

George Logan (CT-05) Research Report

The following report contains research on George Logan, a Republican candidate in Connecticut's 5th district. Research for this research memo was conducted by the DCCC's Research Department between September 2021 and October 2021. By accepting this report, you are accepting responsibility for all information and analysis included. Therefore, it is your responsibility to verify all claims against the original documentation before you make use of it. Make sure you understand the facts behind our conclusions before making any specific charges against anyone.



George Logan

Republican Candidate in
Connecticut's 5th Congressional District

Research Memo – 2022

Last Updated June 2022

Prepared by the DCCC Research Department

George Logan (CT-05) Research Memo

Significant Findings

Logan Was An Executive And Former Lobbyist For Aquarion Water Company, Now A Subsidiary Of Eversource Energy.

- ✓ 1992 – Present: Logan held 9 different positions at Aquarion Water Company, including as a senior executive, and as a registered lobbyist from October 2015 to December 2016.
 - ✓ Logan continued to work at Aquarion while serving in the State Senate from 2017- 2020.
- ✓ In 2017, Aquarion Water Company was acquired by Eversource Energy for \$1.7 billion, an energy company with a history of artificially inflating energy costs, electricity rate increases, and massive lobbying expenditures in Connecticut.
 - ✓ Eversource made billions by artificially constraining natural gas pipeline capacity to inflate energy costs for consumers.
 - ✓ Eversource was a lobbying powerhouse in the Connecticut legislature, spending millions of dollars to influence state legislators.
 - ✓ Eversource repeatedly raised electricity rates and were ordered to suspend a rate increase to investigate if customers were overcharged from Tropical Storm Isaias.
- ✓ Logan chose not to vote on unanimous bipartisan bills that dealt with electricity rates, providers, and the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority.
 - ✓ Logan chose not to vote on a unanimous bill that lengthened the timeline used to determine the need for a rate decrease by a public utility.
 - ✓ Logan chose not to vote on a unanimous bill that established standards for acceptable performance, minimum staffing, and equipment levels for electrical distribution.
 - ✓ Logan chose not to vote on a unanimous bill that reformed Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Agency contracts and increased safety and transportation standards for natural gas.
 - ✓ Logan defended his abstentions from voting on unanimous bills that dealt with Eversource Energy saying, “even though the rules permit me to vote on such matters, I often choose not to.”
 - ✓ After criticism from the press and his Democratic opponent in October 2020, Logan and two other Senators employed by Eversource voted for a bipartisan bill to reimburse electricity customers when their power was out for more than 96 hours and mandate performance-based rate making.

Logan Framed Himself As A Moderate Conservative, But Has Adopted The Hard Right Turn Of The MAGA Republican Party Under Trump And McCarthy

- ✓ Logan said he was a “moderate Republican” and was “not far conservative by any means.”
- ✓ Logan “ducked the question” when asked if he supported the 2016 Trump campaign and would not endorse a Trump 2024 presidential campaign.
- ✓ Logan said he would support Kevin McCarthy to become Speaker should Republicans take back the house and invited McCarthy to come campaign with him.
- ✓ Logan voted against a study on a public option for health insurance, and a vaccine requirement to protect against meningitis.
- ✓ Logan opposed the For The People Act.
- ✓ Logan claimed that legalization of recreational marijuana could have many unintended costs such as increased traffic accidents, medical costs, and strain on law enforcement resources.
- ✓ Logan received endorsements from controversial local Republicans Bob Stefanowski, a CEO of a short-term loan company, and Jeff Santopietro, a felon convicted of tax evasion.

Logan Refused To Answer If President Biden Won The 2020 Election Fairly, And Supported Limiting The Right To Vote

- ✓ Logan refused to answer whether Biden won the 2020 election fairly, saying only “I certainly do believe that President Biden is President of the United States.”
- ✓ Logan said he had no problem with the removal of Liz Cheney from her leadership position
- ✓ Logan opposed the congressional committee that’s currently investigating the capitol insurrection.
- ✓ Logan voted against a resolution to advance a constitutional amendment that would have allowed early voting in Connecticut.

Logan Was Bad For Working Families, Opposing Paid Leave, A Minimum Wage Increase, A Public Option Study, And Consistently Opposing Labor Agreements With State Employees

- ✓ Logan voted against every labor agreement between Connecticut and state employees during his tenure in office.
- ✓ Logan voted against establishing paid family and sick leave programs, as well as a public health insurance option study.
- ✓ Logan voted against raising the minimum wage to \$15 an hour, which was expected to raise wages for over half a million Connecticut workers.

- ✓ Logan: “I just don’t think artificially increasing the minimum wage is going to solve our problems. [...] You talk about a livable wage. What’s a livable wage?”

Logan Voted Against Reforming Police And Against Funding Police

- ✓ Logan voted against a pay increase and hazard pay for police officers.
- ✓ Logan voted against police reform legislation that added tighter restrictions on police searches, a duty to intervene if police witness the use of excessive force, adjustments to qualified immunity and restrictions on the use of deadly force.

Logan Voted Against Enacting Common Sense Gun Safety Legislation

- ✓ Logan voted against banning bump stocks and other devices that increase the rate of fire of firearms.
 - ✓ Logan called the bump stock bill a “political stunt” and a “symbolic waste of time.”
- ✓ Logan voted against requiring gun owners to better secure their weapons when left unattended in vehicles.
- ✓ Logan said as a member of Congress, he would be “very, very hesitant” to support common sense gun safety legislation like expanding background checks, banning assault weapons, and banning high-capacity magazines.
- ✓ Logan: “I don’t think we need any additional restrictions on gun laws here in Connecticut.”

Logan Opposed Raising The Debt Ceiling When It Would Have Threatened \$20 Billion In Social Security Payments, 6 Million U.S. Jobs, And \$15 Trillion In Household Wealth.

- ✓ October 2021: Logan said voting to raise the debt ceiling was “irresponsible.”
- ✓ Moody’s Analytics: Failure to raise the debt ceiling would result in a “cataclysmic” economic situation in the United States, wiping out 6 million jobs and \$15 trillion in household wealth.
- ✓ Washington Post: Failing to raise the debt ceiling in October 2021 threatened \$20 billion in Social Security payments.

Logan Didn’t Pass A Single Piece Of Legislation While State Senator

- ✓ Of the 76 bills that Logan introduced as a state senator, zero were passed or even went to a floor vote.

Logan Was Late Paying His Vehicle Taxes And Had Multiple Tax Liens In The Late 1990s

- ✓ Logan was late paying \$584.82 in taxes on his four vehicles each year from 2018-2021.

- ✓ George and Lisa Logan had 4 property tax liens placed in 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999 totaling \$9,864. All four liens were released by May of 2000.

Logan Lived In Connecticut's 3rd Congressional District But Said He Was Planning To Move "Now" To The 5th District

- ✓ Logan's home in Ansonia, CT was in the 3rd Congressional District.
- ✓ July 2021: Logan claimed he was a "natural fit" for the 5th District and that he had a realtor and planned "to move now" to the 5th District.
 - ✓ October 1, 2021: Logan owned the home and the house was not listed for sale.

Logan Received \$178,581 In Indirect PAC Support During His 3 Elections For State Senate

- ✓ Logan was backed by \$18,802 of indirect support from Grow Connecticut, a conservative super PAC with ties to Sheldon G. Adelson, Wal-Mart, and Koch Industries.
- ✓ The Connecticut Business and Industry Association spent \$92,229 on positive ads supporting Logan.
 - ✓ Eversource, the owner of Logan's longtime employer Aquarion, was a dues paying member of the CBIA, paying them \$61,200 between 2016 and 2020.
- ✓ The National Association of Realtors spent \$67,550 over 2 elections to support Logan through online ads and direct mail.

Logan Criticized The American Rescue Plan And Said COVID-19 Financial Relief Programs Went "Too Far" Even As His Employer Benefitted From American Rescue Plan Relief Spending

- ✓ Logan said he was a "skeptic" of the American Rescue Plan because "I think we're going a little bit too far in terms of the type of assistance that we're giving folks throughout the country."
- ✓ Logan falsely claimed that only 9% of the American Rescue Plan went towards COVID-19 relief.
- ✓ The American Rescue Plan included a middle-class tax cut for 350,000 Connecticut families and \$4.2 billion in additional funding for the state.
- ✓ Eversource Energy benefitted from \$4.5 in American Rescue Plan money via the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program.

2016-2020: Logan Received \$292,286 In Citizens' Election Program Grants, Close To, Or The Maximum Amount Available For Each Election

- ✓ 2016, 2018, and 2020: Logan received full Citizens' Election Program grants that made up the overwhelming majority of his total receipts.
- ✓ 2017: Logan sponsored legislation to reduce CEP grants by 25%.

Background

- **FULL LEGAL NAME:** George S. Logan
- **BORN:** March 5, 1969 (53)
- **FAMILY:** Lisa J. Logan (Wife ~ 53); Two adult children
- **HOME:** Ansonia, CT 06401
- **EDUCATION:** B.S. Engineering, Trinity College-Hartford (1987-1991); M.S. Mechanical Engineering, University Of Bridgeport (1991-1995)
- **PROFESSIONAL:** Aquarion Water Company (1992-present)
- **POLITICAL:** Connecticut State Senator, (SD-17) (January 2017 – December 2020)
- **COMMITTEES:** Human Services, Ranking Member; Veterans Affairs, Ranking Member; Finance, Revenue, and Bonding, Member; Regulation Review, Member
- **AWARDS:** Private Owner Of The Year, Associated General Contractors Of Connecticut, 2013; Legislator Of The Year, Connecticut State Firefighters Association; Legislator Of The Year, Associated Builders and Contractors of Connecticut, 2018
- **ORGANIZATIONS:** Board Member, BHcare (February 2021 – Present); Board Member, New Haven Symphony Orchestra (January 2021 – Present); Vice President, The Housatonic Valley Association Board (2014 – Present); Board Member, Griffin Hospital (2012 – Present); Board Member And Secretary Of The Board of Directors, The Central Connecticut Coast YMCA (2010 – Present); Board Member, The Greater Valley Chamber of Commerce (2004 – Present); Guitar, Electric Lady Band, Jimi Hendrix Cover Band (2000 – present); Advisory Board Member, Trinity College (1998 – 2007); Commissioner And Chairperson, The Connecticut African American Affairs Commission (1997 – 2012); Board Member (Emeritus), Junior Achievement Of Greater Fairfield County (1992 – Present)
- **LICENSES:** Connecticut Fishing License, issued 2015

Logan, An Executive And Former Lobbyist For Aquarion Water Company, Abstained From Voting On Bipartisan Bills Dealing With Aquarion's Parent Company, Eversource Energy

1992-Present: Logan Held 9 Different Positions At Aquarion Water Company, Including As A Senior Executive And Registered Lobbyist

Aquarion Water Company Supplied Water To Cities And Towns In Connecticut, Massachusetts And New Hampshire

Aquarion Water Company Was Based In Bridgeport Connecticut And Mostly Serviced Connecticut Cities And Towns In Addition To Areas Of Massachusetts And New Hampshire. “Aquarion Water Company is the public water supply company for more than 625,000 people in 51 cities and towns throughout Connecticut, as well as serving customers in Massachusetts and New Hampshire. Aquarion Water Company is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Eversource. Based in Bridgeport, Connecticut, it has been in the public water supply business since 1857. Across its operations, Aquarion strives to act as a responsible steward of the environment and to assist the communities it serves in promoting sustainable practices.” [Linkedin, Aquarion Water Company, accessed 8/27/21]

Logan Started At Aquarion Water Company In 1995 And Worked His Way Up To Senior Positions

June 2020 – Present: Logan Was Director Of Community Relations For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

January 2017 – June 2020: Logan Was Director Of Environmental Management For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

February 2014 – December 2016: Logan Was Director Of Government Relations And Director Of Environmental Management For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

October 2015 – December 2016: Logan Was A Registered Lobbyist For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

July 2006 – February 2014: Logan Was Director Of Engineering & Planning Department For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

July 2006 – March 2009: Logan Was The Director Of Purchasing Department For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

March 2004 – June 2006: Logan Was Manager Of Capital Project Delivery For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

1995 – March 2004: Logan Was Senior Engineer & (GIS) Mapping Group Supervisor For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

1992 – 1995 : Logan Was Project Engineer & Project Manager For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

2014: As Spokesperson For Aquarion, Logan Claimed The Company Did Not Have To Comply With Local Zoning Laws To Allow Them To Run A Shooting Range In A Residential District

Aquarion Allowed Local Law Enforcement To Use Its Facilities As A Shooting Range Causing Local Complaints. “The Weston Zoning Board of Appeals will continue a public hearing on Tuesday, Nov. 25, about whether a cease-and-desist order should be issued to Aquarion Water Company for operating a shooting range in a residential district. The hearing started on Oct. 28, when residents filled the Commission Room at town hall to discuss the pros and cons of the operation of a shooting range at the base of the Samuel P. Senior Dam, near the Saugatuck Reservoir on Valley Forge Road. The shooting range is private and is used solely by various members of law enforcement for practice and training. The subject of the hearing is an appeal of the zoning enforcement officer's decision in September not to issue a cease and desist order to Aquarion for operation of the range.” [Weston Forum, 11/20/14]

- **The Shooting Range On Aquarion Property Was Used Solely By Law Enforcement.** “The Weston Zoning Board of Appeals will continue a public hearing on Tuesday, Nov. 25, about whether a cease-and-desist order should be issued to Aquarion Water Company for operating a shooting range in a residential district [...] The shooting range is private and is used solely by various members of law enforcement for practice and training.” [Weston Forum, 11/20/14]

Logan, A Spokesman For Aquarion, Said That Because Aquarion Is A Public Utility They Were Exempt From Local Zoning Laws. “At the October public hearing, Aquarion spokesman George Logan said Aquarion allows law enforcement officials from Weston, Redding, Westport, Fairfield and a regional SWAT team to use the shooting range periodically for practice. He also claimed that Aquarion, as a public utility, is exempt from local zoning regulations.” [Weston Forum, 11/20/14]

The Zoning Board Upheld Decision To Allow Aquarion To Continue To Operate Its Shooting Range. “The Zoning Board of Appeals took a narrow view of the issue before it and decided to uphold the zoning enforcement

officer's decision not to issue a cease and desist order to the Aquarion Water Company for the operation of a shooting range in a residential zone.” [Weston Forum, [12/3/14](#)]

2015-2016: Logan Was A Registered Lobbyist For Aquarion Water Company

October 2015 – December 2016: Logan Was A Registered Lobbyist For Aquarion Water Company.
[LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

Utilities, Real Estate, And Environment Were Among The Issues Logan Registered To Lobby For.

