “A state law intended to stop elected officials from campaigning with public funds in the weeks just before elections might have prompted Orange County officials to step up such spending earlier this year. During a six-week window starting in late February, four county supervisors running for public office — Michelle Steel, Todd Spitzer, Shawn Nelson and Lisa Bartlett — cumulatively sent out $241,000 worth of tax-financed mass mailers to constituents, before the start of a blackout period on such spending in advance of the June 5 primary, county records show. […] Steel, who is running for re-election in a competitive race in the county’s 2nd District, sent at least 115,000 mailers in the weeks before the blackout, using about $33,000 in tax money. One mailer advertised a hiring fair, and another simply highlighted services offered by CalOptima, the county’s longtime health care service for poor and disabled residents. Both featured Steel’s name prominently. Before this election cycle, Steel had sent only one mailer in her three years in office, at a cost of $2,600. ‘The big tell (that it’s campaigning) is that they’re not sending out mailers in nonelection years,’ Stern said” [Orange County Register, 5/1/18]

Steel Sent Out At Least 115,000 Mailers, Using About $33,000 In Taxpayer Dollars. “Steel, who is running for re-election in a competitive race in the county’s 2nd District, sent at least 115,000 mailers in the weeks before the blackout, using about $33,000 in tax money.” [Orange County Register, 5/1/18]

Orange County Campaign Finance And Ethics Commission

Steel Opposed An Orange County Campaign Finance And Ethics Commission, Calling The Effort A “Symbolic Gesture”

Steel Opposed An Orange County Campaign Finance And Ethics Commission, Calling The Effort A “Symbolic Gesture.” “A decade-long effort by a citizen watchdog Shirley Grindle to establish an Orange County political ethics commission took a major step forward Tuesday, with county supervisors spending hours modifying her team’s proposal before setting up a vote for later this month to put it on the upcoming June ballot. […] The measure is the byproduct of years of advocacy for an ethics commission by the 81-year-old Grindle, her supporters, and multiple county grand juries — all frustrated over what they say is lax enforcement of local campaign finance
laws by District Attorney Tony Rackauckas. In recent years, county grand juries have twice recommended that the Board of Supervisors create an ethics commission. Both times, county supervisors rejected the suggestion. […] Supervisor Michele Steel opposed the ethics commission entirely, suggesting it won’t be doing anything that’s not currently being done. ‘It is not ethical to waste taxpayer dollars in order for you to make symbolic gestures,’ Steel told her colleagues.” [Voice of Orange County, 10/6/15]

### Steel Voted Against Putting The Campaign Finance And Ethics Commission On The Ballot For Voters To Resolve

**Steel Voted Against Putting A Campaign Finance And Ethics Commission On The Ballot For Voters To Resolve.** “Orange County voters in June will be asked to establish a campaign finance and ethics commission, under action taken today by the Board of Supervisors. The board voted 4-1 to put the question on the June 7 presidential primary ballot. Supervisor Michelle Steel, who cast the dissenting vote, complained about the cost of running the commission.” [City News Service, 10/20/15]

**Steel Later Suggesting Including The Price Of The Commission On The Ballot.** “Supervisor Michelle Steel, the only supervisor who last month voted against putting the proposal on the June 7 ballot, suggested including a price with the ballot proposal, but her colleagues instead chose to order the analysis.” [Orange County Register, 11/10/15]

### Voters Approved The Ethics Commission, With 69 Percent Voting In Support

**Orange County Voters Approved Establishing The County Ethics Commission With 69 Percent Support.** [Orange County Registrar of Voters, 6/7/19]

![A-County of Orange, Establish County Ethics Commission](image)

2014: The Board Of Supervisors Was Criticized By The Orange County Grand Jury For Lacking An Independent Ethics Commission

**2014: The Orange County Board Of Supervisors Was Criticized By The County Grand Jury For Lacking Independent Ethics Commission, Like Counties For Los Angeles, San Diego And San Francisco.** “The Board of Supervisors has twice since 2013 rejected the creation of an independent commission. The 2014 Orange County grand jury derided the county for lacking an independent ethics commission such as those in Los Angeles, San Diego, San Francisco and other major cities.” [Orange County Register, 10/7/15]

### Election Infrastructure

**Steel Opposed A Voting System Upgrade That Could “Boost Turnout And Save Taxpayers More Than $20 Million” Without Engaging In Public Discussion And Failed To Explain Her Reasoning**
The Voting System Upgrade Would Send Every Voter A Mail Ballot Beginning In 2018 And Could “Boost Turnout And Save Taxpayers More Than $20 Million.” “California’s top election official has accused Orange County’s governing board of playing politics and whipping up unfounded fears of voter fraud by rejecting a proposed overhaul of the county’s voting system that proponents say could boost turnout and save taxpayers more than $20 million. […] Under Kelley’s proposal, the county would send every voter a mail ballot beginning in 2018. The county also would install 93 ‘military-grade’ secure drop boxes in various communities and replace more than 1,000 precinct polling places with 150 ‘vote centers.’ The vote centers would open 10 days before an election to allow people to cast ballots in person or drop off mail ballots. A county analysis shows the changes would be significantly cheaper than replacing aging voting machines at each polling place. Kelley argued the shift reflects a new reality: 61 percent of county voters are permanently registered to vote by mail, and that number continues to grow. Similar models have been implemented in Washington and Colorado, where election officials report increases in voter turnout.” [Chico Enterprise-Record, 6/24/17]

Steel Opposed The Upgrade Without Engaging In Public Discussion And Failed To Explain Her Reasoning

“Steel Opposed The Upgrade Without Engaging In Public Discussion And Failed To Explain Her Reasoning. “Through a spokeswoman, Chairwoman Michelle Steel said that the board followed proper procedure in considering the voting system agenda item, but she did not respond to Register questions about why she opposed the proposed changes.” [Chico Enterprise-Record, 6/24/17]

Term Limits

Steel Supported Term Limits

“Steel Supported Term Limits. “Three weeks ago Supervisor Shawn Nelson added, as a last minute ‘supplemental addition’ to a board meeting agenda, a proposed ballot measure that would have gutted the existing strong term limits. Adding the item so late provided one business day notice to the public. Supervisor Nelson is in the middle of his second term, and will thus will be forced from the board in 2018. As drafted, Nelson’s proposal would have changed the term limits from two terms to three, overtly permitting every incumbent an opportunity to serve four more years. […] The proposal drew quick criticism from Supervisors Michelle Steel and Todd Spitzer.” [Orange County Register, 7/29/16]
Environmental Issues

**Significant Findings**

- Steel said “we need to overhaul the California Environmental Quality Act” to make it easier for individuals to find affordable housing.

- Steel voted against adopting environmental report findings, a step required by the California Environmental Quality Act.

- Steel opposed a statewide ballot measure that would issue $7.1 billion in bonds to pay for water infrastructure. An Orange County Municipal Water District study found that without significant investment, the county would suffer regular water shortages by 2040.

- Steel opposed Proposition 21, a measure that would raise about $500 million per year for California’s 278 state parks by increasing vehicle license fees by $18 a year.

- Steel opposed the California Air Resources Board’s expansion of the state’s cap-and-trade program to include gasoline and diesel fuels.

- Steel objected to a fire-prevention fee for 825,488 rural property owners and called the fee “unconstitutional.” In the time since her objection, California was wracked by four of the largest wildfires in state history.

**California Environmental Quality Act**

**Steel Said “We Need To Overhaul The California Environmental Quality Act” To Make It Easier For Individuals To Find Affordable Housing**

Steel said we “Need To Overhaul The California Environmental Quality Act” To Make It Easier For Individuals To Find Affordable Housing. “We should be looking at both lasting and effective reforms that would benefit all of our residents instead of forcing one population to pay for another. We need to overhaul the California Environmental Quality Act and our current tax system to make it easier for individuals to find truly affordable housing.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 7/8/19]

**The California Environmental Quality Act Required State And Local Agencies To Identify The Significant Environmental Impact Of Their Actions And To Avoid Or Mitigate Those Impacts, If Feasible**

The California Environmental Quality Act Required State And Local Agencies To Identify The Significant Environmental Impact Of Their Actions And To Avoid Or Mitigate Those Impacts, If Feasible. “CEQA, or the California Environmental Quality Act, is a statute that requires state and local agencies to identify the significant environmental impacts of their actions and to avoid or mitigate those impacts, if feasible.” [California Natural Resources Agency, accessed 1/18/20]

**Some Have Argued That The California Environmental Quality Act Has Exacerbated California’s Housing Crisis By Making It More Difficult To Build**

Some Have Argued That The California Environmental Quality Act Has Exacerbated California’s Housing Crisis By Making It More Difficult To Build. “Inside the Capitol’s corridors and pro-development quarters
around the state, CEQA is increasingly disparaged as a villain in the state’s housing crisis. […] Conversely, attorney Jennifer Hernandez with the San Francisco law firm Holland & Knight labels CEQA ‘the tool of choice for preventing cities from approving high-density housing.’ She said housing projects ‘are in fact the top target of CEQA lawsuits statewide,’ with a quarter of lawsuits against CEQA-reviewed projects targeting housing.” [CalMatters, 5/13/19]

**Steel Voted Against Adopting Environmental Report Findings, A Step Required By The California Environmental Quality Act**

Steel Voted Against Adopting Environmental Report Findings, A Step Required By The California Environmental Quality Act. “Separately, Miller, along with directors Andrew Do, Michelle Steel, Tom Tait and Jim Katapodis, voted against adopting environmental report findings, a step required by the California Environmental Quality Act.” [Orange County Register, 9/29/15]

**California State Parks**

**Proposition 21 Would Raise About $500 Million Per Year In A Dedicated Fund For California’s 278 State Parks By Increasing Vehicle License Fees By $18 A Year**

Proposition 21 Would Raise About $500 Million Per Year In A Dedicated Fund For California’s 278 State Parks By Increasing Vehicle License Fees By $18 A Year. “Proposition 21: Establishes $18 Annual Vehicle License Surcharge to Help Fund State Parks and Wildlife Programs and Grants Free Admission to All State Parks to Surcharged Vehicles. […] Fiscal Impact: Annual increase to state revenues of $500 million from surcharge on vehicle registrations. After offsetting some existing funding sources, these revenues would provide at least $250 million more annually for state parks and wildlife conservation.” [California Legislative Analyst’s Office, 7/15/10]

**Steel Opposed Proposition 21, Calling The Measure A “Cynical Ploy From Sacramento”**

Steel Opposed Proposition 21, Calling The Measure A “Cynical Ploy From Sacramento.” “Proposition 21 is a cynical ploy by Sacramento politicians to bring back the car tax and enable their addiction to wasteful government spending. State parks are some of California’s true jewels, and Californians agree about the importance of conserving these treasures for future generations. But a new tax is not the answer for funding our state parks. […] Let’s recommit ourselves to conserving the wonder and beauty of our great state. But first let’s reject this cynical ploy from Sacramento. Join me in voting ‘no’ on Proposition 21 in November.” [San Gabriel Valley Tribune, 8/21/10]

**Cap-And-Trade**

**The California Air Resources Board Expanded The State’s Cap-And-Trade Program To Include Gasoline And Diesel**

The California Air Resources Board Expanded The State’s Cap-And-Trade Program To Include Gasoline And Diesel. “Starting on January 1, 2015, fuels, such as gasoline, diesel and natural gas, will be covered under the Cap-And-Trade Program.” [California Air Resources Board, accessed 1/23/20]
Fuels Were Included In The Cap-And-Trade Program To Achieve 2020 Greenhouse Gas Reduction Limits And Invest In Programs Funding Public Health And Quality Of Life

Fuels Were Included In The Cap-And-Trade Program To Achieve 2020 Greenhouse Gas Reduction Limits And Invest In Programs Funding Public Health And Quality Of Life. “Transportation fuels also produce 80 percent of smog-causing pollution and more than 95 percent of fine particle pollution from diesel engines. Reducing emissions from the transportation sector is critical to achieving the 2020 greenhouse gas reduction limit required by AB 32, as well as meeting ambient air quality standards and reducing localized health impacts. […] Proceeds from the sales of permits under the Cap-and-Trade Program are invested in California, funding programs statewide that improve public health, quality of life and economic opportunity.” [California Air Resources Board, accessed 10/1/19]

