

## Mariannette Miller-Meeks (IA-01) Red Box Backup – Abortion

### ABORTION

When it comes to **abortion**, IA-01 Voters need to see on broadcast and cable in the Quad Cities, Cedar Rapids, and Des Moines media markets, as well as in the mail and on OTT and digital:

- Mariannette Miller-Meeks calls herself “100% pro-life.”
- She supports overturning *Roe v. Wade* and outlawing abortion -- even in the cases of rape, incest, and to save the life of the mother.
- If Miller-Meeks had her way, doctors and nurses could be punished -- putting people’s health and reproductive rights in danger.

### Mariannette Miller-Meeks Calls Herself “100% Pro-Life.”

**Miller-Meeks: “My Record Is 100 Percent Pro-Life.”** “When challenged by Schilling on her stance on abortion, Miller-Meeks said she ‘misspoke’ in 2018 when she said she was pro-choice. ‘I have always been pro-life; my stance has been the same,’ she said. ‘I misspoke. It’s regrettable because it allows an avenue for people to be confused about my position. But my voting record is quite clear; we had a vote on a pro-life amendment in 2019 and 2020. I was the only woman to stand up and speak in favor of that bill. My record is 100 percent pro-life.’” [Muscatine Journal, [5/27/20](#)]

### She Supports Overturning *Roe V. Wade* And Outlawing Abortion -- Even In The Cases Of Rape, Incest, And To Save The Life Of The Mother.

**Miller-Meeks Joined An Amicus Brief Urging The Supreme Court To Overturn *Roe V. Wade* In A Case Reviewing Mississippi’s Abortion Law.** “The Mississippi congressional delegation and the House Pro-Life Caucus today led more than 200 members of Congress in filing an amicus brief supporting the State of Mississippi in *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Organization* and urging the U.S. Supreme Court to uphold Mississippi’s law protecting life. [...] This fall, the Supreme Court will hear *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health* to consider the question of whether all pre-viability prohibitions on elective abortions are unconstitutional. This case represents the most significant challenge to *Roe v. Wade* and the viability standard in *Planned Parenthood v. Casey* in a generation. The State of Mississippi filed its case with the Supreme Court on July 22, 2021. [...] Mariannette J. Miller-Meeks (Iowa-02)” [Office Of Sen. Roger Wicker, Press Release, [7/29/21](#)]

**Miller-Meeks Cosponsored The Life At Conception Act.** [H.R. 1011, Introduced 2/11/21, Cosponsored [3/15/22](#)]

**Cedar Rapids Gazette: The Life At Conception Act “Aims To Block Abortions At All Stages” And “Does Not Indicate Any Exceptions In Cases Of Rape, Incest Or When The Pregnant Person Is At Risk.”** “The tweet was referencing Hinson’s [sic] support of the Life at Conception Act, a bill introduced in the House in February 2021, Mathis’s campaign told the Fact Checker. It has not passed the Democratic-controlled House. Hinson is among 163 Republican co-sponsors of H.R. 1011, a proposal that guarantees a constitutional ‘right to life of each born and preborn human person.’ This legislation is a personhood bill, one of many proposals over the years that aims to block abortions at all stages by legally recognizing all stages of human development as persons. The bill defines human beings protected under this measure as those ‘at all stages of life, including the moment of fertilization, cloning, or other moment at which an individual member of the human species comes into being.’ The bill’s language states this constitutional right is ‘vested in each human being,’ and does not indicate any exceptions in cases of rape, incest or when the pregnant person is at risk.” [Cedar Rapids Gazette, [7/11/22](#)]

**If Miller-Meeks Had Her Way, Doctors And Nurses Could Be Punished -- Putting People's Health And Reproductive Rights In Danger.**

Miller-Meeks Cosponsored The Life At Conception Act. [H.R. 1011, Introduced 2/11/21, Cosponsored [3/15/22](#)]

**The Life At Conception Act Did Not Protect Abortion Providers Or Those Who Help Others Obtain An Abortion From Criminal Charges.** “The Life at Conception Act specifically states that nothing within the bill ‘shall be construed to authorize the prosecution of any woman for the death of her unborn child.’ But the bill does not explicitly protect anyone else from criminal charges, such as abortion providers or those who help others obtain an abortion.” [Cedar Rapids Gazette, [7/11/22](#)]