Issues in which you expect to Lobby
Nature of Client's Business
Utility

Issues
Banking, finance, lending, investment
Business
Consumer affairs
Elections, campaigns, voting, political parties, ethics
Energy
Environment - recycling, packaging, pollution, waste
Labor and Public employees - salaries and wages, collective bargaining, unions
Licenses, permits
Manufacturing - distribution and services
Public safety - police, fire, law enforcement, other
Real Estate - development, property
Technology
Utilities/telecommunications - gas, electricity, cable, telephone, television, radio, newspaper

[Office Of State Ethics, Client Lobbyist Registration, [10/6/15](#)]

In 2017, Aquarion Water Company Was Acquired By Eversource Energy, An Energy Company With A History Of Electricity Rate Increases, Unpreparedness For Tropical Storms, And Massive Lobbying Expenditures

2017: Aquarion Water Was Acquired By Eversource Energy For \$1.7 Billion. “In 2017, Eversource, the region’s largest electric utility that serves portions of Berkshire County, acquired Aquarion for nearly \$1.7 billion. The merger, which Eversource said is the first between an electric utility and a water company, was viewed as something of an oddity in the business community.” [The Berkshire Edge, [4/8/21](#)]

- **The Sale Of Aquarion To Eversource Was Approved By The Connecticut Public Utilities Regularity Authority.** “As expected, Connecticut regulators approved Aquarion Water’s \$1.7 billion sale to Eversource Energy, combining the largest energy and water companies in the state even as Eversource revealed plans to increase electricity rates beginning next May.” [CT Post, [10/30/17](#)]

Eversource Made Billions By Artificially Constraining Natural Gas Pipeline Capacity And Was Unprepared For Outages Stemming From A Tropical Storm

A 2017 Environmental Defense Fund Study Claimed Eversource Made \$3.6 Billion By Artificially Constraining Natural Gas Pipeline Capacity To Inflate Energy Costs For Consumers. “A new Environmental Defense Fund white paper accuses Avangrid and Eversource of artificially constraining natural gas pipeline capacity in New England, leading to inflated energy costs for consumers in Massachusetts and the six-state region. By reserving pipeline capacity, and then not using it the next day, energy companies pocketed an extra \$3.6 billion between 2013 and 2016, according to the report, titled Vertical Market Power in Interconnected Natural Gas and Electricity Markets.” [Mass Live, [10/12/17](#)]

October 2017: Tens Of Thousands Of Connecticut Residents Lost Power After A Storm Knocked Out Power From Eversource. “Eversource executives have been summoned before to the Capitol to answer lawmakers’ questions. The utility was criticized for its response to an October 2017 storm that left tens of thousands of Connecticut residents and businesses without power for days.” [Hartford Courant, [8/6/20](#)]

October 30, 2017: Eversource Raised Electricity Rates “For Improvements To The Company’s Electricity Grid, To Include Stronger Poles And Wires” To Prepare For Severe Weather. “Last week, Eversource filed notice itself of plans to raise Connecticut rates 6.8 percent on average, following the expiration of a four-year freeze on rates as a result of its 2012 merger with Massachusetts-based NStar. The hike would result in an additional \$337 million in revenue for Eversource over three years. Eversource spokesman Mitch Gross told Hearst Connecticut Media last week that the main driver behind the increase is for improvements to the company’s electricity grid, to include stronger poles and wires. An overnight storm knocked out power Monday morning for large numbers of Eversource customers, with between 20 percent and 30 percent of Eversource customers in Bethel, Ridgefield and Westport waking up to blackouts.” [CT Post, [10/30/17](#)]

August 2020: Eversource Didn’t Adequately Prepare For Tropical Storm Isaias Which Caused More Than 800,000 Customers To Lose Power In Connecticut. “The chair of the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority says that Eversource Energy badly underestimated the threat of Tropical Storm Isaias by preparing for between 125,000 and 380,000 outages, while more than 800,000 of its customers lost power at the peak and nearly 600,000 still were in the dark Wednesday night.” [CT Mirror, [8/5/20](#)]

Eversource Was A Lobbying Powerhouse In The Connecticut Legislature, Spending Millions Of Dollars

Eversource Spent More On Lobbying Connecticut Legislators Than Any Other Company In 2019 And 2020. “The state’s power companies have spent upwards of \$9 million lobbying the General Assembly since 2014 — and some believe that money was well spent. Of all the companies that hire lobbyists, Eversource spent the most over the last two years, shelling out nearly \$1.4 million to influence lawmakers.” [CT Post, [9/4/20](#)]

Eversource Spent \$6.4 Million On Lobbying Since 2014. “Records produced by the Connecticut Office of State Ethics show Eversource spent \$6.4 million on lobbying since 2014. That figure includes direct payments to lobbying firms, as well as office, administrative and other expenses.” [CT Post, [9/4/20](#)]

Eversource Was Repeatedly Criticized For Electricity Rate Hikes

Eversource Increased Rates In The Summer Of 2020, Doubling Them In Some Cases. “The company has since been marred by delays in restoring power following Tropical Storm Isaias, and is now the subject of a Public Utilities Regulatory Authority investigation into how their money has been spent over the previous decade, particularly regarding storm preparation and the response to Isaias. Just before the storm, Eversource drew outrage for a rate increase that greatly increased customers’ bills, doubling them in some cases. Eversource attributed the increase to a hot summer with more people working from home due to the COVID-10 pandemic and using power and air conditioning, as well as a PURA-approved increase in the generation rate.” [Journal Inquirer, [8/14/20](#)]

July 2020: Connecticut Regulators Ordered Eversource To Suspend A Rate Increase To Investigate If Customers Were Overcharged. “Connecticut regulators in July ordered Eversource to temporarily suspend a rate

increase that appeared in customers' July bills so an investigation can be conducted into whether customers were overcharged. The Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, which is also examining Eversource's storm response, received numerous complaints from customers shocked by larger-than-normal electric bills, some twice as much as they usually pay. Eversource has said they were driven primarily by a significant increase in summer energy use and two recent delivery fee increases." [Associated Press, [8/27/20](#)]

Eversource Used Rate Hike Requests To Pay For Membership To Business And Political Organizations.

"Tucked inside Eversource's request for a rate increase of \$337 million over three years is more than \$4 million in membership fees to business and economic development groups that critics say ratepayers should not have to pay. As part of its "community relations and economic development" program, Eversource has proposed spending \$574,000 for membership fees to the Edison Electric Institute, a Washington, D.C., trade group that represents investor-owned utilities; \$406,000 for the MetroHartford Alliance, central Connecticut's chamber of commerce; and \$99,000 for the Connecticut Business and Industry Association. The spending would be in 2018, 2019 and 2020." [Hartford Courant, [11/29/17](#)]

2020: Eversource Was Unprepared For Tropical Storm Isaias Despite Raising Electricity Rates To Prepare For Severe Weather

2017: Eversource Was Unprepared For Outages Stemming From A Storm, Causing Tens Of Thousands Of Customers To Lose Power For Days

October 2017: Tens Of Thousands Of Connecticut Residents And Businesses Lost Power For Days After A Storm Knocked Out Power From Eversource. "Eversource executives have been summoned before to the Capitol to answer lawmakers' questions. The utility was criticized for its response to an October 2017 storm that left tens of thousands of Connecticut residents and businesses without power for days." [Hartford Courant, [8/6/20](#)]

2017: Eversource Raised Electricity Rates To Prepare For Severe Weather

October 30, 2017: Eversource Raised Electricity Rates "For Improvements To The Company's Electricity Grid, To Include Stronger Poles And Wires" To Prepare For Severe Weather. "Last week, Eversource filed notice itself of plans to raise Connecticut rates 6.8 percent on average, following the expiration of a four-year freeze on rates as a result of its 2012 merger with Massachusetts-based NStar. The hike would result in an additional \$337 million in revenue for Eversource over three years. Eversource spokesman Mitch Gross told Hearst Connecticut Media last week that the main driver behind the increase is for improvements to the company's electricity grid, to include stronger poles and wires. An overnight storm knocked out power Monday morning for large numbers of Eversource customers, with between 20 percent and 30 percent of Eversource customers in Bethel, Ridgefield and Westport waking up to blackouts." [CT Post, [10/30/17](#)]

2020: About A Million Eversource Customers Lost Power At Various Times During And After Tropical Storm Isaias, Far More Than Eversource Prepared For

August 2020: Eversource Didn't Adequately Prepare For Tropical Storm Isaias Which Caused More Than 800,000 Customers To Lose Power In Connecticut. "The chair of the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority says that Eversource Energy badly underestimated the threat of Tropical Storm Isaias by preparing for between 125,000 and 380,000 outages, while more than 800,000 of its customers lost power at the peak and nearly 600,000 still were in the dark Wednesday night." [CT Mirror, [8/5/20](#)]

- **August 2020: Eversource Underestimated Tropical Storm Isaias Predicting 125,000 And 380,000 Outages. While More Than 800,000 Customers Lost Power At The Peak.** "The chair of the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority says that Eversource Energy badly underestimated the threat of Tropical Storm Isaias by

preparing for between 125,000 and 380,000 outages, while more than 800,000 of its customers lost power at the peak and nearly 600,000 still were in the dark Wednesday night.” [CT Mirror, [8/5/20](#)]

About A Million Eversource Customers Lost Power At Various Times During And After the Storm. “About 1 million customers lost power at various times during and after the storm, but the peak outage — the greatest number blacked out at one time — was 632,632. There were 21,669 damage locations, with damage to 2,506 poles, 1,438 transformers and more than 500 miles of wire.” [CT Mirror, [8/27/20](#)]

An Eight-Month Investigation By The Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (PURA) Found That Eversource Failed To Deploy Enough Line Crews And Report Certain Incidents Including Damage To Customers’ Property

An Eight-Month Investigation By Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (PURA) Found That Eversource And United Illuminating Failed To Deploy Enough Line Crews And Report Certain Incidents Including Damage To Customers’ Property. “Wednesday’s decision caps an eight-month investigation that concluded in April and found that the companies had not met the state’s performance standards. PURA said the companies’ failures included not deploying enough line crews and failing to report certain incidents, including damage to customers’ property. Connecticut Attorney General William Tong, who intervened in the case, praised the regulator’s decision. ‘Eversource failed its customers and put Connecticut families at risk,’ he said in a statement.” [Reuters, [7/15/21](#)]

Connecticut Attorney General William Tong: “Eversource Failed Its Customers And Put Connecticut Families At Risk.” “Wednesday’s decision caps an eight-month investigation that concluded in April and found that the companies had not met the state’s performance standards. PURA said the companies’ failures included not deploying enough line crews and failing to report certain incidents, including damage to customers’ property. Connecticut Attorney General William Tong, who intervened in the case, praised the regulator’s decision. ‘Eversource failed its customers and put Connecticut families at risk,’ he said in a statement.” [Reuters, [7/15/21](#)]

2021: Eversource Agreed To A \$103 Million Settlement For Failing To Adequately Prepare For Tropical Storm Isaias

July 2021: PURA Originally Fined Eversource And United Illuminating The Maximum Possible Fine Of \$30 Million, \$28.5 Million For Eversource And \$1.2 Million For United Illuminating

PURA Imposed The Maximum Fine, \$30 Million, On Eversource Energy And The United Illuminating Company For Failing To Sufficiently Prepare For Tropical Storm Isaias. “(Reuters) - Connecticut’s power regulator on Wednesday imposed nearly \$30 million in fines to public utilities Eversource Energy and The United Illuminating Company (UI) for failing to sufficiently prepare for and respond to tropical storm Isaias, which last summer, left hundreds of thousands of their customers without power for days. The Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (PURA) fined Eversource, New England’s largest power utility, \$28.5 million and UI \$1.2 million in civil penalties, mostly for failing to comply with performance standards under Connecticut’s General Statutes Title 16, which regulates public service companies. Eversource spokesperson Mitch Gross said, ‘Time and time again our employees work tirelessly to restore power as quickly as possible and support our customers and communities when outages occur.’ ‘Our focus now is on the future and assuring that our emergency response efforts are intensive,’ he added. The fine against Eversource, which is represented by in-house counsel and Cheryl Kimball of Keegan Werlin, is the maximum allowed by the law, said PURA spokesperson Taren O’Connor.” [Reuters, [7/15/21](#)]