Steel Opposed The Expansion, Stating It Would Hurt Job Creation Through Increased Fees And Regulations

Steel Opposed The Tax, Stating It Would Hurt Job Creation Through Increased Fees And Regulations. “Just when California’s economy appears to be moving forward again, our state regulators have found yet another way to extract more dollars from consumers’ pockets and send them to Sacramento. […] As a member of the Board of Equalization, I’ve seen time and time again how increasing costs through taxes, fees and regulations can hurt job creation. Already, many small businesses operate on the thinnest of margins and struggle to make payroll due to California’s high taxes and excessive regulation. An additional tax on gas will only make it more difficult for these businesses to survive, much less expand and hire additional employees.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 8/22/14]

Rural Fire Prevention Fees

Steel Objected To A Fire-Prevention Fee For 825,488 Rural Property Owners And Called The Fee “Unconstitutional”

2012: Steel Objected To A Fire-Prevention Fee For 825,488 Rural Property Owners. “A week before California begins mailing notices to 825,488 rural property owners that they face paying a new fire-prevention fee, state officials are bracing for a flood of angry responses and some are predicting the charge will end up being challenged in court. The state Board of Equalization plans to begin sending out notices next week, and mail the first bills Aug. 13. Board Vice Chair Michelle Steel said this week that she objects to the fee and ‘will not be surprised to see this unconstitutional fire tax in the courts soon after bills are sent.’” [PolitiCal, 8/1/12]

California Has Since Seen A Steady Uptick In Wildfires, With Four Of The Five Biggest Fires In The State’s History Occurring In The Years Following Steel’s Objection. According to data from the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE), four of the five biggest fires in California’s history have occurred since August 2012. These fires include the Rim Fire (August 2013), the Rush Fire (August 2012), the Thomas Fire (December 2017) and the Mendocino Complex Fire (July 2018). [California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, 8/8/19]

Water

Steel Opposed A Statewide Ballot Measure That Would Issue $7.1 Billion In Bonds To Pay For Water Infrastructure
Steel Opposed A Statewide Ballot Measure That Would Issue $7.1 Billion In Bonds To Pay For Water Infrastructure. “Steel, who said she is no fan of bonds, is against a statewide ballot measure, Proposition 1, that would issue $7.1 billion in bonds to pay for water infrastructure.” [Orange County Register, 10/28/14]

A 2016 Study Conducted By The Municipal Water District Of Orange County Found That Projected Water Supply Shortages Were Too Great To Sustain Without New Investments In The County. “A number of conclusions can be drawn from both Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the OC Study, these being: Projected water supply shortages, both in terms of likelihood and size, are too great to sustain for the MET region and Orange County without NEW investments in water supply over today’s existing supply levels. Without NEW investments, water shortages in Orange County are anticipated to occur in 8 of 10 years by year 2040.” [Municipal Water District of Orange County, Orange County Water Reliability Study, 12/1/16]
**Equal Rights & Workplace Fairness**

**Significant Findings**

- Steel repeatedly argued against Affirmative Action policies.

- Steel wrote that policies encouraging minority hiring in Los Angeles’ Firefighter Corps were “draconian” and could create “a new level of racial exclusion.”

- Steel was “forced out” of her position on the Los Angeles Board of Airport Commissioners over her alleged support for the California Civil Rights Initiative, a “proposal to scrap state laws supporting quotas and racial preferences in hiring.”

- Upon announcing her departure from the board, Steel said an African-American councilmember who criticized her stance on Affirmative Action had “exploited the Korean community” and was “morally and personally bankrupt.”

- Steel voted against funding Orange County’s Human Relations Commission, a commission dedicated to combatting hate crimes.

- Steel cast her dissenting vote despite an increase in the number of reported hate crimes in Orange County over the previous year.

- Steel sought to grant County Supervisors the power to directly control the Human Relation Council’s staff in an effort to oust a member of the commission who voted to release their annual report on hate crimes.

- Steel argued that John Wayne deserved to be honored despite his racist and bigoted remarks.”

- Steel presented a resolution proclaiming May 26, 2017 as “John Wayne Day.”

**Affirmative Action**


Steel Wrote That Policies Encouraging Minority Hiring In Los Angeles’ Firefighters Corps Were “Draconian” And Could Create “A New Level Of Racial Exclusion.” “Because of their skin color, ‘white,’ 5,000 applicants were prohibited from taking the firefighter exam in February. The genesis of this Draconian remedy is a 1974 consent decree between the city of Los Angeles and the Justice Department. The decree’s interim and long-range goals effectively required the Fire Department to hire 50% of its firefighters from minorities. […] Everyone recognizes the importance of encouraging minority races to get a fair opportunity to become firefighters. But in the hands of bureaucracy, this process can become a horror show by creating a new level of racial exclusion.” [Los Angeles Times, Michelle Eun Joo Park-Steel, 4/4/94]

**Under The 1974 Consent Decree Between The City Of Los Angeles And The Justice Department, LA Promised To Hire At Least 50% Nonwhites Annually Until Minority Representation Matched The Racial Composition Of The City’s Civilian Labor Force**
Under The 1974 Consent Decree Between The City Of Los Angeles And The Justice Department, Los Angeles Promised To Hire At Least 50% Nonwhites Annually Until Minority Representation Matched The Racial Composition Of The City’s Civilian Labor Force. “When the city originally signed the decree to settle a Justice Department complaint, nonwhites made up a mere 5% of the firefighter force. […] Under terms of the decree, the city had promised to hire at least 50% nonwhites annually until minority representation matched the racial composition of the city’s civilian labor force.” [Los Angeles Times, 4/9/02]

A Federal Judge Ended The Decree In 2002 After Finding That “The Goals Of The Original Consent Decree Have Been Accomplished.” “A federal judge on Monday ended a 1974 consent decree requiring that half of all Los Angeles firefighters be hired from the ranks of blacks, Latinos and Asians to alleviate racial disparities. ‘Twenty eight years of water has now passed over the dam. All of the goals of the original consent decree have been accomplished,’ U.S. District Judge Harry L. Hupp wrote.” [Los Angeles Times, 4/9/02]

In 1994, Steel Was A Member Of The Los Angeles Fire Commission

Steel Was A Member Of The Los Angeles Fire Commission At The Time. “Park-Steel, at the time a member of the Fire Commission, wrote that the prohibition was a “Draconian” outgrowth of a 1974 consent decree requiring the Fire Department eventually to have a 50% minority force.” [Los Angeles, 9/8/95]

Los Angeles Council Members Sought To Remove Steel From Her Position On The Board Of Airport Commissioners Because Of “Her Views On Affirmative Action”

Steel Supported The California Civil Rights Initiative, Which Proposed Eliminating State Laws Enforcing Racial Preferences In Hiring

Los Angeles Council Members Sought To Remove Steel From Her Position On The Board Of Airport Commissioners Because Of “Her Views On Affirmative Action.” “Mayor Richard Riordan’s new appointee to the Los Angeles airport commission has taken her oath of office, but some City Council members want to undo her appointment because of her views on affirmative action. The council’s more liberal members have called for the removal of Michelle Park-Steel from the Board of Airport Commissioners because she has advocated rescinding state affirmative action laws.” [Bond Buyer, 9/5/95]

Steel Was Said To Have Endorsed The California Civil Rights Initiative, A “Proposal To Scrap State Laws Supporting Quotas And Racial Preferences In Hiring.” “Later in the day, even before the dust had settled on the racially charged council debate, the saga of the appointment of Michelle Park-Steel to the Airport Commission took other wild twists when it was announced -- and then denied -- that the appointee had endorsed a controversial measure that civil rights supporters say would gut affirmative action. […] Feuer pledged to introduce a motion Friday demanding that both Park-Steel and Joe Gelman -- a Riordan appointee and a proponent of a proposal to scrap state laws supporting quotas and racial preferences in hiring -- appear before the council to explain themselves. It was Gelman -- already an anathema to civil rights leaders -- who issued a press release only hours after Park-Steel was narrowly confirmed by the council. The release quoted her as saying she endorsed his initiative.” [Los Angeles Times, 9/7/95]

Steel’s Husband, Shawn Steel, Raised Funds In Support Of The California Civil Rights Initiative. “It was also revealed that her husband, attorney Shawn Steel, who is the treasurer of the California Republican Party, has also raised funds for the proposed ballot measure, called the California Civil Rights Initiative, which seeks to roll back many affirmative action programs in the state.” [Los Angeles Times, 11/8/95]

Steel Attacked An African American Commissioner, Claiming He Misrepresented Her Support For The Initiative
Steel Claimed She Had Not Read The Initiatives’ Proposal And That She Did Not Endorse It. “Apparently, the friction between the City Council office and the Mayor’s office over the affirmative action issue was initiated when Civil Service Councilman Joe Gelman had, according to Riordan, ‘improperly claimed’ that another commissioner, Park-Steel, had endorsed his Gelman’s ballot proposal called California’s civil rights initiative which attacks traditional affirmative action programs. After Park-Steel’s confirmation that there was a misunderstanding between Gelman and herself and that in fact she had never read the official proposal, Riordan announced that Park-Steel would have ‘had never done no such thing,’ (agree to Gelman’s controversial affirmative action ballot proposal) thus securing the welcomed resignation of Gelman less than a week prior to Park-Steel’s resignation last Tuesday. […] According to Councilman Nate Holden in Sept. 8 issue of The Los Angeles Times, he is convinced that Park-Steel had lied about her position on Gelman’s initiative to win appointment.” [Korea Times, 9/20/95]

Steel Said Holden Had “Exploited The Korean Community” And Was “Morally And Personally Bankrupt.” “According to Councilman Nate Holden in Sept. 8 issue of The Los Angeles Times, he is convinced that Park-Steel had lied about her position on Gelman’s initiative to win appointment. This imputation was charged back at in Park-Steel’s pointed statement which she read in the mayor’s conference room upon her announced departure. Singling out Holden, an African American whose district includes Koreatown, Park-Steel called him ‘a vengeful person who has exploited the Korean community. He is morally and personally corrupt and utterly unqualified to sit in judgment of me,’ as appeared in an article in the Sept. 8 issue of The Los Angeles Times.” [Korea Times, 9/20/95]

Steel Was “Forced Out” Of Her Position On The Board Of Airport Commissioners Over Controversy About Her Affirmative Action Views

Steel Was “Forced Out” Of Her Position On The Board Of Airport Commissioners Over Controversy About Her Affirmative Action Views. “Garcia, a former lobbyist and veteran of key commission leadership posts in two mayoral Administrations, is Riordan’s choice to replace Michelle Park-Steel, who was forced out earlier this month in controversy over her affirmative action views and her statements about them with the City Council during confirmation hearings on her reappointment. […] Recently, some council members have threatened to hold up the appointments over a clash with the Administration over affirmative action. While the clash forced the resignations of Park-Steel and Civil Service Commissioner Joe Gelman, no nominee since then has been rejected by the council.” [Los Angeles Times, 9/23/95]

Hate Crimes

Steel Voted Against Funding Orange County’s Human Relations Commission, A Commission Dedicated To Combatting Hate Crimes

Since Its Founding In 1991, The Orange County Human Relations Commission Has Worked To Combat Hate Crimes In The County

Since Its Founding In 1991, The Orange County Human Relations Commission Has Worked To Combat Hate Crimes In The County. “Han’s nonprofit organization was founded in 1991 in part to raise money and develop programs overseen by the Orange County Human Relations Commission. The council and commission works with law enforcement agencies, compile an annual hate crime report and provide mediation and violence prevention programs in partnerships with schools, corporations, cities, foundations and individuals.” [Los Angeles Times, 6/17/17]

Steel Voted Against Funding Orange County’s Human Relations Commission
Steel Voted Against Funding Orange County’s Human Relations Commission. “She picked up the phone and called the Orange County Human Relations Council to talk with Don Han, who deals with hate crimes. […] The board voted 4 to 1 last week, with Supervisor Michelle Steel as the lone dissenter, to continue funding the council for at least another year.” [Los Angeles Times, 6/17/17]

Steel Cast Her Dissenting Vote Despite An Increase In The Number Of Reported Hate Crimes In Orange County Over The Previous Year. “Meanwhile, the number of reported hate crimes increased — 50 in 2016, compared with 44 the year before — with African Americans and the LGBT population as the two most frequently targeted communities across the county, according to the groups’ newest report.” [Los Angeles Times, 6/17/17]

A Report From The Commission Found That Hate Crimes And Incidents “Spiked Following The Election Of President Donald Trump In November.” “Hate crimes and incidents spiked following the election of President Donald Trump in November, according to figures in a staff report of the Orange County Human Relations Commission released today.” [El Paso Times, 6/1/17]

Steel Sought To Grant County Supervisors The Power To Directly Control The Human Relation Commission Staff In An Effort To Oust A Member Of The Commission Who Voted To Release Their Annual Report On Hate Crimes

Steel Sought To Grant County Supervisors The Power To Directly Control The Human Relations Commission’s Staff. “Two Orange County supervisors, who have sought to end funding for the staff of a county commission tasked with reducing hate crimes and improving community relations with police, succeeded this week in granting county supervisors the ability to directly control the commission’s staff. The changes, put forward by Supervisors Michelle Steel and Andrew Do, overhaul the governing rules for the county Human Relations Commission and give supervisors the power to fire the executive director at any time, for any reason, and pick the replacement.” [Voice of Orange County, 11/2/17]

Steel’s Appointee To The Commission Voted Against Releasing An Annual Report On Hate Crimes, And Steel Was Reportedly Seeking To Replace A Member On The Commission Who Voted To Release It

Steel’s Appointee To The Commission Voted Against Releasing Their Annual Report On Hate Crimes

“Additionally, Do and Steel’s appointees to the commission voted in June to not release the commission’s annual report about hate crimes. That decision was opposed by Irvine Police Chief Mike Hamel, who serves on the commission and scheduled a vote in August in which the commission voted to release the report.” [Voice of Orange County, 11/2/17]

Another Board Member Alleged That Steel Was Seeking To Oust Mike Hamel, A Member Of The Commission Who Voted To Release The Annual Report On Hate Crimes, And Replace Him With A Member Of An Influential Republican Group. “Michelle Steel has been trying to get Hamel replaced on the Human Relations Commission with Clare Venegas, the former chief executive of the influential Republican group, the Lincoln Club of Orange County, according to Spitzer, who noted Hamel is a representative of his district.” [Voice of Orange County, 11/2/17]

The Same Board Member Accused Steel Of Not Believing In The Human Relation Commission’s Mission And Interjecting In The Appointment Process Of Other Districts. “‘Supervisors are interjecting in the appointment process’ of other districts, Spitzer said. Michelle Steel objected, saying it’s city representatives who pick that position. […] Spitzer also suggested Do and Michelle Steel don’t believe in the mission of the
commission, and that some supervisors have disagreed with certain stances the commissioners and their former executive director, Rusty Kennedy, took.” [Voice of Orange County, 11/2/17]

### John Wayne

#### Steel Argued That John Wayne Deserved To Be Honored Despite His Racist And Bigoted Remarks

In A 1971 Interview With Playboy Magazine, Wayne Said He Believed In White Supremacy And Called African Americans “Irresponsible People.” “WAYNE: With a lot of blacks, there’s quite a bit of resentment along with their dissent, and possibly rightfully so. But we can’t all of a sudden get down on our knees and turn everything over to the leadership of the blacks. I believe in white supremacy until the blacks are educated to a point of responsibility. I don’t believe in giving authority and positions of leadership and judgment to irresponsible people.” [University of Virginia, John Wayne Playboy Interview, accessed 10/4/19]

#### Steel Co-Wrote An Op-Ed Arguing Wayne Deserved To Be Honored “Despite Old Comments”

Steel Wrote An Op-Ed Arguing Wayne Deserved To Be Honored “Despite Old Comments.” “Now more than ever, politics has become a contact sport and has affected every aspect of our lives, including how we see our celebrities, like the stars of the silver screen. Great deeds and careers, especially of those who are no longer with us to defend themselves, are often tarnished by old statements made somewhere in our past. Despite that, we should continue to look at and honor the positive impacts and legacies of these heroes of old. One man that has left such a legacy is the ‘Duke’ himself, John Wayne. […] Today, in a world of reality TV and seeking 15 minutes of fame through outrageous acts, it seems that the values we once held dear and that set our heroes apart are gone. Honoring a man like Wayne, who epitomized courage, dignity, integrity, and generosity ensure that such values are not completely extinct just yet. We can still honor a man that left a lasting legacy that continues to help the lives of others.” [Fox & Hounds, Michelle Steel and Matthew Harper, 6/3/16]

#### Steel Presented A Resolution Proclaiming May 26, 2017 As “John Wayne Day”

Steel Presented A Resolution Proclaiming May 26, 2017 As “John Wayne Day.” “Chairwoman Steel presented a resolution proclaiming May 26, 2017 as ‘John Wayne Day’” [Orange County Board of Supervisor Meeting Minutes, 6/6/17]
**FEMA & Disaster Relief Issues**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significant Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Steel announced emergency relief to taxpayers affected by Imperial County flooding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Steel announced emergency tax relief to taxpayers affected by fires in San Diego County.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disaster Relief**

**2010: Steel Announced Emergency Relief To Taxpayers Affected By Imperial County Flooding**

Steel Announced Emergency Tax Relief To Taxpayers Affected By Imperial County Flooding. “Michelle Steel, Third District Member of the State Board of Equalization (BOE), today announced that extensions for filing, audits, billing notices, assessments and relief from subsequent penalties are available for those individuals and/or businesses impacted by the storm and flooding in Imperial County. […] Emergency relief for taxpayers and fee payers may include extensions for filing returns or to make payments, relief of interest and penalties, and expediting copies of BOE tax records. Any taxpayer or fee payer who, as a result of the storms, cannot meet tax filing and payment deadlines may apply. The BOE may also extend these relief provisions to include delays caused by disruption of the normal activities of the U.S. Postal Service or other private mail and freight companies.”

[Office of Board Member Michelle Park Steel, Press Release, 10/15/10]

**2014: Steel Announced Emergency Tax Relief To Taxpayers Affected By Fires In San Diego County**

Steel Announced Emergency Tax Relief To Taxpayers Affected By Fires In San Diego County. “Business owners and fee payers impacted by wildfires in San Diego County, as discussed in this Proclamation of a State of Emergency, may request an extension to file their returns, ask for relief from penalties and/or interest on some taxes and fees, or to replace copies of records lost to damage, Board of Equalization Vice Chair Michelle Steel announced today. ‘We’re extremely thankful for our brave firefighters who are battling the blazes in San Diego County, and our sympathy goes out to those who have lost homes and property,’ said Vice Chair Steel. ‘We are committed to working with tax and fee payers during this difficult time and we encourage our customers to contact us if they need help.’”

[Office of Board Member Michelle Park Steel, Press Release, 5/15/14]
Foreign Policy Issues

**Significant Findings**

- In 2016, Steel said she “will play the role of a bridge between Trump and South Korea.”
- Steel opposed legislation that would “prohibit the firing of state employees for being a member of the Communist Party.”
- Steel urged the United States to officially recognize the Armenian genocide.

**South Korea**

2016: Steel Said She “Will Play The Role Of A Bridge Between Trump And South Korea”

Steel Said She “Will Play The Role Of A Bridge Between Trump And South Korea.” “Michelle Park Steel, vice chair of California’s Orange County Board of Supervisors, made the remark, vowing to play a bridging role between her native South Korea and Trump amid concerns about the negative effects a Trump presidency could have on the alliance between the two countries. […] ‘I will play the role of a bridge between Trump and South Korea,’ she said.” [Korea Times, 5/22/16]

**Communism**

2017: Steel Opposed Legislation That Would “Prohibit The Firing Of State Employees For Being A Member Of The Communist Party”

Steel Opposed Legislation That Would “Prohibit The Firing Of State Employees For Being A Member Of The Communist Party.” “Orange County supervisors today took a stance against a bill that would prohibit the firing of state employees for being a member of the Communist Party. […] ‘As an immigrant, my parents fled from North Korea to get away from a communist country, so I was shocked to hear this,’ said Board of Supervisors Chairwoman Michelle Steel. ‘I’m asking we all write a letter to oppose this legislation.’” [El Paso Times, 5/9/17]

**Armenian Genocide**

2015: Steel Urged The United States To Officially Recognize The Armenian Genocide

Steel Urged The United States To Officially Recognize The Armenian Genocide. “One hundred years have passed since the beginning of the Armenian Genocide, and there isn’t anyone left to try or convict. But there is still an opportunity to set the record straight. The Armenian Genocide Truth and Justice Resolution was introduced in the U.S. House of Representatives on March 18, and is intended to mark the centennial of the Armenian Genocide with official recognition that it occurred. I urge all Americans to call their members of Congress and demand that this time, in this cause, we do what the U.S. has done historically: Stand on the side of justice.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 8/24/15]
Health Care Issues

Significant Findings

✓ In 2014, Steel argued that the Affordable Care Act was “growing the ranks of the uninsured” in California. From 2014 to 2017, the number of uninsured Californians fell by 6 percent.

✓ Steel said the Orange County Board of Supervisors “did the right thing by blocking Obamacare implementation in the county for as long as they could.”

✓ Steel opposed a $1 tax hike on cigarette packs that would raise $810 million annually for cancer research and anti-smoking programs.

✓ Steel co-wrote a resolution that would prevent a needle exchange program from servicing Orange County. As of 2017, opioid-related emergency room visits in Orange County had increased 141% percent since 2005.

Affordable Care Act

In 2014, Steel Argued The Affordable Care Act Was “Growing The Ranks Of The Uninsured” In California, But From 2014 To 2017 The Number Of Uninsured Californians Fell By 6 Percent

Steel Argued That The Affordable Care Act Was “Growing The Ranks Of The Uninsured” In California. “The disastrous rollout of Obamacare has given its opponents - who the latest IBD/TIPP Poll shows to be a majority of the country - enough fodder to last for years. So far, California’s Obamacare exchange, Covered California, has received rave reviews from the Obama administration and their liberal allies across the country. But while Covered California’s website has been able to avoid many of the high-profile failures of healthcare.gov, its main effect has been the same as the federal exchange: to increase the ranks of uninsured.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 1/20/14]

OP-ED HEADLINE: “Growing The Ranks Of The Uninsured.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 1/20/14]

2008 – 2017: The Percentage Of Uninsured Californians Fell By 11 Percent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage Of Uninsured Californians</th>
<th>Number Of Uninsured Californians</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2,799,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2,841,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>3,276,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4,743,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>6,440,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>6,659,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>6,707,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>6,781,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>6,547,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>6,394,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Steel Said The Orange County Board Of Supervisors “Did The Right Thing By Blocking Obamacare Implementation In The County For As Long As They Could”

Steel Said The Orange County Board Of Supervisors “Did The Right Thing By Blocking Obamacare Implementation In The County For As Long As They Could.” “Orange County’s Board of Supervisors did the right thing by blocking Obamacare implementation in the County for as long as they could. This year, as we begin to feel the full effect of the law, we must work to support policies and policymakers who will fight for sensible, freedom-oriented solutions that will remove the burdens of Obamacare and replace them with a system that gives all of us the freedom to choose.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 1/20/14]

Cigarettes And Anti-Smoking Programs

Steel Opposed A $1 Tax Hike On Cigarette Packs That Would Raise $810 Million Annually For Cancer Research And Anti-Smoking Programs

Proposition 29 Would Increase Taxes On Cigarettes By $1 Per Pack, Generating An Estimated $850 Million Annually For Cancer Research And Anti-Smoking Programs.