PURA Fined Eversource Energy \$28.5 Million Of The \$30 Million Maximum Fine. “(Reuters) - Connecticut’s power regulator on Wednesday imposed nearly \$30 million in fines to public utilities Eversource Energy and The United Illuminating Company (UI) for failing to sufficiently prepare for and respond to tropical storm Isaias, which

last summer, left hundreds of thousands of their customers without power for days. The Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (PURA) fined Eversource, New England's largest power utility, \$28.5 million and UI \$1.2 million in civil penalties, mostly for failing to comply with performance standards under Connecticut's General Statutes Title 16, which regulates public service companies. Eversource spokesperson Mitch Gross said, 'Time and time again our employees work tirelessly to restore power as quickly as possible and support our customers and communities when outages occur.' 'Our focus now is on the future and assuring that our emergency response efforts are intensive,' he added. The fine against Eversource, which is represented by in-house counsel and Cheryl Kimball of Keegan Werlin, is the maximum allowed by the law, said PURA spokesperson Taren O'Connor." [Reuters, [7/15/21](#)]

- **Eversource Challenged The \$28 Million PURA Fine.** "As a condition of the deal, the utility would also drop its court challenge of a roughly \$28 million PURA fine and create a Connecticut-based corporate president 'to improve local accountability and control,' according to a joint statement from Lamont and Tong. 'We would note that Eversource is already paying the \$28.4 million civil penalty in the form of bill credits that started last month,' said PURA's O'Connor. 'Recall that the \$28.4 million civil penalty is comprised of the maximum civil penalty authorized by law at the time of Tropical Storm Isaias, and is being returned to ratepayers over the course of 12 months.'" [Connecticut Public, [10/5/21](#)]

July 2021: PURA Also Ordered A Reduction Of Eversource's Profits That Would Have Translated To \$135 Million In Penalties Over 4 Years, Which Eversource Challenged In Court

PURA Proposed To Cut Eversource's Allowable Profits From Ratepayers, Which Would Have Translated Into \$135 Million In Penalties Over 4 Years. "After Isaias, the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority or PURA, which handles much of the arcane bureaucracy of power bills, proposed a very specific penalty against Eversource. It wanted to cut Eversource's allowable profits from Connecticut ratepayers by 0.9%. And impose an additional profit reduction of 0.45%. All told, that reduction would have translated into at least \$135 million in penalties against Eversource over four years, according to PURA. Eversource challenged PURA's decision in state court, arguing it hampered the ability of the utility to attract investment and could ultimately harm consumers by driving up costs." [Connecticut Public, [10/5/21](#)]

PURA's Decision Ordered A Reduction Of Eversource's Profits Because Eversource Leaders "Managed The Company As A Corporation, Rather Than As A Utility With A Statutory Public Service Obligation." "A separate PURA decision in April ordered a reduction in the profit of Eversource and UI through rate cuts that translate to about \$25 million a year in reduced profit for Eversource and \$1.3 million a year for UI, O'Connor said. PURA chairman Marissa Gillet said at the time that the decision was 'a commentary on the deliberate decision by Eversource leaders to manage the company as a corporation, rather than as a utility with a statutory public service obligation.' Eversource has appealed that decision in New Britain Superior Court, according to the New Haven Register. UI appealed a day later." [Reuters, [7/15/21](#)]

- **Eversource Challenged PURA's Decision In Court Arguing it Would Hamper The Ability Of The Utility To Attract Investment And Could Drive Up Costs For Consumers.** "After Isaias, the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority or PURA, which handles much of the arcane bureaucracy of power bills, proposed a very specific penalty against Eversource. It wanted to cut Eversource's allowable profits from Connecticut ratepayers by 0.9%. And impose an additional profit reduction of 0.45%. All told, that reduction would have translated into at least \$135 million in penalties against Eversource over four years, according to PURA. Eversource challenged PURA's decision in state court, arguing it hampered the ability of the utility to attract investment and could ultimately harm consumers by driving up costs." [Connecticut Public, [10/5/21](#)]

October 2021: Instead, Eversource Settled With Connecticut's Attorney General For A \$103 Million Settlement And A Prohibition On Raising Electricity Rates Before 2024

Eversource Settled With The Office Of The Attorney General, The Department Of Energy And Environmental Protection, And The Office Of Consumer Counsel. “The agreement between Eversource, the Office of the Attorney General, the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, and the Office of Consumer Counsel settles Phase IIa (Interim Rate Decrease) of PURA Docket No. 17-12-03RE11 and the implementation of a storm penalty imposed by PURA following Tropical Storm Isaias. The settlement was submitted today to PURA for review and approval.” [State of Connecticut, Governor Ned Lamont, Press Release, [10/1/21](#)]

State Leaders Brokered A \$103 Million Deal With Eversource Over Its Response To Tropical Storm Isaias Scaling Back Longer-Term Penalties For Eversource In Exchange For Immediate Relief To State Taxpayers. “State leaders say they’ve brokered a roughly \$103 million settlement with utility Eversource over its response to Tropical Storm Isaias. If approved, the deal would extend immediate relief to state ratepayers, but it scales back costly longer-term penalties Eversource was contesting in state court.” [Connecticut Public, [10/5/21](#)]

The Settlement Granted A \$65 Million Direct Payout To Customers From Eversource And \$10 Million From Eversource To Help Customers Struggling With Power Bills. “Now a tentative agreement brokered by Eversource and a coalition of state officials, including Democratic Gov. Ned Lamont and Attorney General William Tong, is dropping that long-term profit reduction, opting instead for a one-time lump-sum payment. [...] Under the proposed deal, Eversource would grant a one-time payout of \$65 million back to customers. The money would show up as a roughly \$17 credit on December and January bills. The utility would also direct \$10 million to help customers struggling with power bills.” [Connecticut Public, [10/5/21](#)]

As A Condition Of The Deal, Eversource Dropped Its Court Challenge Of The Roughly \$28 Million PURA Fine And Created A Connecticut-Based Corporate President “To Improve Local Accountability And Control.” “As a condition of the deal, the utility would also drop its court challenge of a roughly \$28 million PURA fine and create a Connecticut-based corporate president ‘to improve local accountability and control,’ according to a joint statement from Lamont and Tong. ‘We would note that Eversource is already paying the \$28.4 million civil penalty in the form of bill credits that started last month,’ said PURA’s O’Connor. ‘Recall that the \$28.4 million civil penalty is comprised of the maximum civil penalty authorized by law at the time of Tropical Storm Isaias, and is being returned to ratepayers over the course of 12 months.’” [Connecticut Public, [10/5/21](#)]

As Part Of The Deal, Eversource Could Not Apply For A Rate Increase Until January 2023 Which Itself Could Not Take Effect Until January 2024 At The Earliest. “Under the terms of the settlement, Eversource would not apply for a rate increase until at least January 2023, and any increase wouldn’t be able to take effect until at least one year later.” [Connecticut Public, [10/5/21](#)]

Logan Didn’t Vote On Multiple Bipartisan Bills Concerning Eversource Energy Until Criticism Prompted Him To Vote For Them

2017-2019: Logan Skipped Votes On Bills Dealing With Electric Utilities That Passed The Senate With Zero Votes In Opposition

June 7, 2017: Logan Didn’t Vote On HB 7105, A Unanimous Bipartisan Bill To Adjust The Timeline Used To Determine The Need For An Interim Rate Decrease By A Public Utility. Logan was absent for a vote on HB 7105 a bill “To make changes to the time frame used to determine when a public utility’s excessive return requires the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority to determine the need for an interim rate decrease.” The bill passed the Senate 35-0. [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 7105, [6/7/17](#)]

May 30, 2019: Logan Didn’t Vote On SB 469, A Unanimous Bipartisan Bill To Establish Standards For Acceptable Performance And Minimum Staffing And Equipment Levels For Electric Distribution Companies. Logan was absent for a vote on SB 469 a bill “To require the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority to initiate and issue a final decision in a docket to establish standards for acceptable performance and minimum

staffing and equipment levels for electric distribution companies.” The bill passed the Senate 32-0. [Connecticut General Assembly, SB 469, [5/30/19](#)]

May 31, 2019: Logan Didn’t Vote On SB 960, A Unanimous Bipartisan Bill That Reformed The Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Agency Contracts And Increased Safety And Transportation Standards For Natural Gas. “An act concerning the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority's review of claims arising from contracts previously approved by the authority, persons involved in the transportation of natural gas and requirements for operator qualification of individuals performing covered tasks on a pipeline facility, call before you dig program violations and fines and the public utilities regulatory policies act.” The bill passed the Senate 33-0. [Connecticut General Assembly, SB 960, [5/31/19](#)]

Logan Defended His Refusal To Vote On Bills Dealing With Eversource “Even Though The Rules Permit Me To Vote On These Matters,” And Denied Any Conflict Of Interest

Regarding Voting On Eversource Energy, Logan Said “Even Though The Rules Permit Me To Vote On These Matters, I Often Choose Not To.” “I am the Director of Community Relations at the Aquarion Water Company. I have been employed there since 1992. Over the last 28 years, I have held several positions with the company, from Engineer to Director. I hold myself to the highest ethical standards. For example, even though the rules permit me to vote on these matters, I often choose not to because I want to go above and beyond to assure the public that there is no potential conflict.” [George Logan, Facebook, [9/4/20](#)]

- **Logan Was Allowed To Vote On Matters That Help Or Harm His Employer As Long As He Or His Family Didn’t Personally Profit From Said Vote.** “Nothing in Connecticut’s narrowly drawn ethics rules bar legislators from voting on matters that help or harm their employers. In a state with a part-time legislature, almost anything goes as long as elected officials or their families don’t end up with money in their pockets as the direct result of legislative action.” [CT Mirror, [10/1/20](#)]

Logan: “I Hold Myself To The Highest Ethical Standards.” “I am the Director of Community Relations at the Aquarion Water Company. I have been employed there since 1992. Over the last 28 years, I have held several positions with the company, from Engineer to Director. I hold myself to the highest ethical standards. For example, even though the rules permit me to vote on these matters, I often choose not to because I want to go above and beyond to assure the public that there is no potential conflict.” [George Logan, Facebook, [9/4/20](#)]

Logan Claimed His Actions As A Senator Never Came Into Conflict Of Interest With His Employer. “The position of State Senator is a part time position, so it is the norm that these office holders, myself included, have regular, full-time jobs. To ensure the integrity of the office, each Senator undergoes ethics training which includes how to properly navigate legislative activity that may intersect with interests of our full-time employers. I have taken this training and can state with confidence my public comments, positions and legislative activity have never come into conflict with the interests of my employer or its parent company.” [George Logan, Facebook, [9/4/20](#)]

- **WFSB Headline: “Three State Senators Working For Eversource Creates Questions Over Possible Conflict Of Interest.”** [WFSB, [8/31/20](#)]

Logan Eventually Voted On A Bill Regarding Electricity Rates After Criticism Of His Abstentions On Previous Electricity Rate Votes In Press And By His Opponent In The 2020 General Election

October 2020: Logan And Two Other State Senators Who Were Employed By Eversource Energy Voted Against The Company To Mandate Performance-Based Rate Making. “Less than two months after one million Eversource customers lost power in Tropical Storm Isaias, prompting a withering assessment of the company’s readiness and response, the General Assembly has now mandated performance-based rate making [...] The company is deemed so politically unpopular that three employees who typically recuse themselves from bills

affecting Eversource decided they could ill afford to stay neutral — not on this bill, not one month from Election Day. They said nothing during the debate, but voted for passage [...] Kissel is a lawyer at Eversource. The other Eversource employees are the deputy minority leader, Sen. Kevin Witkos of Canton, and Sen. George Logan of Ansonia. ‘This is a bill my constituents wanted me to vote on,’ said Logan, an executive at Aquarion Water Company, which was acquired by Eversource in 2017, the year he took office. ‘It certainly doesn’t benefit my employer.’” [CT Mirror, [10/1/20](#)]

- **CT Mirror Headline: “Senators Takes Tough Stand On Eversource. Even The Ones Who Work There.”** [CT Mirror, [10/1/20](#)]
- **The Bill, HB 7006, Passed The Senate Unanimously, 35-0.** [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 7006, [10/1/20](#)]
- **HB 7006 Overhauled Connecticut’s Utility Regulations, Switching To A “Performance-Based Model” Designed To Limit Rate Increases And Penalize Companies That Weren’t Sufficiently Responsive To Outages.** “In a swift turnaround, a General Assembly committee is nearing completion of an overhaul of the state’s utility regulations, aiming to switch to a ‘performance-based’ model designed to limit rate increases and penalize companies if they are not sufficiently responsive to outages.” [CT Post, [9/8/20](#)]

HB 7006 Required Electricity Providers To Reimburse Customers If They Failed To Restore Power Within 72 Hours, Apply Penalties For Outages Lasting 48 Hours, And Require Minimum Staffing Levels For Lineman. “Under the proposed new rules, the Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Authority could force utilities to pay customers up to \$1,000 — split between the replacement costs for spoiled food and medications — if companies fail to restore power within 72 hours. PURA would be given the discretion to ratchet back established rates and could apply penalties for mass outages lasting 48 hours that affect 10 percent of a utility’s customer base. And utilities would be subject to minimum staffing levels for linemen and other key personnel.” [CT Post, [9/8/20](#)]

August 31, 2020 WFSB Headline: “Three State Senators Working For Eversource Creates Questions Over Possible Conflict Of Interest.” [WFSB, [8/31/20](#)]

September 15, 2020: Logan Was Criticized By His Democratic Opponent In The 2020 Election, Jorge Cabrera, For Working For And Not Standing Up To Eversource. “At Wednesday’s campaign launch, Nag offered another line of attack Cabrera’s campaign will use this election cycle: Logan’s employer [...] ‘It would take an immense amount of courage,’ said Cabrera, for Logan to stand up to Eversource if he were asked to vote on a bill that did not work in the company’s favor.” [New Haven Independent, [9/15/20](#)]

The CT Mirror Noted That Logan’s October 2020 Vote Was Unusual Because He Usually Abstained From Voting On Eversource. “The company is deemed so politically unpopular that three employees who typically recuse themselves from bills affecting Eversource decided they could ill afford to stay neutral — not on this bill, not one month from Election Day. They said nothing during the debate, but voted for passage.” [CT Mirror, [10/1/20](#)]

2017: Logan Had A Spotty Voting History On Bills Related To Water Utilities

Logan Voted Against Bills Related To Water and Water Utilities

Logan Voted Against Advancing HB 6481, A Bill Requiring Appraisal Of Property Owned By Water Companies Prior To Sale. In March of 2017 Logan voted against advancing HB 6481 which, “requires municipalities and water companies to have real property that includes or is part of a watershed, or encompasses a well or reservoir, appraised to determine its fair market value before selling it. At least 60 days before the sale, the

municipality or water company must publish the appraisal on its website. If there is no website, publication must be done in whatever manner the municipality or water company deems practicable.” The bill advanced out of the Joint Planning and Development Committee 15-6 but would not reach the floor. [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 6481, [3/24/17](#)]

Logan Voted Against Passage Of SB 506, A Bill Which Required The Water Planning Council To Review State And Local Authorization Concerning Drought Events. In May 2017, Logan Voted Against SB 506 which, “requires the Water Planning Council to review state and local authorizations concerning drought events in Connecticut and report to the Environment and Public Health committees by February 1, 2018 and every three years thereafter on its findings, among other things. The council may consult with its advisory group, which is established by law, in conducting its review and preparing its reports. [...] By law, the Water Planning Council comprises the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority chairperson, Department of Energy and Environmental Protection commissioner, Department of Public Health commissioner, and the Office of Policy and Management secretary, or their designees. The council addresses issues involving water companies, water resources, and state policies concerning the state's drinking water supply (CGS § 25-33o).” The bill passed 28-8. [Connecticut General Assembly, SB 506, [5/17/17](#)]

Logan Was Absent And Not Voting On Bills Relating To Water Utilities, Despite Voting On Other Legislation That Came To The Floor That Day

Logan Was The Only Absent And Not Voting Member On HB 7105, A Bill Involving Water Company Rate Adjustment Mechanisms. In June 2017, Logan was listed as absent and not voting on HB 7105 which, “changes the methods the Public Utility Regulatory Authority (PURA) uses to make certain determinations between rate cases for both public utilities and water companies. The bill expands and realigns the timeframe used to determine when a public utility's excessive return on equity requires PURA to determine the need for an interim rate decrease. Under the bill, PURA must make such a determination if the public utility has excessive return on equity for the rolling 12-month period ending with the two most recent consecutive financial quarters, rather than a period of six consecutive months. By law, a water company whose rates are determined by PURA may receive a water infrastructure and conservation adjustment (WICA) to help defray the costs of funding certain infrastructure projects between general rate cases.” The bill passed 35-0. [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 7105, [6/7/17](#)]

Logan Was The Only Absent And Not Voting Member On HB 7221, A Bill Involving Access To Water Planning Information. In June 2017, Logan was listed as absent and not voting on HB 7221 which, “revamps the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) exemption for certain water company records. Generally, it removes water company records from the coverage of an existing FOIA exemption that applies to all public agency records if reasonable grounds exist to believe that their release could pose a security risk. It instead identifies specific water company records filed with a public agency as confidential and not subject to disclosure under FOIA. In addition to these specified records, the bill also makes confidential any other water company record filed with a public agency if there are reasonable grounds to believe that disclosure may result in a safety risk.” The bill passed 35-0. [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 7221, [6/7/17](#)]

Logan Was Present And Voting On The Day He Abstained From Voting On Water Utility Bills

A Vote For HB 7251 Was Held Prior To The Vote For HB 7221. [Connecticut Senate Calendar, [6/7/17](#)]

Logan Voted On HB 7251, Which Preceded HB 7221. Logan voted for HB 7251 which passed 29-7. [Connecticut General Assembly, [6/7/17](#)]

Logan Said His Political Approach Was “Radical Sensibility” And Framed Himself As A Moderate, But He Held Extreme Republican Views

Logan Claimed He Was A Moderate Republican Who Embraced A Political Approach Of “Radical Sensibility”

Logan: “I’m A Moderate Republican, You Know. I’m Not Far Conservative By Any Means.” “He cast his candidacy as a bid for political diversity in a state where the Republicans hold no statewide office, no congressional seats and have lost state legislative seats in each of the past two cycles. ‘I’m a moderate Republican, you know. I’m not far conservative by any means,’ Logan said. ‘But I think that we’ve just gone too far left in terms of the priorities that we have here in Connecticut and in Washington.’” [Connecticut Mirror, [7/21/21](#)]

Logan: “I Think We’ve Just Gone Too Far Left In Terms Of The Priorities That We Have Here In Connecticut And In Washington.” “‘I feel like we’re on the cusp of a change where we’re going to get more conservative leaning voices,’ Logan told reporters. ‘I’m a moderate Republican. I’m not a far conservative by any means [or] stretch of the imagination, but I think we’ve just gone too far left in terms of the priorities that we have here in Connecticut and in Washington.’” [CT News Junkie, [7/21/21](#)]

Logan Claimed That His Approach To Politics Was “Radical Sensibility.” “As a state senator, Logan voted against raising the minimum wage, the creation of a paid family and medical leave program, and a police accountability law passed in response to the murder of George Floyd by a police officer in Minneapolis. He called his political approach ‘radical sensibility.’” [Connecticut Mirror, [7/21/21](#)]

Logan Framed Himself As Conservative On Fiscal Issues

Logan Claimed He Was More Conservative Leaning On Fiscal Issues. LOGAN: “[3:48] I consider myself a moderate republican, I am more conservative leaning on fiscal issues, when it comes to societal type issues, I certainly believe we need to take care of folk who need our help but I also believe that it’s important that we provide opportunities for people to work, find jobs, to be able to raise their families and live their lives the way that they want to.” [Fox 61, Interview with George Logan, [7/25/21](#)] (VIDEO)

Logan Outran Donald Trump By 6.6% In The 2020 Election

Logan Outran Donald Trump By 6.6% In The 2020 Election.

Donald Trump vs George Logan Performance In Senate District 17			
State Senate District	Biden Vote Share	Jorge Cabrera (Democratic Opponent Of Logan)	Difference
17	58.8%	52.2%	-6.6%

[DCCC Targeting & Analytics Department, Accessed 10/5/21]

Logan Avoided Saying Whether Biden Won The 2020 Election Fairly And Said He Felt People Had “A Right To Question Any Results Of Any Election Or Any Process”

When Asked Whether Biden Won The 2020 Election Fairly, Logan Said: “I Certainly Do Believe That President Biden Is President Of The United States.” “Regarding whether Biden won last year’s election fairly, Logan said, ‘I certainly do believe that President Biden is president of the United States.’ Logan said he is looking forward to solutions in the future, rather than focusing on past actions in Congress or past votes by Hayes.” [Hartford Courant, [7/26/21](#)]

When Asked How He Would Address Republican Election Disinformation, Logan Said: “I Think Folks Have A Right To Question Any Results Of Any Election Or Any Process.” HOST: “When we look at what happened in our last presidential election, very little evidence of voter fraud and you had a president who continued to perpetuate what was not true, that he won this race and that this was taken away from him. So how do you address that as a Republican?” LOGAN: “Well, you know, as an American citizen, I think folks have a right to

question any results of any election or any process, you know, in a respectful, correct manner. But you know, I certainly do not believe in any unfounded assumptions or, I base my opinions on facts and science and those sorts of things. I'm all ears and folks have a right to question any election results, question what the government is going, but I certainly am not supportive of espousing false or unproven narratives without the disclaimer that it's an unproven narrative and just an opinion, so that's where I stand." [Connecticut Public Radio, Where We Live, Interview with George Logan, [8/4/21](#)] (AUDIO)

Logan Said He Would Have Voted To Certify The 2020 Election But That He “Would Not Discourage Folks To Investigate And Look And Find Irregularities.” LOGAN: “So, you know, again, my focus is on moving forward. So if I was in Congress, I would take a look at the situation, look at the facts and the numbers, now as an outsider, someone who is not in Congress, you're asking me how I would have voted. And I would have certified the election based on what I know and read but I would not discourage folks to continue to investigate and look and find irregularities and if there's something there, then I think it should be taken up at the appropriate time. But to not certify the election results, that would not be something I would take lightly.” [Connecticut Public Radio, Where We Live, Interview with George Logan, [8/4/21](#)] (AUDIO)

Logan Opposed Attempts To Investigate The Capitol Insurrection Through Congressional Oversight

Logan Said He Would Have Voted Against Creating The Select Committee To Investigate The Capitol Insurrection. HOST: “[7:39] We know that Representative Hayes voted for that Select Committee to look into the Jan 6th insurrection, How would you have voted? I know there were two republicans that voted for it Representative Liz Cheney was one of them if you were in that situation which way would you have gone.” LOGAN: “[7:55] Look, I tell folks campaigns are about moving forward and about the future right. So when I look at that January 6th commission my take on it is when you have someone like Nancy Pelosi leading that commission and deciding whether Democrat or Republican who is going to be on that commission, that's a problem. I would rather see the Department of Justice run an investigation, I would like to see others, other than making this into a partisan type of commission and that's what I see now which is unfortunate. I remember when the Democrats and Republicans were having a hard time putting that commission together President Biden said I will do a Presidential Commission and Nancy Pelosi said ‘no way in the world would I allow that to happen’ why because she could not control it and that is the problem I have with Nancy Pelosi's leadership.” [Fox 61, Interview with George Logan, [7/25/21](#)] (VIDEO)

Logan Said He Opposed The Select Committee Investigating The January 6th Attack On The Capitol. LOGAN: “I call it Nancy Pelosi's January 6th commission. I am not in favor of that Nancy Pelosi January 6th commission because I think she's turned it totally into a political tool, and I think it really needs to be something that's nonpartisan, that's fair. [...] It should be more of a nonpartisan investigation and we do not have that right now.” [Connecticut Public Radio, Where We Live, Interview with George Logan, [8/4/21](#)] (AUDIO)

Logan Said The Insurrection Should Be Investigated, But Not With A Commission That Has Nancy Pelosi's Oversight. “On national issues, Logan said that the Jan. 6 insurrection at the U.S. Capitol should be investigated, but added that Pelosi should not be in charge of overseeing a commission that he says is now too partisan. ‘I do support investigating what happened on Jan. 6 and why,’ he said. ‘But I do not think it's a good idea for House Speaker Nancy Pelosi's commission to be the one doing that because there's no way that it's going to be impartial. I believe she wants to use it to benefit her party and to maintain power in Congress, and that is a problem.’” [Hartford Courant, [7/26/21](#)]

Logan Said He Would Support Kevin McCarthy To Be Speaker And Supported The Ousting Of Liz Cheney From Leadership

Logan Said He Had No Problem With Ousting Liz Cheney From Leadership. “Logan said he had no problem the House GOP conference ousting Liz Cheney of Wyoming from leadership, who broke with Trump over his insistence that the party stand with him in undermining public faith in the election.” [Connecticut Mirror, [7/21/21](#)]

Logan Said He Would Favor Kevin McCarthy As Speaker Should Republicans Take Back The House. “If the GOP wins a majority, Logan said, he most likely would favor House Minority Leader Kevin McCarthy of California as speaker. McCarthy denounced Trump’s questioning of the legitimacy of the election, then showed his fealty with a high-profile visit to Trump at Mar-a-Lago.” [Connecticut Mirror, [7/21/21](#)]

Logan Invited Kevin McCarthy To Campaign With Him In Connecticut. “With that backdrop, Logan is trying simply to get on the radar screen of targeted races in order to raise money. He said that he is ‘leaning’ toward voting for Rep. Kevin McCarthy of California, the current House Republican leader, as the next House speaker if he wins and the Republicans gain control in 2022. ‘The leadership is certainly welcome to come here to Connecticut,’ Logan said of potential campaigners. ‘I certainly will be reaching out for folks locally and nationally in terms of support because, look, what’s at stake here is flipping the House, and we need everybody working together to do that.’” [Hartford Courant, [7/26/21](#)]