Proposition 29 would increase taxes on cigarettes by $1 per pack to fund certain research and tobacco prevention programs. The current tax per pack is 87 cents. If the proposition passes, consumers would pay $1.87 per pack in cigarette taxes. Experts estimate the measure would generate about $850 million a year in new tax revenues.” [Institute of Governmental Studies at the University of California Berkeley, accessed 10/7/19]

Steel Opposed Proposition 29, Calling The Measure “Flawed” And Urging Voters To “Just Say No”


Steel Opposed Proposition 29, Calling The Measure “Flawed” And Urging Voters To “Just Say No.”

“There’s never a good time to raise taxes to support a new government spending bureaucracy. But of all times, this has to be the worst. Despite glowing rhetoric from its proponents, Proposition 29 on the June ballot is about growing government and putting billions of tax dollars in the hands of unaccountable political appointees. […] It is clearly not the time to add another layer of government and direct funding away from education and public safety toward a new unaccountable bureaucracy. But that’s exactly what Prop. 29 does. Prop. 29 is a $735 million tax increase designed to tug on voters’ heartstrings. It sets up a bureaucracy where political appointees can spend $110 million annually on land acquisition, facilities and office space, plus another $15 million on salaries, travel and consultants. […] Let’s not be fooled a third time by the promises of another ballot-box boondoggle. Prop. 29 proposes yet another unaccountable bureaucracy to spend our hard-earned money without the checks and balances to ensure that taxpayer dollars are spent properly. […] Prop. 29 might be wrapped with good intentions, but under the wrapping is just another initiative that reduces Sacramento’s accountability to taxpayers and voters. Given our current economic and budget mess, it’s clearly the wrong time to grow government and raise taxes. Prop. 29 is a flawed measure that will worsen our state budget and our economy. Just say no.” [Los Angeles Daily News, Michelle Steel, 6/1/12]
Big Tobacco Companies Spent Tens Of Millions To Defeat The Proposition. “Still, supporters called it ‘the closest ballot initiative in California history’ and pointed out that big tobacco companies, led by Philip Morris, spent almost $47 million to defeat the tax, which would have raised about $810 million a year for cancer research and antismoking programs. […] Supporters, including the American Cancer Society, blamed ‘big tobacco’s $50 million misinformation campaign,’ and said the tax could have saved more than 104,000 lives and $5 billion in long-term health care costs.” [SF Gate, 6/22/12]

### Needle Exchange Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018: Steel Co-Wrote A Resolution That Would Prevent A Needle Exchange Program From Servicing Orange County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

2018: Steel Co-Wrote A Resolution That Would Prevent A Needle Exchange Program From Servicing Orange County. “The Orange County Board of Supervisors has officially opposed a proposed mobile needle exchange service in Santa Ana, Anaheim, Orange and Costa Mesa. […] Board Chairman Andrew Do and Supervisor Michelle Steel co-wrote the resolution in an effort to prevent the program’s return. ‘It’s a failed experiment,’ Do said at the meeting. ‘It failed because it subjects the public to major health risks because of the number of needles found.’ Steel said a mobile program could result in ‘even more needles in our streets.’” [Los Angeles Times, 6/11/18]

2017: A Report By The OC Health Care Agency On Opioid Overdose And Death In Orange County Found That “The Rate Of Opioid-Related Emergency Department Visits Has Increased 141% Since 2005.” “In Orange County, the rate of opioid-related emergency department (ED) visits has increased 141% since 2005 and there were 7,457 opioid overdose/abuse cases treated in the ED between 2011 and 2015. Importantly, seven of every 10 overdose deaths investigated by the Orange County Sheriff-Coroner during this five-year period involved opioids.” [OC Health Agency, 2017 Opioid Overdose & Death in Orange County, accessed 1/18/20]

Needle Exchange Programs Prevent The Spread Of Infectious Diseases And Are Endorsed By The American Medical Association, The World Health Organization, And The Centers For Disease Control And Prevention. “The exchanges seek to provide drug users with clean needles to prevent the spread of infectious diseases and other dangers. The practice is endorsed by the American Medical Assn., the World Health Organization and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, among others.” [Los Angeles Times, 6/11/18]
### Housing Issues

#### Significant Findings

- Steel opposed the Orange County Housing Finance Trust, a new government agency that would fund housing for homeless and low-income populations.

- Steel argued to overhaul the current tax system to make it easier for individuals to find affordable housing.

- Steel did not attend a special session on housing alternatives and options for Orange County’s homeless population, preventing the Board of Supervisors from holding a vote on the issue.

- Steel opposed the use of a state-owned facility as an emergency homeless shelter.

- Steel selectively enforced her newly instituted one-minute limit on public comments in Board of Supervisors meetings, acting “more lenient with corporate executives than she was with county workers and homeless advocates.”

- In 2019, homelessness in Orange County was labeled a “public health crisis” by a Federal Judge. After a change in methodology, Orange County found homelessness increased 43 percent from 2017 to 2019, with coroner data indicating it was associated with hundreds of deaths.

#### Homelessness And Low Income Housing

**Steel Opposed The Orange County Housing Finance Trust, A New Government Agency That Would Fund Housing For Homeless And Low-Income Populations**

OP-ED: Steel Opposed The Orange County Housing Finance Trust, A New Government Agency That Would Fund Housing For Homeless And Low-Income Populations. “Assembly Bill 448, introduced by Assemblymembers Tom Daly, D-Anaheim, and Sharon Quirk-Silva, D-Fullerton, and co-authored by State Sen. Pat Bates, R-Laguna Niguel, John Moorlach, R-Costa Mesa, and Janet Nguyen, R-Garden Grove, authorizes the creation of the Orange County Housing Finance Trust, a new government agency that would fund housing for the homeless and low income in Orange County. [...] Assembly Bill 448 will not work to resolve the greater problem of the ridiculous costs of building a house in this state. In fact, it won’t do anything but approve an authority local governments already possess, and give control of the process to Sacramento. I would encourage all of our local leaders to work to effect real change in creating affordable housing for Californians instead of choosing a ‘solution’ that would only grow government and saddle us with another financial burden we can’t afford.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 7/8/19]

**Steel Argued To Overhaul The Current Tax System To Make It Easier For Individuals To Find Affordable Housing**

Steel Argued To Overhaul The Current Tax System To Make It Easier For Individuals To Find Affordable Housing. “We should be looking at both lasting and effective reforms that would benefit all of our residents instead of forcing one population to pay for another. We need to overhaul the California Environmental Quality Act and our current tax system to make it easier for individuals to find truly affordable housing.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 7/8/19]
Steel Did Not Attend A Special Session On Housing Alternatives And Options For Orange County’s Homeless Population, Preventing The Board Of Supervisors From Holding A Vote On The Issue

Steel Did Not Attend A Special Session On Housing Alternatives And Options For Orange County’s Homeless Population. “Do called for a special meeting Monday to have supervisors discuss and provide direction to staff about housing alternatives and transitional options for the county’s homeless population. Do and Vice Chairman Shawn Nelson were the only board members who attended; Supervisors Michelle Steel, Todd Spitzer and Lisa Bartlett were absent.” [Los Angeles Times, 4/3/18]

Steel’s Office Claimed She Couldn’t Attend The Session Because She Had “A Previous Commitment.”
“Steel’s office said she also had a previous commitment.” [Los Angeles Times, 4/3/18]

Steel’s Absence Helped Prevent The Board Of Supervisors From Holding A Vote On The Issue

Steel’s Absence Helped Prevent The Board Of Supervisors From Holding A Vote On The Issue. “California’s open-meetings law prohibits government panels from taking action without a majority of members present, so no vote was taken. ‘It’s not easy to have these conversations,’ Nelson said. ‘No one wants to be a participant.’” [Los Angeles Times, 4/3/18]

Months Earlier, A Federal Judge Demanded Orange County Officials Find Shelter For Homeless Populations In The County

Months Earlier, A Federal Judge Demanded Orange County Officials Find Shelter For Homeless Populations In The County. “A federal judge in California is fed up with how officials in affluent Orange County treat homeless people, and on Tuesday he demanded that they work to find shelter for dozens living in encampments along the Santa Ana River. ‘I’m tired of the paperwork and the ‘we can’t get it done’ nonsense,’ District Court Judge David Carter told attorneys in a lawsuit filed by a group of homeless people and a local anti-poverty nonprofit against the county and several cities. ‘I’m looking for solutions.’”

A Recent Study Found That Homelessness In North Orange County Was Significantly Higher Than The Previous Official Estimate

A Recent Study Found That Homelessness In North Orange County Was Significantly Higher Than The Previous Official Estimate. “As Orange County squabbles over how to address homelessness, a previously unreleased count found the number of people living on the streets of north Orange County is nearly 60 percent higher than the last official estimate in 2017. Anaheim, the largest city in north Orange County, has twice the homeless population estimated in that 2017 count.” [LAist, 3/6/19]

Steel Opposed The Use Of A State-Owned Facility As An Emergency Homeless Shelter

Local Officials Were Considering Fairview Developmental Center As A Potential Emergency Homeless Shelter.

Local Officials Were Considering Fairview Developmental Center As A Potential Emergency Homeless Shelter. “On Friday, Orange County Supervisor Shawn Nelson issued a news release announcing that he and state Sen. John Moorlach (R-Costa Mesa) were looking into the potential for using the state-owned Fairview site as an emergency homeless shelter. The release also raised the possibility of ‘centralizing temporary housing and basic services for the homeless’ at the developmental center, which opened in 1959. It provides services and housing to
133 people with intellectual and developmental disabilities, according to the California Department of Developmental Services.” [Los Angeles Times, 3/30/18]

**Steel Opposed The Use Of Fairview Developmental Center As A Potential Emergency Homeless Shelter**

Steel also conveyed a message to the council from county Supervisor Michelle Steel -- whose district includes Costa Mesa -- that ‘her office absolutely does not support the use of Fairview for [a] homeless shelter’ and that ‘the long-term use of that site should be discussed and worked out in collaboration with the city.’” [Los Angeles Times, 3/30/18]

**Steel Selectively Enforced Her Newly Instituted One-Minute Limit On Public Comments, Cracking Down On Homelessness Advocates In Board Of Supervisors Meetings**

2017: In Her First Meeting As Chairwoman Of The Orange County Board Of Supervisors, Steel Instituted A One-Minute Limit On Public Comments.