Logan Received Support From Controversial Connecticut Republicans, Predatory Lending CEO Bob Stefanowski And Felony Tax Evader Jeff Santopietro

Gubernatorial Nominee Bob Stefanowski, Former CEO Of One Of The World’s Largest Short-Term Loan Companies, Said He Would Do Everything He Could To Help Logan Raise Money

2018: Gubernatorial Nominee Bob Stefanowski Said He Would Do Everything He Could To Help Logan Raise Money. “As money will be an issue since Logan starts with Hayes having already raised \$1.2 million for her campaign, former Republican gubernatorial candidate Bob Stefanowski said he would work hard to raise money for Logan by opening up the network that helped him in his 2018 race against Lamont. Stefanowski held fundraisers in New York and at upscale spots like the Belle Haven Club in Greenwich that featured a speech by the chairman of the Republican Governors Association. ‘I’m going to do everything I can to help him raise money,’ Stefanowski said. ‘We had a lot of national donors that I think we can get interested in this.’ Describing Logan as ‘a terrific candidate,’ Stefanowski said, ‘If I was Jahana Hayes, I would be worried right now.’” [Hartford Courant, [7/26/21](#)]

- **Stefanowski Was A Former CEO At DFC Global Corporation, A Large Short Term Loan Company Which Lobbied For High-Interest Loans.** “Bob Stefanowski says he is a corporate reformer who was not responsible for gouging consumers when he was chief executive officer of one of the world’s largest short-term loan companies. But during the same period when the Republican candidate for governor claims he was changing the corporate culture of the DFC Global Corp., one of his top lieutenants fought Hawaiian lawmakers in a successful campaign to retain the company’s high-interest rates in that state.” [Connecticut Post, [10/31/18](#)]

Jeff Santopietro, A “Politically Connected Republican” And Felon Who Was Arrested On Corruption-Related Charges Who Pleaded Guilty To Tax Evasion, Said He Would Campaign With Logan

Waterbury Republican Jeff Santopietro Said He Would Campaign With Logan. “Longtime Waterbury Republican Jeff Santopietro, a strong Trump supporter, said he will campaign with Logan in the Brass City and throughout the district. ‘I haven’t been this excited since John Rowland,’ said Santopietro. ‘People are getting tired of what they see coming out of Washington. It’s dividing the country, and people are tired of it.’” [Hartford Courant, [7/26/21](#)]

- **1991: Santopietro Was One Of Eight “Politically Connected Republicans” Arrested By Federal Agents On Corruption-Related Charges Who Ultimately Pleaded Guilty To Tax Evasion.** “The Republican-American reported Santopietro was among eight politically connected Republicans arrested by federal agents

on corruption-related charges in 1991. His brother, then-mayor Joseph Santopietro, was among those arrested. Jeffrey Santopietro ultimately pleaded guilty to one count of tax evasion.” [Associated Press, [4/18/20](#)]

- **Santopietro Served Three Years In Prison For Tax Evasion.** “Based on the verdicts, those convicted face maximum prison sentences ranging from three years for Jeffrey P. Santopietro, the former mayor's brother, who was found guilty only of income tax evasion, to more than 100 years for the former mayor.” [Hartford Courant, [4/3/92](#)]
- **2020: Santopietro Was Cited For Misdemeanor Breach Of Peace When He Grabbed And Pushed Another Man Against A Wall At Monteiro’s Restaurant In Connecticut.** “Jeffrey Santopietro, who was recently appointed head of Waterbury’s Republican Town Committee, was cited for misdemeanor breach of peace Friday morning, the Republican-American reported. Witnesses said he grabbed and pushed another man against a wall at Monteiro’s Restaurant, believing the man called him fat. Santopietro, 53, also is President Donald Trump’s reelection campaign coordinator in Connecticut. [...] Santopietro, who said he went to the restaurant to get takeout, said he ‘snapped’ when he thought the man called him fat. He was issued a summons to appear in court in July.” [Associated Press, [4/18/20](#)]

2019: Logan Voted Against A Constitutional Amendment That Would Allow Early Voting, Arguing It Would Lead To Fraud

2019: Logan Voted Against Advancing A Constitutional Amendment To Allow Early Voting. In May 2019 Logan Voted Against Passage Of HJ161 which “proposes a constitutional amendment to (1) authorize the General Assembly to provide by law for in-person, early voting before any state or municipal election or referendum; (2) eliminate the requirement that election officials receive and declare votes on the day of an election for state officers and state legislators; and (3) remove the requirement that the second list of election results for state officers and state legislators, which must be sent to the secretary of the state within 10 days after the election, be submitted under seal.” The amendment failed 23-13. [Connecticut General Assembly, HJ161, [5/8/19](#)]

Logan Claimed That Advancing A Constitutional Amendment To Allow Early Voting Could Lead To Fraud. “The Senate endorsed a constitutional amendment Wednesday that would create an early voting system but failed to pass it by the margin necessary to place it on the 2020 November ballot. The chamber voted 23-13 to approve the proposal, falling four votes short of the three-fourth’s margin needed to send it before voters 18 months from now. Senate Republicans who came out against the plan said they were uneasy with the resolution’s lack of detail on how the early voting would work in Connecticut. Such a proposal would be unlikely to boost civic engagement, they reasoned, as voters already have sufficient access to the polls with same-day registration and absentee ballots. They also worried about fraud. ‘This resolution will give lawmakers the ability to use political power to meddle with current constitutional protections that we have,’ said Sen George Logan, R-Ansonia. ‘This resolution could open up our voting system to more potential fraud and disenfranchise the current system. If someone cannot make it to the polls on Election Day, they can still vote via absentee ballot.’ He did not elaborate on the type of fraud to which municipalities could be subjected.” [Connecticut Post, [5/9/19](#)]

Logan Opposed H.R. 1, The For The People Act

Logan Said He Opposed The For The People Act In Part Because He Felt It Would Allow Undocumented Or Otherwise Voting-Ineligible People To Vote In U.S. Elections. LOGAN: “I think anything that helps to encourage folks to vote, I think it’s a good thing. However, the integrity of our voting system is also extremely important as well, and our process, considering the diversity of all of the folks we have in the United States of America, considering all of the, so many undocumented persons we have, we need to make sure that folks that are voting are voting in the right area, that they are actually eligible to vote, that sort of thing. So an opt-out process in our society, I think is quite problematic.” [Connecticut Public Radio, Where We Live, Interview with George Logan, [8/4/21](#)] (AUDIO)

Logan Opposed A Bill That Would Study A Public Option For Health Insurance

Logan Voted Against Advancing A Bill To Study A Public Health Insurance Option. In March 2019, Logan voted against HB 7339 which would, “convene a 3 working group to make recommendations concerning the establishment of a public health insurance coverage option not later than January 1, 2022, that would be (1) funded by enrollee premiums, and (2) open to individuals ineligible for Medicaid who earn less than four hundred per cent of the federal poverty level. The working group shall study how best to expand consumer choice and improve the viability and affordability of the private insurance marketplace.” The bill advanced out of the Joint Committee on Human Services 11-7 but failed to advance any further. [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 7339, [3/21/19](#)]

Logan Opposed A Bill That Would Require A Booster Vaccine Against Meningococcal Disease For Connecticut Students

Logan Voted Against Requiring Students Receive A Booster Shot Against Meningococcal Disease. In April 2017, Logan voted against HB 7172 which, “requires public and private school students, before entering 12th grade, to receive a booster dose of the meningococcal (meningitis) conjugate vaccine, in accordance with the recommendations of the federal Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. State regulations already require students to receive a meningococcal vaccine before entering seventh grade. Existing law, unchanged by the bill, exempts students from this vaccine requirement if they present (1) written certification from a physician, advanced practice registered nurse, or physician assistant that the vaccine is medically contraindicated or (2) a statement from their parent or guardian that the vaccine would be contrary to the religious beliefs of the child, parent, or guardian.” The bill passed the Joint Education Committee 26-8 but failed to advance further. [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 7172, [4/25/17](#)]

- **The CDC Recommends A Booster Dose Of The Meningococcal Vaccine For Teens At Age 16.** “CDC recommends meningococcal vaccination for all preteens and teens. All 11 to 12 year olds should receive a single dose of a meningococcal conjugate (MenACWY) vaccine. Since protection decreases over time, CDC recommends a booster dose at age 16 years. The booster dose provides protection during the ages when teens are at highest risk of meningococcal disease. Teens and young adults (16 through 23 year olds) also may receive a serogroup B meningococcal (MenB) vaccine. The preferred age to get MenB vaccine is 16 through 18 years old.” [CDC, Meningococcal Vaccination for Preteen and Teens: Information for Parents, accessed [10/5/21](#)]
- **Seventeen States Didn't Require The Meningococcal Vaccine For Students.** “Thirty-three states require the meningococcal vaccine in middle school or as a requirement for attending higher education institutions. For example, Virginia enacted legislation in 2017 that requires students entering college to receive an immunization against meningitis and hepatitis B. Students can obtain an exemption if they review information about the risks of meningitis and hepatitis B and sign a waiver. Eleven states require education about the disease and six states do not have a specific meningitis policy.” [National Council On State Legislatures, December [2017](#)]

Logan “Ducked The Question” When Asked If He Supported Trump’s Presidential Campaign, And Avoided Taking A Position When Asked About Fraud In The 2020 Election

Logan “Ducked The Question” When Asked If He Supported The 2016 Trump Campaign And Would Not Endorse A Trump 2024 Presidential Campaign

Logan “Ducked The Question” When Asked If He Would Support Trump During His 2016 State Senate Race And Said He Had “Nothing To Do With The Presidential Election.” “There are three kinds of Republican

candidates running in Connecticut this year: Those who openly support Donald J. Trump, the few who don't, and the vast majority who ducked the question, according to a Hearst Connecticut Media survey of GOP hopefuls in southwestern Connecticut. In the Hearst survey of 63 GOP candidates for state Legislature or Congress, 37 declined to answer 'yes' or 'no' when asked whether they support Trump for president, 21 said they continued to support their party's candidate and five said they did not support him. [...] 'I have absolutely nothing to do with the presidential election,' said George Logan, who is running against longtime state Sen. Joe Crisco, D-Woodbridge, whose 17th House District includes Ansonia, Beacon Falls and Derby. 'My focus is entirely on the state race.'" [Connecticut Post, [10/16/16](#)]

Logan Said He Was Not Sure He Would Endorse Trump In 2024 And Claimed He Would Like To Know Who Else Was Running Before Making Such An Endorsement. "Logan added that he is not sure if he would endorse Trump for president in 2024, saying he first wants to know who else is running. Trump has not declared whether he would run again." [Hartford Courant, [7/26/21](#)]

Logan Avoided Specifically Saying There Was No Widespread Voter Fraud In The 2020 Election

When Asked Whether Biden Won The 2020 Election Fairly, Logan Said: "I Certainly Do Believe That President Biden Is President Of The United States." "Regarding whether Biden won last year's election fairly, Logan said, 'I certainly do believe that President Biden is president of the United States.' Logan said he is looking forward to solutions in the future, rather than focusing on past actions in Congress or past votes by Hayes." [Hartford Courant, [7/26/21](#)]

Logan Was Bad For Working Families, Having Consistently Voted Against Labor Agreements Between Connecticut And State Employees, And Opposing Pro-Worker Legislation

Logan Voted Against Every Labor Agreement Between Connecticut And State Employees During His Tenure In Office

Logan Voted Against All 16 Collective Bargaining Agreements, Arbitration Awards, And Memoranda Of Understanding That Came Up For A Vote During His Time In The Connecticut Senate.

2017 – 2020 Senate Votes On State Employee Collective Bargaining Agreements, Arbitration Awards, And Memoranda Of Understanding	
Bill	Logan Vote
2017, SR 51: Collective Bargaining Agreement between SEBAC and the State	No
2018, SR 10: Collective Bargaining Agreement between the Graduate Employee Union Local 6950-Internal Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America and the University of Connecticut Board of Trustees	No
2019, SR 10: Collective Bargaining Agreement between the Division of Supervising Attorneys, AFSCME Local 381 and the State	No
2019, SR 11: Collective Bargaining Agreement between the Judicial Employees Union, AFT and the State	No
2019, SR 23: Arbitration Award between NP-8 Correction Supervisors, CSEA/SEIU Local 2011 and the State	No
2019, SR 26: Collective Bargaining Agreement between P-5 Administrative & Residual Union, AFTA and the State	No
2019, SR 27: Arbitration Award between NP-8 Correction Supervisors, CSEA/SEIU Local 2011 and the State	No

2019, SR 28: Memorandum of Agreement between Judicial Professional IT Employees, AFT and the Judicial Branch	No
2019, SR 29: Memorandum of Agreement between Judicial Employees, AFSCME Local 749 and the Judicial Branch	No
2019, SR 30: Arbitration Award between NP-1 State Police Union and the State	No
2019, SR 31: Memorandum of Agreement between the Judicial Employees, AFT and the Judicial Branch	No
2019, SR 32: Memorandum of Agreement between NP-2 Connecticut Employees Union Independent/SEIU Local 511 and the State	No
2019, SR 33: Collective Bargaining Agreement between P-5 Administrative and Residual Union, AFT and the State	No
2019, SR 34: Memorandum of Understanding between CSEA/SEIU Local 2011 and the Office of Early Childhood	No
2020, SR 4: Arbitration Award between DCF Program Managers, AFSCME Local 3419 and the State	No
2020, SR 6: Memorandum of Understanding between SEBAC and the State	No

[Connecticut AFL-CIO, Legislative Scorecard, [2017-2018](#); Connecticut AFL-CIO, Legislative Scorecard, [2019-2020](#)]

Logan Voted Against Labor Agreements Between Connecticut And State Employees That He Claimed “Would Do Nothing For Connecticut Residents Who Are Not Unionized State Employees”

2017: Logan Voted Against A Resolution To Approve An Agreement Between Connecticut And The State Employees Bargaining Agent Coalition

Logan Claimed He Voted Against A Labor Deal Because It Would “Do Nothing For Connecticut Residents Who Are Not Unionized State Employees.” “The SEBAC agreement, approved in a close vote earlier this month by the House of Representatives, provides a combination of wage freezes, furlough days, higher health insurance co-payments and a four year ‘no layoff’ provision, and extends union contracts from 2022 to 2027. Other provisions increase pension contributions for most workers from 2 percent to 4 percent and create a defined pension contribution plan for future employees. [...] Sen. George Logan, R-Ansonia, said he voted against the concession deal because it did little for non-state employees. ‘This deal that union leaders have cut with the governor is unaffordable, the concessions deal is deeply flawed and will do nothing for Connecticut residents who are not unionized state employees,’ Logan said.” [New Haven Register, 7/31/17]

- Logan Was Warned For Violating Decorum Rules For Saying “State Employee Union Leaders Have An Unhealthy Grip On Some Members Of The Legislature.”** “Sen. George Logan, a Republican freshman from Ansonia, said he appreciates and respects state workers, including some of those who are part of his family. But he was critical of union leadership and what he said was their too cozy relationship with Democrats in the legislature. ‘State employee union leaders have an unhealthy grip on some members of this legislature,’ Logan said. That prompted a stern warning from Senate President Pro Tem Martin Looney, who viewed Logan's comment as out of bounds in a chamber marked by collegiality and decorum. Looney asked Wyman, who presides over the chamber, to issue a warning to Logan. ‘I know that you're new here and we welcome you here,’ Wyman said. ‘I'm asking you not to do that again.’ The exchange came nearly three hours into the debate. Throughout the day, the hallway outside the Senate chamber was a hive of activity, with a throng of state workers standing nearby. The workers were hoping their presence would encourage lawmakers to support the deal.” [The Hartford Courant, [8/1/17](#)]

2019: Logan Voted Against A Labor Agreement That Increased Wages And Hazard Pay For Police Officers

May 2019: Logan Voted Against SR30, A Resolution That Would Approve An Agreement Between The State And The Connecticut State Police Union. In May 2019, Logan voted against SR30, which “proposes

approval of an interest arbitration award between the State of Connecticut and the Connecticut State Police Union (NP-1) bargaining unit. This agreement covers five fiscal years for the period of July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2022.” The resolution passed 20-16. [Connecticut General Assembly. SR30, [5/31/19](#)]

- **SR30 Included Wage Increases And Established Hazard Pay For Officers.** “In FY 19 payments to raise the salary of the state police trainee positions to \$50,000 annually and will cost \$42,617. Employees will receive retroactive payments for annual increments of \$464,757 in FY 19. Employees will receive a half hour of compensation for a paid meal break for each day worked. [...] A monthly \$100 hazardous stipend will be paid to those employees in the major crime units.” [Connecticut General Assembly. SR30, [5/31/19](#)]

Logan Opposed A Labor Agreement Providing Wage Increases For Early Childhood Care Providers

2019: Logan Voted Against SR34, A Resolution To Approve An Agreement Between The Connecticut Office Of Early Childhood And The Connecticut State Employees Association. In May 2019 Logan voted against SR34, which “proposes approval of a memorandum of understanding (MOU) between the Office of Early Childhood (OEC) and the Connecticut State Employees Association (CSEA- SEIU Local 2001). This agreement covers the period July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2022 and applies to family child care providers (licensed and unlicensed). Please see the fiscal note for additional details.” The resolution passed 20-16. [Connecticut General Assembly, SR34, [5/31/19](#)]

- **SR34 Would Establish Wage Increases For Child Care Providers, With Additional Increases For Providers Who Obtained Further Education.** “This agreement establishes a 2.5% wage increase annually, effective October 1, 2018 through June 30, 2021. [...] Family child care providers with an associate’s degree in early childhood will receive a 3% increase in addition to the other increases required by the agreement.” [Connecticut General Assembly, SR34, [5/31/19](#)]

Logan Opposed Pro-Worker Policies Like Paid Family And Medical Leave Programs, A Public Health Insurance Option Study, And A Minimum Wage Increase

2019: Logan Voted Against Creating A Paid Family And Medical Leave Program. In May 2019 Logan voted against SB 1 which “creates the Family and Medical Leave Insurance (FMLI) program to provide wage replacement benefits to certain employee staking leave for reasons allowed under the state’s Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA), which the bill also amends, or the family violence leave law. It provides them with up to 12 weeks of FMLI benefits over a 12-month period. The program also provides two additional weeks of benefits for a serious health condition that results in incapacitation during pregnancy.” The bill passed 21 – 15. [Connecticut General Assembly, SB 1, [5/22/19](#)]

2019: Logan Voted Against Advancing A Bill To Study A Public Health Insurance Option. In March 2019, Logan voted against HB 7339 which would, “convene a 3 working group to make recommendations concerning the establishment of a public health insurance coverage option not later than January 1, 2022, that would be (1) funded by enrollee premiums, and (2) open to individuals ineligible for Medicaid who earn less than four hundred per cent of the federal poverty level. The working group shall study how best to expand consumer choice and improve the viability and affordability of the private insurance marketplace.” The bill advanced out of the Joint Committee on Human Services 11-7 but failed to advance any further. [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 7339, [3/21/19](#)]

Logan Voted Against Raising The Minimum Wage To \$15 An Hour And Claimed A Wage Raise Would Result In Job Loss

2019: Logan Voted Against Raising The Minimum Wage To \$15 An Hour By 2023. In May 2019, Logan voted against HB 5004 which, “increases the state’s minimum hourly wage from its current \$10.10 to (1) \$11.00 on October 1, 2019; (2) \$12.00 on September 1, 2020; (3) \$13.00 on August 1, 2021; (4) \$14.00 on July 1, 2022; and

(5) \$15.00 on June 1, 2023. Beginning January 1, 2024, it indexes future annual minimum wage changes to the federal employment cost index (ECI).” The bill passed 21-14. [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 5004, [5/17/19](#)]

Logan Opposed Raising State Minimum Wage And Said A Raise In The Minimum Wage Would Result In Less People Being Hired. “As for increasing the state's current \$10.10 minimum wage, Logan said he talked to officials at ShopRite supermarket, McDonald's and even Al's Hot Dog stand in Naugatuck and all told him they would hire less people if an increase were approved.” [Connecticut Post, [10/25/18](#)]

510,000 Workers In Connecticut Were Expected To Benefit From The Minimum Wage Hike. “The minimum wage increases will result in higher wages for more than half a million workers by 2024, as estimated by the Connecticut Department of Labor and Connecticut Voices for Children.⁸ This policy change will have meaningful and long-term positive effects for the 510,000 impacted low-wage workers across the state by increasing their net income, decreasing the poverty rate, and reducing or slowing the growth of income disparities in the state. Looking across the Connecticut workforce, 44 percent of Black workers and 54 percent of Latinx workers will be positively impacted by these minimum wage increases through 2024. This will help redress racial and ethnic wage inequities that have hindered economic security for countless workers.” [Connecticut Voices For Children, [February 2021](#)]

Logan: “I Just Don’t Think Artificially Increasing The Minimum Wage Is Going To Solve Our Problems. [...] You Talk About A Livable Wage. What’s A Livable Wage?”

Logan: “I Just Don’t Think Artificially Increasing The Minimum Wage Is Going To Solve Our Problems. [...] You Talk About A Livable Wage. What’s A Livable Wage?” LOGAN: “I just don’t think artificially increasing the minimum wage is going to solve our problems. [...] You talk about a livable wage. What’s a livable wage? [...] I do believe Congress as always needs to look at the federal minimum wage, make sure it’s at the right level, but I don’t think the minimum wage should be used as the panacea for solving poverty.” [Connecticut Public Radio, Where We Live, Interview with George Logan, [8/4/21](#)] (AUDIO)

Logan Had A 35% Lifetime Rating From The Connecticut AFL-CIO

Logan Had A 35% Lifetime Rating From The Connecticut AFL-CIO. [Connecticut AFL-CIO, Legislative Scorecard, [2019-2020](#)]

- **The AFL-CIO Rating Weighed Votes On Labor Agreements As 30% Of A Legislators Score.** “Weighted Voting on 14 Resolutions Approving State Employee Collective Bargaining Agreements, Arbitration Awards and Memoranda of Understanding: 30% OVERALL SCORECARD.” [Connecticut AFL-CIO, Legislative Scorecard, [2019-2020](#)]

2018: Logan Received A Legislator Of The Year Award From The Associated Builders and Contractors of Connecticut Due To His “Pro-Business” Voting Record

2018: Logan Received A Legislator Of The Year Award From The Associated Builders And Contractors Of Connecticut For Improving The States Economic Climate And Streamlining Regulations. “State Representative Rosa C. Rebimbas (R-Naugatuck) and Sen. George Logan (R- Ansonia, Beacon Falls, Bethany, Derby, Hamden, Naugatuck and Woodbridge) were recognized as Co-Legislators of the Year by the Associated Builders and Contractors of Connecticut at their annual Excellence in Construction awards dinner on Thursday, October 25th at the Aqua Turf. Chris Fryxell, President of CT ABC, said the two lawmakers were recognized due in part to their work in Hartford to advance the cause of commercial building. Rep. Rebimbas and Sen. Logan are supportive of legislation to improve the state’s economic climate, and help streamline regulations that unnecessarily drive up costs and inhibit the ability of contractors to perform work.” [CT House GOP, [10/26/18](#)]

Logan: “I View My Role As A Support Role. How Can I, As A Lawmaker, Help CT ABC Grow And Thrive?” “‘It is time to rebuild Connecticut!’ Sen. Logan said. ‘That’s CT ABC’s slogan and it’s worth repeating. CT ABC represents 21,000 members in commercial and industrial construction. 21,000 members who support pro-growth policies. 21,000 members who love this state and want to improve the quality of life for everyone. 21,000 who are supporting future generations through apprenticeships. I view my role as a support role. How can I, as a lawmaker, help CT ABC grow and thrive? How can I, as a lawmaker, help cut through obstacles to growth and needless red tape? It is an honor to serve. We are all in this together. We support each other. And as long as I am in public service, I will never stop being a strong advocate for Connecticut’s construction industry. I am humbled and honored to receive this award along with my good friend and colleague, Rep. Rebimbas.’” [CT House GOP, [10/26/18](#)]

The Associated Builders And Contractors Of Connecticut Strongly Opposed Project Labor Agreements. “These “union-only” Project Labor Agreements (PLA) include discriminatory language that prevents any non-union worker from working on a project where a PLA has been signed. That is the primary reason why non-union (open shop) contractors are forced to decide not to bid projects with PLAs [...] CT ABC encourages the citizens of Connecticut to say NO to union only PLAs.” [Associated Builders and Contractors of Connecticut, accessed [9/30/21](#)]

- **Logan Voted Against All 16 Collective Bargaining Agreements, Arbitration Awards, And Memoranda Of Understanding That Came Up For A Vote During His Time In The Connecticut Senate.** [Connecticut AFL-CIO, Legislative Scorecard, [2017-2018](#); Connecticut AFL-CIO, Legislative Scorecard, [2019-2020](#)]

Logan Voted Against Reforming Police And Funding Police

2020: Logan Voted Against Police Accountability Legislation That He Said Would “Be A Blow To Public Safety”

2020: Logan Voted Against Legislation That Would Increase Police Accountability By Adding New Rules To Police Procedure

2020: Logan Voted Against Legislation That Would Place Additional Requirements On State And Municipal Police Departments. In July 2020 Logan voted against HB 6004, an act concerning police accountability, which “changes freedom of information law related to contract agreements, establishes an office of the Inspector General, places numerous requirements on state and municipal police departments, and will result in a net cost to both state agencies and various municipalities.” The bill passed 21-15. [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 6004, [7/29/20](#)]

- **The Legislation Added Tighter Restrictions On Police Searches, A Duty To Intervene If Police Witness Use Of Excessive Force, Adjustments To Qualified Immunity And Restriction On The Use Of Deadly Force.** “Several key provisions of Connecticut’s sweeping police accountability law, signed in the wake of national protests against racial injustice and police brutality over the summer, go into effect Thursday. Among the new rules that take effect are tighter restrictions on police searches, a duty to intervene if police witness another officer use excessive force and penalties for civilians who call in an incident based on race. Other changes to policing brought by the law, including adjustments to qualified immunity that protect police from lawsuits over their conduct and further restrictions on the use of deadly force, go into effect next year.” [Connecticut Post, [10/1/20](#)]
- **The Connecticut Police Reform Bill Was Passed Following The Murder Of George Floyd.** “Gov. Ned Lamont on Friday signed into law a wide-ranging police accountability bill that proponents said answers the calls for reform, after the police-involved death of George Floyd and other Black people, and works toward rebuilding trust in Connecticut’s police departments.” [Associated Press, [7/31/20](#)]