“Historically, members of the public could speak for up to three minutes per item on the supervisors’ agenda. This was in addition to being able to speak during a general public comment period about topics not on the agenda.” [Voice of Orange County, 1/26/17]

**Voice Of Orange County: “When It Came Time To Impose The Limit, [Steel] Was More Lenient With Corporate Executives Than She Was With County Workers And Homeless Advocates”**

But when it came time to impose the limit, she was more lenient with corporate executives than she was with county workers and homeless advocates. […] The first several speakers were executives with aviation companies, asking for supervisors’ votes on John Wayne Airport contracts up for a decision later that day. But when they went over the one-minute mark, they were allowed several sentences further, without interruption. The president of a corporate jet services company, for example, spoke for a minute and a half before Steel politely asked him to ‘sum it up please.’ She then let him speak for another 36 seconds, giving him a total of about 2 minutes of speaking time. The very next speaker was Diana Corral, the workers’ union president. Steel handled her very differently. ‘Make sure that you [take] just one minute,’ Steel told her. […] The next employee who spoke went 30 seconds over the limit and received an irritated admonishment from Steel to ‘stay in one minute.’ Later, a hospitality manager for a jet company was allowed to continue speaking without interruption after the speaker light turned red about how her company could accommodate private jet fliers by arranging ‘a VIP wine tour and limo for a group of 20.’” [Voice of Orange County, 1/26/17]

**When A Homelessness Advocate Deliberately Broke Steel’s One-Minute Limit, She Responded By Turning Off His Microphone And Ordering Deputies To Clear The Board Room**

Homeless activist Muhammad Ali deliberately broke the rules and refused to leave the podium, claiming he wanted to take 30 minutes to deliver his
message at Tuesday's meeting. […] Then, referring to a rule against directing comments to an individual supervisor, Ali said, ‘I don't like your rules. I'm going to be addressing you all by name... and I'm going to give myself 30 minutes. I'm going to be talking about homeless children for the next 30 minutes. You'll have to arrest me and drive me from this building.’ Orange County Board Chairwoman Michelle Steel turned off Ali's microphone and ordered deputies to clear the board room moments later. ‘My mic is off but I'm going to yell,’ Ali said.” [MyNewsLA, 4/12/17]

A Homelessness Task Force Filed A Lawsuit Alleging The Board Of Supervisors Were Violating Public Records Laws And Residents' First Amendment Rights

A Homelessness Task Force Filed A Lawsuit Alleging The Board Of Supervisors Were Violating Public Records Laws And Residents’ First Amendment Rights. “A homelessness task force is accusing the Orange County Board of Supervisors of restricting free speech at its meetings and violating California public records laws. The American Civil Liberties Union Foundation of Southern California, on behalf of the People’s Homeless Task Force, filed a lawsuit Tuesday in Santa Ana Superior Court alleging that county supervisors limit access to public documents, destroy public documents and give officials preferential treatment over members of the public, in violation of residents’ 1st Amendment rights and the Brown Act.” [Los Angeles Times, 4/9/19]

Steel Was Mentioned By Name In The Lawsuit. “Supervisors Andrew Do and Michelle Steel, who are both mentioned by name in the suit, could not be reached for comment Tuesday afternoon.” [Orange County Register, 4/9/19]

Homelessness In Orange County Was A “Public Health Crisis” With 6,860 People Experiencing Homelessness, Leading To Hundreds Of Deaths Annually

2019: Homelessness In Orange County Was Labeled A “Public Health Crisis” By A Federal Judge, “Leading To The Deaths Of Hundreds Of People Experiencing Homelessness.” “U.S. District Judge David O. Carter, after years of rapid growth in Orange County homeless deaths, issued an emergency request this week describing a ‘public health crisis in the County leading to the deaths of hundreds of people experiencing homelessness.’” [Voice of Orange County, 2/22/19]

From 2005 To 2018, The Number Of Homeless Deaths In Orange County Quadrupled, Rising From 53 To 250. “Carter, who is presiding over federal civil rights lawsuits regarding police enforcement amid OC’s shelter shortage, laid out his concerns in a court filing Tuesday. Coroner data shows the number of homeless deaths in Orange County skyrocketed, from 53 deaths in 2005 to 164 deaths in 2015, to more than 250 deaths in 2018 – a fourfold increase.” [Voice of Orange County, 2/22/19]

2017 – 2019: After Changing Its Methodology For Counting Homelessness, Orange County’s Homeless Population Increased 43 Percent From 4,792 To 6,860. “In what may be the most accurate count of Orange County’s homeless population ever, there’s a significant uptick in the number of people without a permanent home, but the data released today, April 24, also shows more than twice as many homeless people in shelters now versus two years ago. Preliminary data from the most recent federally mandated Point in Time count found 6,860 homeless people in Orange County, with 2,899 of those – a little more than 42 percent – having some kind of emergency or transitional shelter. It seems like a big jump from the 2017 tally of 4,792 homeless people (it’s about a 43 percent increase), but Orange County officials said it would be misleading to make a direct comparison because this year’s survey was much more thorough and sophisticated than in previous years.” [Orange County Register, 5/7/19]
Infrastructure & Transportation Issues

**Significant Findings**

✓ Steel opposed increased development fees that would help end government subsidization of the construction industry.

✓ Steel endorsed a development project on unincorporated land despite city residents’ vote to preserve the area as open space years earlier.

✓ Steel opposed California’s high-speed rail project.

✓ Steel opposed a per-mile tax that would raise money for California’s roads and bridges, calling the proposal “Orwellian.”

✓ Steel voted against a $110 million tax district that would repay the county for major infrastructure improvements.

✓ Steel cited a budget increase as her opposition to a proposed streetcar project in Orange County.

✓ Steel opposed a 3.5 cent per gallon gas tax.

✓ Steel voted to lower the excise tax for gasoline by $0.035.

✓ Steel presented a proclamation to Boeing commending them for their industry contributions and “wishing the company success in the next 100 years and beyond.”

✓ Four months later, Boeing announced that it would shift more than 2,400 jobs out of its Huntington Beach facility.

**Development**

**Steel Opposed Increased Development Fees That Would Help End Government Subsidization Of The Construction Industry**

The Increased Development Fees Would Help End Government Subsidization Of The Construction Industry. “Developers will pay more to build in unincorporated Orange County under fees recently approved by the Board of Supervisors. The price of permits for everything from air conditioners and heaters to ceiling fans, landscape plan reviews and signs will increase as much as tenfold over the next 18 months. It’s part of an ongoing effort by county leaders to stop subsidizing the construction industry with taxpayer money and embrace the politically charged issue of government seeking more money. […] Officials expect the new fees, which range from $65 to upward of $1,500, will bring an extra approximately $10 million a year to county coffers. That money won’t pay all the cost the fees are meant to cover, but it’s a start: Just $2.9 million in tax money will subsidize Public Works’ $20 million building inspection budget once the new fees take effect, instead of the current $9.95 million.” [Orange County Register, 3/9/15]
Steel Opposed The Increased Development Fees

Steel Opposed The Increased Development Fees. “Supervisors passed the plan 4-1 then and are scheduled to cast their final votes Tuesday. Supervisor Michelle Steel is opposed. She noted that several fees were new. ‘Creating fees at this time, and increasing fees? That’s not really a good idea for the Orange County economy for the future,’ Steel said.” [Orange County Register, 3/9/15]

Steel Endorsed A Development Project On Unincorporated Land Even Though City Residents Voted To Preserve The Area As Open Space Years Earlier

2006: City Voters Amended The General Plan To Preserve Banning Ranch As Open Space If Possible. “The California Coastal Commission is expected to end a two-decade battle over building on Banning Ranch, a 401-acre oil field that is one of the last undeveloped private tracts along Southern California’s coast. […] While Banning Ranch is mostly on unincorporated county land, it falls under the city of Newport Beach’s sphere of influence. In 2006, city residents voted to amend the general plan to preserve Banning Ranch as open space if possible.” [Orange County Register, 9/7/16]

2016: Steel Endorsed Private Development On The Land. “On Tuesday, developers held a news conference at the site, with Newport Beach City Councilman Ed Selich and a representative from the office of Orange County Supervisor Michelle Steel endorsing the project.” [Orange County Register, 9/7/16]

Transportation

Steel Opposed California’s High-Speed Rail Project

Steel Opposed California’s High-Speed Rail Project. “One of the worst parts of the budget is the Legislature’s and governor’s insistence on continuing the state’s $68 billion high-speed rail boondoggle, which is simply a waste of taxpayer dollars. It’s not even clear whether the train will ever be finished because of a lack of funding, and serious mismanagement of the rail authority. The high-speed rail continues to be tied up by costly litigation and plans to move forward with the project continue to break the rules set by voters when they passed Proposition 1A in 2008. Under current plans, the rail won’t even be high speed! Even if the train is ever finished, the state will be saddled with billions of dollars in debt, and year-after-year, will need to subsidize high operating costs due to low ridership. For students worried about school tuition, parents worried about making ends meet, farmers worried about watering their fields, and seniors planning for retirement, there are higher priorities than a high-cost, low-speed train.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 6/19/14]

Steel Presented A Proclamation To Boeing Commending Them For Their Industry Contributions And “Wishing The Company Success In The Next 100 Years And Beyond”

Steel Presented A Proclamation To Boeing Commending Them For Their Industry Contributions And “Wishing The Company Success In The Next 100 Years And Beyond.” “Vice Chair Steel presented a proclamation commending Boeing for its contributions to our County and the U.S. aerospace industry on its 100th Anniversary July 15, 2016, and wishing the company success in the next 100 years and beyond.” [Orange County Board of Supervisors Meeting Minutes, 7/12/16]

Four Months Later, Boeing Announced That It Would Shift More Than 2,400 Jobs Out Of Its Huntington Beach Facility
Four Months Later, Boeing Announced That It Would Shift More Than 2,400 Jobs Out Of Its Huntington Beach Facility. “Boeing will shift more than 2,400 jobs out of its Huntington Beach facility over the next four years, the aerospace giant announced Tuesday, slicing in half the number of workers at the sprawling campus.” [Orange County Register, 11/17/16]

Only 300 Of The Jobs Remained In Orange County. “A nationwide streamlining of operations at the company’s Defense, Space, & Security division will result in the transfer of 300 Huntington Beach jobs to its Seal Beach facility and 1,600 positions to its plants in Long Beach and El Segundo. Another 500 jobs will move to St. Louis. And an additional 400 will move to Huntsville, Ala., from Huntington Beach and other facilities around the country.” [Orange County Register, 11/17/16]

Boeing Concealed Issues With Their 737 Max Aircraft, A Model That Would Go On To Crash Repeatedly And Kill Hundreds – From F.A.A. Regulators

Boeing Concealed Issues With Their 737 Max Aircraft, A Model That Would Go On To Crash Repeatedly And Kill Hundreds – From F.A.A. Regulators. “Boeing employees mocked federal rules, talked about deceiving regulators and joked about potential flaws in the 737 Max as it was being developed, according to over a hundred pages of internal messages delivered Thursday to congressional investigators. […] The employees appear to discuss instances in which the company concealed such problems from the F.A.A. during the regulator’s certification of the simulators, which were used in the development of the Max, as well as in training for pilots who had not previously flown a 737.” [New York Times, 1/9/20]


Steel Opposed A Per-Mile Tax That Would Raise Money For California’s Roads And Bridges, Calling The Proposal “Orwellian”

Steel Opposed A Per-Mile Tax That Would Raise Money For California’s Roads And Bridges, Calling The Proposal “Orwellian.” “Do you want the government following your car every day? Most of us don’t like that Orwellian vision, but that’s what a tax scheme brewing in the Legislature just might do. Californians don’t want a new tax on driving. […] Californians can’t trust what Sacramento will do with our tax dollars. Until we can, I urge Sacramento to park the new tax on driving and to lose the keys.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 3/10/16]

Steel Voted Against A $110 Million Tax District That Would Repay The County For Major Infrastructure Improvements

The Tax District Would Repay The County For Street Improvements, Libraries, A Fire Station, A K-8 School, And Additional Infrastructure

The Tax District Would Repay The County For Street Improvements, Libraries, A Fire Station, A K-8 School, And Additional Infrastructure. “Orange County’s biggest housing development is ready to begin the second phase of construction after county leaders on Tuesday approved a new $110 million tax district that will repay the county for huge infra-structure improvements. […] That tax revenue will repay Orange County for the development of what will basically be the county’s newest city, though all of the land is unincorporated areas of South County. It will fund street improvements, infrastructure, libraries, a fire station, a K-8 school and the extension of Cow Camp and La Pata roads.” [Orange County Register, 4/15/15]

Steel Voted Against The Tax District

Steel Voted Against The Tax District. “The Board of Supervisors’ 4-1 approval - Supervisor Michelle Steel was opposed - of the new district for the next village, Esencia, on Tuesday set a range of $4,636 to $10,234 in annual
Mello-Roos taxes that most owners of the new homes will have to pay. [...] But Steel doesn’t want taxpayers to assume the risk. She said when she voted against the district that the money should be obtained by increasing home prices, not taxing the residents once they buy the homes.” [Orange County Register, 4/15/15]

**Steel Cited A Budget Increase As Her Opposition To A Proposed Streetcar Project In Orange County.**

“Though most of the OCTA board supported the additional funding, Orange County Supervisor Michelle Steel and Anaheim Mayor Tom Tait - a vocal opponent of a streetcar proposed in his city - voted against what Tait called ‘a huge waste of money.’ In an email from her office, Steel said the project’s cost is now too high. ’A 35 percent budget increase, more than $100 million, to cover delays is too much, and I don’t believe it’s financially feasible,’ Steel said.” [Orange County Register, 11/30/18]

**Gas Tax**

**Steel Voted To Lower The Excise Tax For Gasoline By $0.035.** “The California State Board of Equalization (BOE) will consider adjusting the excise tax rate for gasoline downward by $0.035 during its scheduled February 25, 2014 meeting in Culver City. ‘I look forward to voting in favor of this much-needed tax cut for all Californians,’ BOE Vice Chair Michelle Steel said.” [Riverside County Record, 2/20/14]

**Steel Opposed A 3.5 Cent Per Gallon Gas Tax.** “Thanks to a system devised in 2010, the last year of Schwarzenegger’s struggles with the California budget, the state Board of Equalization had to raise gas taxes by 3.5 cents a gallon. The increase that takes effect on July 1 will give Californians the highest gas taxes in the nation, surpassing New York at about 70 cents a gallon, counting federal taxes. […] The two Republicans on the board - George Runner and Michelle Steel, who represents this area - voted against the increase.” [Desert Sun, 3/5/13]

**The Purpose Of The Increase Was To Balance The Budget.** “The gas tax swap reduced sales taxes on gasoline from 8.25 percent to 2.25 percent, but roughly doubled the excise tax. It was a way to divert revenue to help balance the budget. The law included a provision that the excise tax must be adjusted annually to produce the same amount of revenue that would have been generated with the higher sales taxes.” [Palm Springs Desert Sun, 3/6/13]
Immigration & Border Issues

### Significant Findings

- Steel opposed California’s Sanctuary State law.
- Steel voted to join the Trump administration lawsuit against California’s sanctuary state law, a move Trump commended her for.
- Steel introduced a resolution condemning the law in Orange County.
- Steel appeared on Fox News with Laura Ingraham to discuss her opposition to the law.
- Steel penned several op-eds criticizing California’s Sanctuary State law – one of which said “illegal aliens” are provided “privileges that American citizens don’t receive – all while endangering innocent people.”
- Yet, Steel urged Trump to reconsider deporting Asian immigrants who had committed crimes, claiming Asian immigrants were “reliably conservative” and arguing “deporting them is not the answer.”
- Orange County Register: Steel sided with Trump “on an immigration policy that seems to primarily target Latinos,” but “on policies aimed at Asian immigrants,” she was willing to break with the President.
- Steel opposed amnesty, saying “it will make more people come here illegally.”
- Steel advocated for a wall on the United States-Mexico border, saying she supports “a physical barrier to protect our homeland.”
- Steel voted to upgrade Orange County’s contract with ICE, adding an additional 120 beds for immigrant detainees despite an inspection revealing that immigrants housed at the facility “have been served foul, slimy lunch meat; used moldy showers; and contended with inoperable phones.”

### Steel Opposed California’s Sanctuary State Law…

#### Steel Repeatedly Criticized California’s Sanctuary State Law


**April 2018: Steel Argued “Illegal Aliens” Were Provided “Privileges That American Citizens Don’t Receive – All While Endangering Innocent People.”** “The law prohibits state and local law-enforcement officials from informing federal authorities when an illegal alien who has committed a crime is being released from custody. Instead of protecting American citizens, politicians in Sacramento have prioritized the safety of alien criminals. They are provided privileges that American citizens don’t receive—all while endangering innocent people.” [Wall Street Journal, Michelle Park Steel, 4/3/18]
January 2019: Steel Blamed California’s Sanctuary State Law For The Death Of Police Officer Ronil Singh. “In truth, all SB 54 supporters have done is put innocent lives in danger. If state elected officials truly cared about our communities, they would do everything within their power to support and protect individuals like Singh. Unfortunately, that dream was stolen from him, just as it was from many others. Perhaps because of these state policies, another family has lost a loved one, a husband and father who will never return home. The safety of our loved ones and communities remains my priority. We can no longer allow our families to be sacrificial lambs for elected officials who choose not to protect the citizens they serve and represent.” [Los Angeles Times Daily Pilot, Michelle Steel, 1/9/19]

Steel Introduced A Resolution To Condemn California’s Sanctuary State Law

Steel Introduced A Resolution To Condemn California’s Sanctuary State Law. “On March 27 I introduced a resolution to condemn the state sanctuary law and to direct county counsel to take legal action. Every Orange County supervisor present voted in favor. We currently are reviewing the best ways in which to intervene in the federal government’s lawsuit against California.” [Wall Street Journal, Michelle Park Steel, 4/3/18]

Steel Voted To Join The Trump Administration Lawsuit Against California’s Sanctuary State Law

Steel Voted To Join The Trump Administration Lawsuit Against California’s Sanctuary State Law. “The Orange County Board of Supervisors voted on Tuesday, March 27 to join a Trump administration lawsuit against California’s controversial sanctuary law. The board’s vote may mark the biggest maneuver yet in a nascent local movement against California’s law to protect people residing illegally in the country. The board announced its unanimous decision after discussing the matter during a closed session Tuesday. Orange County, they said, plans to join a lawsuit filed earlier this month by U.S. Attorney General Jeff Sessions that alleges three of California’s laws are unconstitutional.” [Orange County Register, 3/27/18]

Trump Commended Steel For Joining His Administration’s Lawsuit Against California’s Sanctuary State Law. “But I am very, very excited that Orange County actually filed a lawsuit to join Attorney General’s lawsuit. So June 5, that court is going to decide we can join them-join the Federal Government or not. If it’s not, then we’re going to file the lawsuit. […] The President: Right. Right. Well, you have done a great job, Michelle. Ms. Steel: Thank you very much. The President: We appreciate it. Fantastic job.” [Daily Compilation of Presidential Documents, 5/16/18]

…Yet Advocated For Asian Immigrants Who Had Committed Crimes


Steel Urged Trump To Reconsider Deporting Asian Immigrants Who Had Committed Crimes, Arguing “There Were Some Bad Eggs” And “Deporting Them Is Not The Answer.” “In December, Steel penned an op-ed in The Washington Times, urging Trump to reconsider the strategy. While Steel frequently contends that California’s sanctuary laws endangered Americans by releasing ‘criminal illegal aliens… to wander our streets,’ she wrote that ‘deporting Vietnamese refugees who have committed crimes is not in the same category.’ ‘There were some bad eggs,’ Steel wrote. ‘Deporting them is not the answer.’” [Orange County Register, 1/23/19]

Steel Explicitly Argued That Vietnamese Immigrants Should Not Face Deportation Because They Were “Reliably Conservative”

Steel Highlighted Asian Americans’ Conservative Political Leanings To Differentiate The Group From Other Immigrant Communities. “I understand the pressures that Mr. Trump is facing regarding immigration. Here in Southern California, we are very familiar with what is going on at our southern border. However, the
Vietnamese American community faces fundamentally different circumstances in their country of origin than do most other immigrants. Refugees deported to Vietnam will be treated as traitors for having left the country in the first place. It’s essential to account for this reality. [...] The Vietnamese American community has been reliably conservative and pro-American. Not only is there a clear, moral, and principled reason for protecting these refugees, there is also a reason for political concern. There is never a good time to isolate and frighten a dependable percentage of one’s political base. But no time could be worse than now.” [Washington Times, Michelle Park Steel, 12/20/18]

Orange County Register: Steel Sided With Trump “On An Immigration Policy That Seems To Primarily Target Latinos,” But “On Policies Aimed At Asian Immigrants,” She Was Willing To Break With The President

Orange County Register: Steel Sided With Trump On Immigration Policy That Primarily Targeted Latinos But Was Willing To Break With The President On Policies Aimed At Asian Immigrants. “On an immigration policy that seems to primarily target Latinos, Steel has sided publicly with the president. In March 2018, for example, the supervisor helped lead Orange County’s effort to oppose California’s sanctuary state laws and voted for the county to join Trump’s anti-sanctuary lawsuit. [...] But on policies aimed at Asian immigrants, Steel recently has shown a willingness to break from Trump. Late last year, Steel joined other California Republicans in opposing the Trump administration’s plans to deport thousands of Vietnamese refugees who fled to the U.S. prior to 1995 and subsequently committed crimes.” [Orange County Register, 1/23/19]

Amnesty

Steel Opposed Amnesty, Saying “It Will Make More People Come Here Illegally”

Steel Opposed Amnesty, Saying “It Will Make More People Come Here Illegally.” “On immigration reform: Steel, who was born in Korea and raised in Japan, said she supports legal immigration. ‘I don’t like amnesty,’ she said Thursday. ‘It will make more people come here illegally.’” [Orange County Register, 2/20/14]

Border Wall

Steel Said She Supports “A Physical Barrier To Protect Our Homeland”

Steel Said She Supports “A Physical Barrier To Protect Our Homeland.” “Our national security necessitates competent border security, and I support a physical barrier to protect our homeland from human traffickers, gangs, and drug smugglers.” [Michelle Steel for Congress, Issues, accessed 2/5/20]

Immigration And Customs Enforcement (ICE)

Steel Voted To Upgrade Orange County’s Contract With ICE, Adding An Additional 120 Beds For Immigrant Detainees In A Facility That Was Found To Serve Moldy Food And Provide Moldy Showers

Steel Voted To Upgrade Orange County’s Contract With ICE Anyways, Adding An Additional 120 Beds For Immigrant Detainees. “As the board unanimously approved the upgrade to its contract with ICE, some audience members chanted ‘shame,’ prompting Chairwoman Michelle Steel to briefly gavel the meeting so sheriff’s deputies
could clear the room. Minutes later, the supervisors opted to meet privately to discussed closed-session items.” [El Paso Times, 5/9/17]

An Inspection Revealed That Immigrants Housed At Orange County’s Theo Lacy Jail “Have Been Served Foul, Slimy Lunch Meat; Used Moldy Showers; And Contended With Inoperable Phones”

An Inspection Revealed That Immigrants Housed At Orange County’s Theo Lacy Jail “Have Been Served Foul, Slimy Lunch Meat; Used Moldy Showers; And Contended With Inoperable Phones.” “The hundreds of immigrant detainees housed in Orange County’s largest detention facility have been served foul, slimy lunch meat; used moldy showers; and contended with inoperable phones, according to the findings of an internal inspection issued Wednesday. Some detainees said they had to rinse their acrid lunch meat off with water before eating it, according to the report by the Department of Homeland Security’s Office of Inspector General.” [Los Angeles Times, 3/8/17]

**Tax Issues**

**Significant Findings**

- While serving on the California Board of Equalization, Steel consistently supported corporations that sought to reduce and, at times, completely avoid paying their taxes.

- 2007: Steel supported G.E. Capital Corporation’s petition to write off accounts that had become worthless.

- 2007: Steel supported Wimatex’s tax exemption claim despite the government’s argument that the company had improperly applied.

- 2009: Steel motioned to grant Argonaut’s appeal to assign income they made in California as income made out-of-state so that the company could avoid paying taxes on it.

- 2010: Steel supported an insurance company’s welfare exemption claim despite government arguments that they did not qualify.

- 2010: Steel supported Rheem Manufacturing’s claim to avoid tax payments on a stock acquisition.

- 2014: Steel objected to the state taxing GEO G2 Solutions for airplane storage.

- While serving on the California Board of Equalization, Steel regularly sought to grant tax refunds to petitioners with precarious legal standing.

- 2007: Steel supported a petitioner who the government claimed was engaged in an “abusive tax shelter.”

- 2011: Steel sought to waive a petitioner’s negligence penalty in a case fellow board members argued was fraud.

- 2014: Steel argued against tax penalties the IRS and local governing agencies argued were proper.

- 2014: Steel objected to imposing fees on a taxpayer who failed to pay his business taxes.

- 2014: Steel supported a petitioner who the government claimed was “getting rent personally for what was supposed to be a corporate asset.”