Logan Said The Police Reform Bill Would Be A “Blow To Public Safety,” Contribute To An Increase In Crime, And Put “Our Seniors At Risk”

Logan Claimed The Police Reform Bill Would Increase Crime And Put “Seniors At Risk.” “It creates the office of an inspector general to investigate complaints of police misconduct, requires police and correction officers to intervene when witnessing brutality, mandates body and dash cameras, bans chokeholds in most circumstances and clarifies that deadly force can be used only when police exhaust all reasonable alternatives. While much of the opposition focused on the legal nuances of how the bill limits the qualified immunity from litigation currently enjoyed by police, provisions that revamp and empower the Police Officers Training and Standards Council might prove more significant. It gives the council the authority to decertify a police officer, revoking a necessary credential for employment. POST, as the council is commonly known, would play a large role in shaping training for every police agency in Connecticut, including the State Police, and help set policies on crowd control and the use of force. By law, implicit bias training would be part of every police candidate’s training regimen, and officers would be subjected to periodic behavioral health reviews. [...] Sen. George Logan, R-Ansonia, the only Black member of the GOP caucus, said the bill would contribute to an increase in crime. ‘We would be putting our seniors at risk,’ Logan said.” [The Bulletin, [7/29/20](#)]

Logan Claimed That Adjusting Qualified Immunity Would Open Police To “Frivolous” Lawsuits And Would Be “A Blow To Public Safety.” “Possibly the most vehement attack on the legislation came from Sen. George Logan, R-Ansonia, the only Black GOP lawmaker, who quoted police sources in his hometown claiming that the end of so-called qualified immunity, in the most-controversial piece of the bill, would lead to ‘frivolous’ lawsuits. ‘Overall, this bill would be a blow to public safety,’ Logan said as Winfield sat emotionless on the other side of the circle of mostly empty Senate desks. ‘It will drastically effect their ability to do their jobs effectively. Removing qualified immunity as it stands now for all police officers, which this bill does, will devastate police officers’ ability to protect people in Connecticut.’” [Connecticut Post, [7/29/20](#)]

2019: Logan Voted Against Wage Increases And Hazard Pay For Police Officers

May 2019: Logan Voted Against SR30, A Resolution That Would Approve An Agreement Between The State And The Connecticut State Police Union. In May 2019, Logan voted against SR30, which “proposes approval of an interest arbitration award between the State of Connecticut and the Connecticut State Police Union (NP-1) bargaining unit. This agreement covers five fiscal years for the period of July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2022.” The resolution passed 20-16. [Connecticut General Assembly. SR30, [5/31/19](#)]

- **SR30 Included Wage Increases And Established Hazard Pay For Officers.** “In FY 19 payments to raise the salary of the state police trainee positions to \$50,000 annually and will cost \$42,617. Employees will receive retroactive payments for annual increments of \$464,757 in FY 19. Employees will receive a half hour of compensation for a paid meal break for each day worked. [...] A monthly \$100 hazardous stipend will be paid to those employees in the major crime units.” [Connecticut General Assembly. SR30, [5/31/19](#)]
- **SR30 Increased Wages By 2.0% In FY 2020, 2.25% In FY 2021, And 2.25% In FY 2022.** “Employees will receive a cost of living adjustment (COLA) of 2.0% in FY 20, and 2.25% in FY 20 and FY 21, as well as annual increments. These wages are estimated to cost \$2,972,899 in FY 20, \$6,794,061 in FY 21, and \$10,333,622 in FY 22.” [Connecticut General Assembly. SR30, [5/31/19](#)]

Logan Would Have Opposed The Bipartisan Infrastructure Law That Will Bring \$5.4 Billion To Connecticut, The Vast Majority Of Which Will Be Used For Roads And Bridges

Logan Said He Would Have Opposed The Infrastructure Investment And Jobs Act

Logan Said He Would Have Voted Against The Infrastructure Investment And Jobs Act. “On issues, Logan said he would have voted against the recent \$1.2 trillion infrastructure bill, which garnered only 13 Republican votes in the U.S. House. He complained that there had been ‘no report from the Congressional Budget Office on the impact on the deficit.’ ‘I think it is irresponsible to vote on a bill like that,’ Logan explained.” [Patch, [11/21/21](#)]

The Infrastructure Investment And Jobs Act Was Set To Bring \$5.4 Billion To Connecticut Over Five Years, Nearly \$4 Billion Of Which Was For Road And Bridge Repair

The Infrastructure Investment And Jobs Act Was Set To Bring \$5.38 Billion To Connecticut Over Five Years, Including \$3.29 Billion For Road Repair, \$561 For Bridge Repair, And Additional Funds For Public Transportation And Broadband. “Connecticut will receive \$5.38 billion in federal funding over the next five years, including: \$3.29 billion to repair roads, accelerate projects, and reduce traffic congestion \$1.3 billion to enhance public transportation \$561 million to repair the state’s aging bridges \$100 million for broadband coverage \$53 million for electric vehicle charging networks” [CBIA, [11/24/21](#)]

Logan Voted Against Enacting Common Sense Gun Safety Laws And Said He Would Be “Very, Very Hesitant” To Pass Any Additional Gun Restrictions In Congress

2018: Logan Voted Against A Bill That Would Ban Bump Stocks And Other Gun Modifications

2018: Logan Voted Against A Ban On Bump Stocks And Other Devices That Enhance The Fire Rate Of A Gun. In May 2018. Logan voted against HB 5524 which, “makes it a class D felony for anyone, except a licensed firearms manufacturer fulfilling a military contract, to sell, offer to sell, otherwise transfer, or offer to transfer, purchase, possess, use, or manufacture a ‘rate of fire enhancement’ (e.g., a bump stock). By law, a class D felony is punishable by up to five years in prison, a fine up to \$5,000, or both.” The bill passed 26-10. [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 5524, [5/8/18](#)]

- **Logan Defended His Vote Against Bill To Ban Bump Stocks Calling It “Feel Good Legislation” and Claiming It Was Unnecessary Because Of Already Existing Gun Laws.** “Cabrera criticized Logan for voting against a bill to ban bump stocks, a gun accessory that enables a rifle to fire at a faster rate. ‘That is a vote I would not have taken,’ Cabrera said. Logan defended his action, calling the measure ‘feel good legislation. ‘I don’t vote on a bill based on its title’ the freshman legislator said. ‘I look into the details.’ He said the state’s bans on assault weapons and large-capacity magazines made the bump-stock ban unnecessary, since the other two laws made bump-stocks unusable.” [Connecticut Post, [10/25/18](#)]
- **The Bump Stock Ban Was Introduced After The Las Vegas Mass Shooting, After The Shooter Used Bump Stocks On The Guns He Used To Murder 58 People.** “The law, Public Act 18-29, also bans the sale, purchase, possession, and manufacturing of enhancements that increase the rate of fire for semiautomatic weapons. It went into effect a year to the day after tragedy shook Las Vegas when 58 people were killed and hundreds were injured in a shooting at a country music concert. Authorities said the shooter in Las Vegas had bump stocks, which allow guns to fire at a rapid rate, similar to an automatic weapon, on several guns.” [NBC Connecticut, [10/1/18](#)]

Logan Said The Bill To Ban Bump Stocks Because It Was “A Political Stunt” And “A Symbolic Waste Of Time.” HOST: “Connecticut Against Gun Violence wants to know why you voted against banning bump stocks, the device used to convert assault weapons into machine guns.” LOGAN: “Well, again, looking at the title of the bill and folks coming up with legislation for the bill that really are more political stunts than actually practical. So while they’re spending time in Connecticut talking about bump stocks, when here in Connecticut, we already have an assault weapons ban, two, there’s no company that was making bump stocks here in Connecticut. So to me, it was a symbolic waste of time to try and pass something like that, debate something like that when it’s really not an issue here in Connecticut, so that’s the reason why. Again, I want real solutions to real problems and not just these

symbolic gestures that don't really get to the root of the problem." [Connecticut Public Radio, Where We Live, Interview with George Logan, [8/4/21](#)] (AUDIO)

2019: Logan Opposed A Bill That Would Require Gun Owners To Better Secure Their Weapons When Left Unattended In Vehicles

2019: Logan Voted Against A Bill Requiring Handgun Owners To Better Secure Their Weapons When Left Unattended In Vehicles. In May 2019, Logan voted against HB 7223 which, "prohibits storing or keeping a pistol or revolver (i.e., a handgun) in an unattended motor vehicle if the firearm is not in the trunk, a locked safe, or a locked glove box. A first offense is a class A misdemeanor, punishable by up to one year in prison, up to a \$2,000 fine, or both. Any subsequent offense is a class D felony, punishable by up to five years in prison, up to a \$5,000 fine, or both. For the bill's purposes, a motor vehicle is unattended if no one who is at least age 21 and who is the owner, operator, or a passenger of the vehicle is inside the vehicle or in close enough proximity to prevent unauthorized access to the vehicle." The bill passed 20-15. [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 7223, [5/23/19](#)]

Logan Did Vote For "Ethan's Law" Which Increased Gun Storage Requirements Of Unloaded Firearms To Keep Guns Out Of The Hands Of Kids

2019: Logan Voted For HB 7218, "Ethan's Law" That Applied Gun Storage Requirements To Unloaded Firearms, Which Passed The Senate 34-2. "In May 2019, Logan voted for HB7218, which "expands the firearm safe storage laws. Under current law, the legal duty to securely store a firearm applies when the weapon is loaded and the person in control of the premises knows or reasonably should know that a minor under age 16 is likely to gain access to it without his or her parent's or guardian's permission. The bill applies the storage requirement to unloaded firearms and increases the age of a minor for these purposes to under age 18." The bill passed 34-2. [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 7218, [5/23/19](#)]

- **Ethan's Law Was Designed To Keep Guns Out Of The Hands Of Kids.** "Michael and Kristin Song of Guilford, whose 15-year-old son's accidental shooting death was the impetus for the Connecticut law, and all seven members of the state's congressional delegation joined in a video conference Wednesday to renew their campaign for a federal version. Ethan's Law made a relatively modest change in state firearms laws, which already had required loaded guns to be safely locked if available to a minor child. It extended the provision to unloaded weapons and expanded the definition of a minor from 16 to 18." [CT Mirror, [2/3/21](#)]

Logan Said As A Member Of Congress He Would Be "Very, Very Hesitant" To Pass Common Sense Gun Safety Laws And Said He Opposed All Further Gun Restrictions In Connecticut

Logan Said He Would Be "Very, Very Hesitant" To Support A Federal Assault Weapons Ban

When Asked Whether He Would Support A Federal Assault Weapons Ban, Logan Said: "Any Infringement On Our Second Amendment Rights Is Something I'm Very, Very Hesitant To Move On And Change."

HOST: "There's nationwide support from many Americans to support background checks for all gun purchases. Where do you stand on that, and also, would you support a federal ban on assault weapons and large capacity magazines if elected to Congress, George?" LOGAN: "So, here in Connecticut, we already have an assault weapon ban, if you will. Nationally, I would go to Congress and we would have a debate on that to make sure we define what an assault weapon is and looking at all those factors. Again, I would not take it lightly, and I am absolutely open to the discussion but we would have to have some good reason and real tight legislation to take a look at any further infringement on Second Amendment rights. It's something I take very, very seriously. [...] Any infringement on our Second Amendment rights is something I'm very, very hesitant to move on and change." [Connecticut Public Radio, Where We Live, Interview with George Logan, [8/4/21](#)] (AUDIO)

Logan Said He Would Be “Very, Very Hesitant” To Support A Federal Background Check Requirement For All Gun Purchases

When Asked Whether He Would Support A Federal Background Check Requirement For All Gun Purchases, Logan Said: “Any Infringement On Our Second Amendment Rights Is Something I’m Very, Very Hesitant To Move On And Change.” HOST: “There’s nationwide support from many Americans to support background checks for all gun purchases. Where do you stand on that, and also, would you support a federal ban on assault weapons and large capacity magazines if elected to Congress, George?” LOGAN: “So, here in Connecticut, we already have an assault weapon ban, if you will. Nationally, I would go to Congress and we would have a debate on that to make sure we define what an assault weapon is and looking at all those factors. Again, I would not take it lightly, and I am absolutely open to the discussion but we would have to have some good reason and real tight legislation to take a look at any further infringement on Second Amendment rights. It’s something I take very, very seriously. [...] Any infringement on our Second Amendment rights is something I’m very, very hesitant to move on and change.” [Connecticut Public Radio, Where We Live, Interview with George Logan, [8/4/21](#)] (AUDIO)

Logan Said He Would Be “Very, Very Hesitant” To Support A Ban On High-Capacity Magazines