- Steel signed the Taxpayer Protection Pledge, a written commitment to oppose all new taxes and tax increases.

- Steel supported a reduction in capital gains tax.

- Steel said she would work to restore the State and Local Tax (SALT) deduction if elected to Congress.

**Taxpayer Protection Pledge**
2014: Steel Signed The Taxpayer Protection Pledge, A Written Commitment To Oppose All New Taxes And Tax Increases

“Steel Signed The Taxpayer Protection Pledge, A Written Commitment To Oppose All New Taxes And Tax Increases. “A signatory of the Taxpayer Protection Pledge, Michelle Steel will oppose all new taxes and tax increases as a member of the Board of Supervisors and will continue her work to reform and reduce government spending and promote private sector job growth.” [OC Politics Blog, 1/31/14]

Alcohol Taxes

Steel Opposed An Increased Tax On Wine With “Substantial Amounts Of Distilled Alcohol From Sources Other Than The Agricultural Product Of Which The Wine Is Made”

The Proposed Rule Would Increase Tax On Wine With “Substantial Amounts Of Distilled Alcohol From Sources Other Than The Agricultural Product Of Which The Wine Is Made”

The Proposed Rule Would Increase Tax On Wine With “Substantial Amounts Of Distilled Alcohol From Sources Other Than The Agricultural Product Of Which The Wine Is Made.” “The proposed rule, which would go into effect Jan. 1, would change the application of tax to wine-based products that contain distilled alcohol and change the definition of wine -- specifying that any wine-based product that contains ‘substantial amounts of distilled alcohol from sources other than the agricultural product of which the wine is made will be taxed as a distilled spirit, not as a wine.’” [North County Times, 3/10/11]

Steel Opposed The Proposed Tax Increase

Steel Opposed The Proposed Tax Increase. “The vice chairman of a little-known board that collects taxes and fees supporting state and local government is complaining that a proposed regulation crafted by her own agency on wine-based products could end up draining some wine producers and growers of millions of dollars. […] Michelle Steel, vice chairman of the board, said, ‘It’s more regulations. It’s always bad especially in a recession like this. It makes no sense.’ Steel was the only one of the five elected members to the California State Board of Equalization to oppose the regulation in a recent procedural vote.” [North County Times, 3/10/11]

Steel Opposed A Move To Tax Flavored Malt Beverages As Distilled Spirits Instead Of Beer

Steel Opposed A Move To Tax Flavored Malt Beverages As Distilled Spirits Instead Of Beer. “The Board of Equalization voted 3-2 to classify flavored malt beverages, such as Mike’s Hard Lemonade and Smirnoff Ice, as distilled spirits instead of beers. The change, if accepted by the state Office of Administrative Law, will send taxes soaring for the drinks, known as ‘alcopops,’ from 20 cents per gallon, the beer rate, to $3.30 per gallon, the liquor rate.” [Sacramento Bee, 11/15/07]

Board Of Equalization

While Serving On The California Board Of Equalization, Steel Regularly Sought To Grant Tax Refunds To Petitioners With Precarious Legal Standing

The California Board Of Equalization Heard Individual Tax Appeals And Sought To “Serve The Public Through Fair, Effective, And Efficient Tax Administration”

The California Board Of Equalization Heard Individual Tax Appeals And Sought To “Serve The Public Through Fair, Effective, And Efficient Tax Administration.” “The BOE is committed to fulfilling its
constitutional and statutory duties and is focused on our mission, which is to serve the public through fair, effective, and efficient tax administration, which supports state and local governments. […] The Board hears appeals from public utility assessments and serves a significant role in the assessment and administration of property taxes by issuing rules and regulations, establishing the tax values of railroads and specified privately-held public utilities, and overseeing the assessment practices of the state’s 58 county assessors. Public Board meetings offer taxpayers and other interested parties the opportunity to participate in the formulation of rules and regulations adopted by the Board and to interact with the Members as they carry out their official duties. The Board meets monthly.” [California State Board of Equalization, accessed 1/18/20]

2007: Steel Supported A Petitioner Who The Government Claimed Was Engaged In An “Abusive Tax Shelter”

Steel Seconded A Motion To Grant A Petitioner’s Claim Despite The Government Arguing The Taxpayer Engaged In “An Abusive Tax Shelter.” According to the California Board of Equalization’s hearing transcripts and final actions from June 19, 2007, Steel seconded a motion to grant a petitioner’s claim despite the government arguing the taxpayer engaged in an ‘abusive tax shelter.’ [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts and Final Actions, 6/19/07]

2011: Steel Sought To Waive A Petitioner’s Negligence Penalty In A Case Fellow Board Members Argued Was Fraud

Steel Sought To Waive A Petitioner’s Negligence Penalty – Despite Another Board Members Arguing The Case Was Fraud – Because She Wanted The First Audit To Be “Educational For Taxpayers.” According to the California Board of Equalization’s hearing transcripts and final actions from March 23, 2011, Steel sought to waive a petitioner’s negligence penalty because she wanted the first audit to be ‘educational for taxpayers.’ This was in spite of arguments from another Boardmember who believed the case to be fraud. [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts and Final Actions, 3/23/11]

2014: Steel Argued Against A Tax Penalty Levied By The IRS On Site Management Services INC

Steel Argued And Voted Against A Tax Penalty Assessed By The IRS. According to the California Board of Equalization’s hearing transcripts and final actions from February 25, 2014, Steel argued and votes against a tax penalty assessed by the IRS. The IRS’s argument was the retirement plan that the petitioner had invested in was a tax shelter, and therefore the underpayment amount should be assessed as the penalty. Steel disagreed because she didn’t think the petitioner was trying to hide anything and said ‘the taxpayer did whatever they could.’ [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts and Final Actions, 2/25/14]

2014: Steel Objected To Imposing Fees On A Taxpayer Who Failed To Pay Business Taxes

Steel Objected To Imposing Fees On A Taxpayer The Government Argued Was Not Paying Business Taxes. According to the California Board of Equalization’s hearing transcripts and final actions from April 4, 2014, Steel objected to imposing fees on a taxpayer the government argued was not paying business taxes. Steel was the only Board Member to object. [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts and Final Actions, 4/22/14]

2014: Steel Supported A Petitioner In A Claim In Which The Government Argued The Tax Penalty Was Proper

Steel Moved To Grant A Petitioner’s Claim Despite Government Arguments That The Income They Were Taxing Was Proper. According to the California Board of Equalization’s hearing transcripts and final actions from August 5, 2014, Steel moved to grant a petitioner’s claim despite government arguments that the income they were taxing was proper. The issue at hand is whether the money the petitioner’s wife earned from her employment agreement with Castalian should be taxable in California. The government argued that the income was taxable, as
‘Appellant wife performed the majority of her services for Castalian for the second tranche period in California. She became a Texas resident with only one month remaining of the contract.’ The motion Steel supported ultimately failed. [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts and Final Actions, 8/5/14]

**2014: Steel Supported A Petitioner Who The Government Claimed Was “Getting Rent Personally For What Was Supposed To Be A Corporate Asset”**

Steel Moved To Grant A Petitioner’s Claim Despite The Government Arguing That The “Taxpayer Truly Was Getting Rent Personally For What Was Supposed To Be A Corporate Asset.” According to the California Board of Equalization’s hearing transcripts and final actions from October 14, 2014, Steel moved to grant a petitioner’s claim despite the government arguing that the ‘taxpayer truly was getting rent personally for what was supposed to be a corporate asset.’ [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts And Final Actions, 10/14/14]

**Capital Gains Taxes**

**Steel Supported A Reduction In Capital Gains Tax**

Steel Supported A Reduction In Capital Gains Tax. “Park-Steel, a controller for a law firm and member of the California World Trade Commission, said she is ‘interested in things like the tax cut. That makes a lot of sense and so does a reduction in the capital gains tax, where people can manage their money much better than the government can.’” [Los Angeles Times, 8/12/96]

**Corporate Taxes**

**While On The California Board Of Equalization, Steel Consistently Supported Corporations That Sought To Reduce And, At Times, Completely Avoid Paying Their Taxes**

The California Board Of Equalization Heard Individual Tax Appeals And Sought To “Serve The Public Through Fair, Effective, And Efficient Tax Administration”

The California Board Of Equalization Heard Individual Tax Appeals And Sought To “Serve The Public Through Fair, Effective, And Efficient Tax Administration.” “The BOE is committed to fulfilling its constitutional and statutory duties and is focused on our mission, which is to serve the public through fair, effective, and efficient tax administration, which supports state and local governments. […] The Board hears appeals from public utility assessments and serves a significant role in the assessment and administration of property taxes by issuing rules and regulations, establishing the tax values of railroads and specified privately-held public utilities, and overseeing the assessment practices of the state’s 58 county assessors. Public Board meetings offer taxpayers and other interested parties the opportunity to participate in the formulation of rules and regulations adopted by the Board and to interact with the Members as they carry out their official duties. The Board meets monthly.” [California State Board of Equalization, accessed 1/18/20]

**2007: Steel Supported G.E. Capital Corporation’s Petition To Write Off Accounts That Had Become Worthless**

Steel Seconded A Motion To Grant G.E. Capital Corporation’s Petition To Write Off Accounts That Had Become Worthless For Income Tax Purposes. According to the California Board of Equalization’s hearing transcripts and final actions from July 17, 2007, Steel seconded a motion to grant G.E. Capital Corporation’s petition to write off accounts that had become worthless for income tax purposes. [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts and Final Actions, 7/17/07]
2007: Steel Supported Wimatex’s Tax Exemption Claim Despite The Government’s Argument That The Company Had Improperly Applied

Steel Moved To Grant Wimatex’s Claim Despite The Government Arguing That They Had Improperly Applied For A Tax Exemption. According to the California Board of Equalization’s hearing transcripts and final actions from October 3, 2007, Steel moved to grant Wimatex’s claim despite the government arguing that they had improperly applied for a tax exemption. The government stated, ‘That is, the law provides that the Petitioner does not qualify as a new trade or business if it has acquired from other another business assets that represent more than 20 percent of the total assets of the Petitioner. This is the reason we disqualified them.’ [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts and Final Actions, 10/3/07]

2009: Steel Motioned To Grant Argonaut’s Appeal To Assign Income They Made In California As Income Made Outside Of California So That The Company Could Avoid Paying Taxes On It

Steel Motioned To Grant Argonaut’s Appeal To Assign Income They Made In California As Income Made Outside Of California So That The Company Could Avoid Paying Taxes On It. According to the California Board of Equalization’s hearing transcripts and final actions from January 21, 2009, Steel motioned to grant Argonaut’s appeal to assign income they made in California as income made outside of California so that the company could avoid paying taxes on it. The government stressed that all of the appellant’s activity took place in California – “100 percent of their property, 100 percent of their pay roll and 100 percent of their sales all occur in California, as represented on their own tax returns.” This made it all of their activities subject to California tax and no other jurisdiction. [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts and Final Actions, 1/21/09]

2010: Steel Supported An Insurance Company’s Welfare Exemption Claim Despite Government Arguments That They Did Not Qualify

Steel Motioned To Grant An Insurance Company’s Welfare Exemption Claim Despite Government Arguments That The Company Did Not Qualify For The Exemption Under The “Direct Community Benefit” Provision. According to the California Board of Equalization’s hearing transcripts and final actions from April 13, 2010, Steel motioned to grant an insurance company’s welfare exemption claim despite government arguments that the company did not qualify for the exemption under the ‘direct community benefit’ provision. Steel believed that, since the insurance company was providing non-profit organizations low insurance premiums, they were providing benefit to the community. The government noted that, definitionally, this wasn’t directly benefiting the community, and as such did not qualify. [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts and Final Actions, 4/13/10]

2010: Steel Supported Rheem Manufacturing’s Claim To Avoid Tax Payments On A Stock Acquisition

Steel Motioned To Grant Rheem Manufacturing Company’s Claim Despite Government Arguments That The Company Benefitted Financially From A Stock Acquisition. According to the California Board of Equalization hearing transcripts and final actions from May 25, 2010, Steel motioned to grant Rheem Manufacturing Company’s claim despite government arguments that the company benefitted financially from the stock acquisition. One Board Member argued against Steel’s motion by saying, ‘And in doing so, you drive up the value of your stock as well as the stock that you ultimately sell. And, so, I mean, I – I concur with the Chair. I can clearly see that there’s an economic benefit in controlling the stock.’ [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts and Final Actions, 5/25/10]

2014: Steel Objected To The State Taxing GEO G2 Solutions For Airplane Storage

Steel Objected To California Taxing GEO G2 Solutions For Storage Of Their Airplane. According to California Board of Equalization hearing transcripts and final actions from May 22, 2014, Steel objected to California taxing GEO G2 Solutions for storage of their airplane. Steel argued that since the airplane was stored on
federal land in California, California had no claim to tax it. The government argued that airplane storage on federal land is still taxable under California law. [California Board of Equalization Hearing Transcripts and Final Actions, 5/22/14]

Steel Argued That The Reagan Tax Cuts Unleashed “Nearly Two Decades Of Unparalleled Economic Growth And Opportunity” And Said We Would “Do Well Today To Learn From That Victory”

Steel Argued That The Reagan Tax Cuts Unleashed “Nearly Two Decades Of Unparalleled Economic Growth And Opportunity” And Said We Would “Do Well Today To Learn From That Victory.” “In the summer of 1981, at his beloved ranch in the Santa Ynez Mountains above Santa Barbara, President Ronald Reagan signed legislation that cut income taxes, capital-gains taxes and business taxes, unleashing nearly two decades of unparalleled economic growth and opportunity. We would do well today to learn from that victory.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 2/8/14]

The Reagan Tax Cuts Of 1981 Reduced Revenues By $208 Billion In Its First Four Years And Increased The Income Share Held By The Top 1% Of Americans By 71%. “A Treasury Department study on the impact of tax bills since 1940, first released in 2006 and later updated, found that the 1981 tax cut reduced revenues by $208 billion in its first four years. […] But the income share of the top 1 percent increased even more dramatically, from 8.3 percent to 14.2 percent—a gain of 71 percent. So a lot of the increase in taxes came from a more dramatic increase in wealth.” [Washington Post, 4/10/15]

Internet Taxes

Steel Opposed A Tax On Digital Property And Called The Idea “Totally Illegal”

Steel Opposed A Tax On Digital Property And Called The Idea “Totally Illegal.” “Last month, Assemblyman Charles Calderon, D-Whittier, introduced AB1956, which would apply sales taxes to media downloads. That would take a 99 cent song and bump it to $1.07 or more. […] Instead of proposing a new tax, AB 1956 simply requires the Board of Equalization to amend the definition of ‘tangible personal property’ to include ‘digital property.’ That needs only a majority vote, meaning no Republicans necessary. […] ‘This is crazy stuff,’ said Michelle Steel, Orange County’s representative on the Board of Equalization, who has been the lead opponent of the ‘iTax’ since before it was even in bill form. ‘It’s totally illegal,’ she said.” [Orange County Register, 3/17/08]

Steel Didn’t Believe Out-Of-State Internet Retailers Should Have To Charge A Sales Tax

ABx1 28 Required Out-Of-State Internet Retailers To Collect Sales Tax From California Customers

ABx1 28 Required Out-Of-State Internet Retailers To Collect Sales Tax From California Customers. “ABx1 28 says out-of-state Internet retailers must collect sales tax from California customers. The law is designed to generate $300 million for state and local governments, and remove the built-in price advantage over brick and mortar stores that Internet merchants get by not charging tax. Online retailers with stores in the state already had to collect the tax. The new law extends to retailers like Amazon, which has no stores but operates subsidiaries in California.” [Sacramento Bee, 7/29/11]

Steel Supported A Ballot Referendum Introduced By Amazon That Would Repeal Sales Tax Collection From Out-Of-State Internet Retailers

Steel Supported A Ballot Referendum Introduced By Amazon That Would Repeal Sales Tax Collection From Out-Of-State Internet Retailers. “But Amazon refused to collect the tax and launched a voter referendum
to repeal it. Amazon, like many other Internet retailers, also severed ties with thousands of California affiliates – businesses and individuals who earned commissions referring customers to the retailers’ websites.
That prompted cries of ‘job killer’ from critics of the new law, including the two Republicans on the Board of Equalization. ‘I was against this Internet tax to begin with,’ board member Michelle Steel told her colleagues at Tuesday’s meeting. Steel is married to Republican power broker Shawn Steel and has signed activist Grover Norquist’s famous anti-tax pledge.” [Sacramento Bee, 7/29/11]

### Local Taxes

#### Steel Opposed The Use Of ZIP Codes To Determine Local Tax Rates

**Steel Opposed The Use Of ZIP Codes To Determine Local Tax Rates.** “Using ZIP codes to determine local tax rates is common practice, but it has become outdated. ZIP codes are great for determining a general location, but they don’t line up with county, city, or district lines - some ZIP codes even cross state boundaries. As more local governments add additional sales taxes, ZIP codes become a less reliable tool for determining the tax rate in a given area. And while the Board of Equalization officially frowns upon the use of ZIP codes to determine tax rates for this very reason, there are few other cost effective options available for in- and out-of-state businesses that are required to collect the tax at the local rate when they ship products to California customers.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steele, 8/21/13]

### Sales Taxes

#### Steel Opposed A Policy That Taxed Mobile Phones Based On Retail Price Rather Than Price Paid

**Steel Opposed A Policy That Taxed Mobile Phones Based On Retail Price Rather Than Price Paid.** “Under current law, customers pay tax on the full retail price of a cellphone regardless of whether they receive a discount for signing a long-term service contract or other reasons. Consumers even pay a sales tax when the phone is free. […] Board member Michelle Steel, who represents San Diego County, disagrees with the policy and has tried to make changes at the board level. [San Diego Union-Tribune, 4/12/11] Michelle Steel, vice chairman of the board, said, ‘It’s more regulations. It’s always bad especially in a recession like this. It makes no sense.’ Steel was the only one of the five elected members to the California State Board of Equalization to oppose the regulation in a recent procedural vote.” [North County Times, 3/10/11]

### Small Business Taxes

#### Steel Launched An Internal Investigation Into The Board Of Equalization’s Small-Business Tax Security Deposit System And Found That More Than $38 Million In Eligible Refunds Were Being Withheld

**Steel Launched An Internal Investigation Into The Board Of Equalization’s Small-Business Tax Security Deposit System And Found That More Than $38 Million In Eligible Refunds Were Being Withheld.** “Under state law, certain businesses can be required to post and maintain a tax security deposit with the state’s sales-tax agency for their first three years of operation. The security deposits, which range from $2,000 to $50,000, act as collateral against any potential tax liabilities. State law requires the release of security deposits for businesses with perfect tax payment records for three years. After receiving a call from a San Diego business owner who paid the security deposit, I launched an internal investigation into the state board’s refund procedures and discovered a systemic problem at the agency. Out of California’s $436 million in tax security deposits, more than $38.8 million in refunds are eligible for release but are being held by the agency. In my district alone, which represents one-fourth of the state, security deposits worth more than $4 million were improperly withheld from more than 600 businesses. Some deposits were withheld for more than four years. Why is this happening? Unlike that income tax refund your
family anticipates and budgets, tax security deposits are held for so long that many small-business owners forget they exist -- and don’t remember when their refund is due.” [Los Angeles Times, Michelle Steel, 1/24/08]

### Steel Voted To End Requiring Security Deposits From Small Businesses Registering For A Seller’s Permit

Steel Voted To End Requiring Security Deposits From Small Businesses Registering For A Seller’s Permit. “Small businesses got an early Christmas present from California when the state Board of Equalization on Dec. 17 voted unanimously to end requiring automatic security deposits when owners register for a seller’s permit. Additionally, the board voted to return more than $296 million to small-business owners that the state has been holding as security deposits. ‘This is a great victory for taxpayers and small businesses,’ said equalization board Vice Chairperson Michelle Steel, who represents the mountain communities. ‘Ending this program will allow new businesses across the state to keep capital in their pockets, available to invest in their businesses or to support their families, instead of having to send a large security deposit to the state.’” [Mountain News & Crestline Courier-News, 12/26/13]

### Steel Held Free Small Business Tax Seminars To Assist Business Owners With Tax Questions

Steel Held Free Small Business Tax Seminars To Assist Business Owners With Tax Questions. “Michelle Steel, Third District Member of the State Board of Equalization today announced a free Imperial County Small Business Seminar on October 26, 2010. Representatives from the Board of Equalization, Franchise Tax Board, Internal Revenue Service, Employment Development Department, and the City of Calexico will be available to assist attendees. This free seminar is geared towards business owners, swap meet vendors, entrepreneurs considering starting a business, business owners with questions about state or federal tax laws, and individuals who want to learn about useful resources to help their business.” [Targeted News Service, 10/21/10]

### State Agency Taxes

### Steel Opposed Imposing State Taxes On State Agencies

Steel Opposed Imposing State Taxes On State Agencies. “Steel offered a few changes that could cumulatively make a meaningful budget impact: […] Stop imposing state taxes on state agencies. State agencies waste thousands of hours and millions of dollars by completing useless tax returns.” [Daily News of Los Angeles, 5/24/09]

### Tax Credits

### Steel Argued That Tax Credits Were “Short-Sighted” As A Method To Increase Economic Growth

Steel Blamed Government Regulations And Taxes For The Lack Of Job Growth And Upward Mobility In Orange County. “However, while many of the tech businesses may have been conceptualized in Orange County and we might be home to CEOs and business leaders, we seem to have a hard time making O.C. home to their headquarters. It is my hope that we can find a way to correct that disconnect. A higher cost of living is not as troublesome if the economy supports a growing job market with business sectors that allow for mobility. […] There are many reasons, including government regulations and oppressive state polices that lead to stifled growth; special interest groups have also added fuel to the fire. In favor of temporary gains, these groups have favored short-sighted government tax credit schemes that distort our economy instead of advocating long-term solutions.” [Orange County Register, Michelle Steel, 3/5/17]

### Tax Inspections
Steel Opposed A Program That Would Provide Businesses In-Person Visits From State Inspectors To Ensure Proper Tax Collection

Steel Opposed A Program That Would Provide Businesses In-Person Visits From State Inspectors To Ensure Proper Tax Collection. “Businesses from Norco to Idyllwild can expect in-person visits this year from state inspectors trying to verify they are collecting the proper taxes from customers. […] ‘This is an outreach and education program to make sure people understand what their obligations under the law are,’ she said. […] The board member who represents the Inland Empire, Michelle Steel, opposes the program, said her spokesman, Arie Dana. The program could lead to audits that tie up merchants’ time and hamper their ability to make money, Dana said.” [Press Enterprise, 7/6/10]

State And Local Taxes (SALT)

Steel Said She Would Work To Restore The State And Local Tax (SALT) Deduction If Elected To Congress

Steel Said She Would Work To Restore The State And Local Tax (SALT) Deduction If Elected To Congress. “At the California Board of Equalization, I stood up to the status quo and returned over $400 million back to Californians, and as a member of Congress I’ll fight to lower taxes for Orange County families, oppose any attempts to increase the federal gas tax, and work to restore the state and local tax (SALT) and mortgage interest deductions (MID).” [Michelle Steel for Congress, Issues, accessed 2/5/20]
### Veterans & Military Family Issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significant Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Steel personally donated $2,500 to the Heroes Hall Veterans Museum.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Heroes Hall Veterans Museum

**Steel Personally Donated $2,500 To The Heroes Hall Veterans Museum.** “The board allocated $4 million to build the museum, he said, and an additional $400,000 has been raised for the project. That includes $50,000 from the city of Costa Mesa. During Friday’s ceremony, Orange County Supervisor Michelle Steel added to the total with a $2,500 personal check.” [Los Angeles Times, 11/12/16]