When Asked Whether He Would Support A Ban On High-Capacity Magazines, Logan Said: “Any Infringement On Our Second Amendment Rights Is Something I’m Very, Very Hesitant To Move On And Change.” HOST: “There’s nationwide support from many Americans to support background checks for all gun purchases. Where do you stand on that, and also, would you support a federal ban on assault weapons and large capacity magazines if elected to Congress, George?” LOGAN: “So, here in Connecticut, we already have an assault weapon ban, if you will. Nationally, I would go to Congress and we would have a debate on that to make sure we define what an assault weapon is and looking at all those factors. Again, I would not take it lightly, and I am absolutely open to the discussion but we would have to have some good reason and real tight legislation to take a look at any further infringement on Second Amendment rights. It’s something I take very, very seriously. [...] Any infringement on our Second Amendment rights is something I’m very, very hesitant to move on and change.” [Connecticut Public Radio, Where We Live, Interview with George Logan, [8/4/21](#)] (AUDIO)

Logan: “I Don’t Think We Need Any Additional Restrictions On Gun Laws Here In Connecticut”

Logan: “I Don’t Think We Need Any Additional Restrictions On Gun Laws Here In Connecticut.” HOST: “There’s been increased support for a change in gun laws. Where do you stand?” LOGAN: “Here in Connecticut, we have some of the most restrictive gun laws in all of the nation, so I don’t think we need any additional restrictions on gun laws here in Connecticut. I am in favor of the Constitution. I want to go to Washington and support the Constitution. I think the Second Amendment, as well as all the rest of the Constitution, is something that we must not take lightly, to try and infringe on some of those rights. I think some of the issues we’re seeing here in Connecticut and the nation in terms of violence has more to do with other factors than necessarily simply firearms, so I think it’s important that we stay focused. [...] Here in Connecticut, we have some of the most restrictive gun laws in all of the nation.” [Connecticut Public Radio, Where We Live, Interview with George Logan, [8/4/21](#)] (AUDIO)

Logan On Gun Violence: “I Think Some Of The Issues We’re Seeing Here In Connecticut And The Nation In Terms Of Violence Has More To Do With Other Factors Than Necessarily Simply Firearms.” HOST: “There’s been increased support for a change in gun laws. Where do you stand?” LOGAN: “Here in Connecticut, we have some of the most restrictive gun laws in all of the nation, so I don’t think we need any additional restrictions on gun laws here in Connecticut. I am in favor of the Constitution. I want to go to Washington and support the Constitution. I think the Second Amendment, as well as all the rest of the Constitution, is something that we must not take lightly, to try and infringe on some of those rights. I think some of the issues we’re seeing here in Connecticut and the nation in terms of violence has more to do with other factors than necessarily simply

firearms, so I think it's important that we stay focused. [...] Here in Connecticut, we have some of the most restrictive gun laws in all of the nation." [Connecticut Public Radio, Where We Live, Interview with George Logan, [8/4/21](#)] (AUDIO)

Logan: "I Think The Second Amendment [...] Is Something That We Must Not Take Lightly, To Infringe On Some Of Those Rights"

Logan: "I Think The Second Amendment [...] Is Something That We Must Not Take Lightly, To Infringe On Some Of Those Rights." HOST: "There's been increased support for a change in gun laws. Where do you stand?" LOGAN: "Here in Connecticut, we have some of the most restrictive gun laws in all of the nation, so I don't think we need any additional restrictions on gun laws here in Connecticut. I am in favor of the Constitution. I want to go to Washington and support the Constitution. I think the Second Amendment, as well as all the rest of the Constitution, is something that we must not take lightly, to try and infringe on some of those rights. I think some of the issues we're seeing here in Connecticut and the nation in terms of violence has more to do with other factors than necessarily simply firearms, so I think it's important that we stay focused. [...] Here in Connecticut, we have some of the most restrictive gun laws in all of the nation." [Connecticut Public Radio, Where We Live, Interview with George Logan, [8/4/21](#)] (AUDIO)

Logan Was Unable To Pass A Single Piece Of Legislation While State Senator

2017-2020: Logan Introduced 76 Bills But Was Unable To Pass A Single One Of Them.

Number of Bills Introduced By Senator George Logan		
Session	Bills Introduced	Passed
2020	9	0
2019	31	0
2018	4	0
2017	26	0
Total	76	0

[Connecticut General Assembly, accessed [8/17/21](#)]

Logan Paid Taxes Late On His Vehicles And Property And Had 4 Property Tax Liens In The 1990s

2021: Logan Was Late On \$584.82 In Taxes On Four Vehicles

Vehicle Taxes Owed					
Property/ Vehicle	City	Amount Paid	Amount Owed	Due Date	Interest Accrued
2000 BMW	Ansonia	\$0	\$67.39	7/1/21	\$2.00
2002 Mercedes	Ansonia	\$0	\$128.87	7/1/21	\$3.75
1998 Mercedes	Ansonia	\$0	\$98.89	7/1/21	\$2.88
2008 Mercedes	Ansonia	\$0	\$289.67	7/1/21	\$8.44
Total		\$0	\$584.82		\$17.07

[City of Ansonia, Tax Bills, Accessed [8/17/21](#)]

Logan Consistently Paid His Vehicle Taxes Late In 2018, 2019 And 2020

Vehicle Taxes Paid Late				
Property/ Vehicle	City	Amount Paid Late	Due Date	Date Paid
1998 Mercedes	Ansonia	\$114.95	7/1/19	9/20/19

2002 Mercedes	Ansonia	\$155.63	7/1/19	9/20/19
2000 BMW	Ansonia	\$79.00	7/1/19	9/20/19
2008 Mercedes	Ansonia	\$396.10	1/1/20	2/18/20
2000 BMW	Ansonia	\$85.41	7/1/18	9/19/18
2002 Mercedes	Ansonia	\$169.26	7/1/18	9/19/18
1998 Mercedes	Ansonia	\$99.84	7/1/18	9/19/18
2002 Mercedes	Ansonia	\$131.00	7/1/20	10/15/20
1998 Mercedes	Ansonia	\$103.71	7/1/20	8/20/20
2000 BMW	Ansonia	\$70.31	7/1/20	8/20/20
Total		\$4,971.24		

[City of Ansonia, Tax Bills, Accessed [8/17/21](#)]

1996-1999: George And Lisa Logan Had 4 Property Tax Liens Placed On Their Properties Totaling \$9,864

Liens Filled				
Date	City	Type	Amount	Released Date
4/1/1996	Bridgeport	Property	\$1,000	8/8/1996
4/1/1997	Bridgeport	Property	\$2,999	4/10/2000
4/2/1998	Bridgeport	Property	\$2,955	4/10/2000
4/1/1999	Bridgeport	Property	\$2,910	4/10/2000
Total			\$9,864	

[Connecticut Land Records, accessed [8/25/21](#); Nexis Comprehensive Person Report, accessed [7/29/21](#)]

Logan Claimed He Would Not Be Able to Self-Fund His Campaign Because He Was Not Independently Wealthy

Logan Stressed That He Was Not A Wealthy Individual And Would Not Be Able To Self-Fund A Congressional Race. “A congressional campaign is very different from a state senate campaign. In my past campaign, I was able to take advantage of the Citizens’ Election Program, which publicly finances candidates for the General Assembly. Should I run for the Congressional seat, there’s no such program. I’m not a wealthy individual by any stretch of the imagination, so the ability to fundraise is critical to having enough resources to mount a successful campaign. The incumbent already has over a million in her campaign coffers. A congressional race would also bring attention to my wife and kids, so I want to make sure everyone understands what’s at stake. I want to make sure I cover all my bases.” [Connecticut Examiner, [7/19/21](#)]

Logan Lived In Connecticut’s 3rd Congressional District But Said He Was Planning To Move “Now” To The 5th Congressional District

Logan Lived In Connecticut’s 3rd Congressional District

Logan Was Registered To Vote At His Home In Ansonia Connecticut 06401. [VoteBuilder, accessed [7/26/21](#)]

- **Logan’s Address Was Located In Connecticut’s 3rd Congressional District.** [House.gov, accessed [7/26/21](#)]

July 2021: Logan Said He Had A Realtor And Planned “To Move Now” To The Fifth District

July 2021: Logan Said He Had A Realtor And Planned “To Move Now” To The Fifth District. “Logan, an engineer who works at Aquarion Water Co., lives in Ansonia which is in the 3rd Congressional District. The constitution doesn’t require a candidate live within the congressional district they hope to represent but Logan said

he is planning on making a move soon. [...] ‘My wife and I, we plan on moving into the 5th Congressional District. We have a Realtor and plan to move now,’ Logan said. ‘We been in our current home for 20 years. We’ve been looking around for a while now. We’re at the stage in our lives, soon-to-be empty nesters.’” [Republican-American, [7/11/21](#)]

Logan Said The Fifth Congressional District Was A “Natural Fit” For Him And That He And His Wife Planned To Move There Whether Or Not He Ran For Congress. “I’ve been talking to folks in the district for a long time, and I have a lot of touches in the fifth Congressional district, one being that I’m vice president of the board of the Housatonic Valley Association. The fifth is a natural fit due to my roots and family ties, as many of my relatives live in the fifth congressional district. My wife and I are committed to moving into the fifth district whether or not I end up deciding to run. [...] I currently live in the third district. Connecticut’s a small state, and these political boundaries are artificial, because to me, the Naugatuck Valley is all one big community. I spend as much time in the fifth district as I do in the third, and I’ve certainly spent a lot of time representing towns in the third district as a senator, so it’s a natural fit.” [Connecticut Examiner, [7/19/21](#)]

October 1, 2021: Logan Still Owned The Home In Ansonia And Zillow Listed The House As “Not For Sale”

October 1, 2021: Logan Owned The Home In Ansonia, And The Property Was Not Listed For Sale On Zillow. George and Lisa Logan owned the home in Ansonia, and “This property is not currently for sale or for rent on Zillow” [City Of Ansonia GIS & Real Property Information, Parcel ID # 06300400010, accessed [10/1/21](#); Zillow, accessed [10/1/21](#)]

Logan Owned A Second Property In New Haven, Connecticut, Also In The 3rd District

February 2007: Logan Purchased Real Property In New Haven, Connecticut 06511. In February 2007, George and Lisa Logan purchased real property located in New Haven, CT from Alline Burke (EST) for \$110,000. As of the 2020 tax year, the property had an assessed value of \$72,730. [New Haven CT Online Assessment Database, Acct # 320 0298 04400, accessed [8/16/21](#)]

- **Logan’s Second Home Was Located In Connecticut’s 3rd Congressional District.** [House.gov, accessed [9/17/21](#)]

Logan Received \$178,581 In Independent Expenditure Support From Super PACs Throughout His Career, Including Grow Connecticut, A Conservative Super PAC Funded By Sheldon G. Adelson, Wal-Mart, And Koch Industries**2016: Grow Connecticut, A Conservative Super PAC Supported Logan’s Campaign With \$18,802 Worth Of TV And Digital Ad Spending**

Grow Connecticut, A Conservative Super PAC Backed By Sheldon G Adelson, Walmart And Koch Industries, Ran Ads For Logan During His 2016 Senate Race. “Grow Connecticut, a super PAC indirectly funded by Sheldon G. Adelson, Wal-Mart, Koch Industries and other major corporate and conservative donors, is targeting state legislative races in Connecticut with a mix of television and digital ads boosting Republicans and attacking Democrats. The spending on the 13 legislative races was described as an even mix of ads supporting Republicans and opposing their Democratic opponents. Grow Connecticut is advertising in three Senate races: Lorraine Marchetti’s challenge of Sen. Steve Cassano, D-Manchester; George Logan’s challenge of Sen. Joe Crisco, D-Woodbridge; and the contest between Republican Heather Somers and Democrat Timothy Bowles to succeed the retiring Sen. Andrew Maynard, D-Stonington” [Connecticut Mirror, [9/27/16](#)]

- Democrat Leaders Blamed Logan’s 2016 Win On Outside PAC Spending.** “Senate President Pro Tem Martin M. Looney, D-New Haven, blames the rare loss of Democratic legislative seats in a presidential year on the targeted spending by business groups, not voter dissatisfaction with Hartford after two decades of Democratic control of the Connecticut General Assembly. [...] Grow Connecticut, a group indirectly financed by corporations and wealthy conservatives, spent about \$330,000, succeeding in two of three Senate and seven of 10 House races. The two groups' efforts overlapped in two Senate races, helping Republican George Logan to defeat Sen. Joe Crisco, D-Woodbridge, and Republican Heather Somers to win the open seat held by the retiring Sen. Andrew Maynard, D-Stonington. The third Democratic seat to flip is held by Sen. Dante Bartolomeo, D-Meriden, one of CBIA's targets. She was defeated by Republican Len Suzio, a senator unseated by Bartolomeo in 2012. ‘I think we saw an unprecedented amount of money spent against Democrats in Connecticut, led by CBIA and Grow Connecticut,’ said Senate Majority Leader Bob Duff, D-Norwalk. ‘From a financial standpoint, it wasn't a fair fight.’” [Connecticut Mirror, [11/11/16](#)]

Grow Connecticut Paid \$18,033 To IMGE LLC And \$769 To Red Print Strategy Group To Support Logan’s State Senate Campaign.

Grow Connecticut PAC Expenses Paid Supporting George Logan			
Date	Amount	Payee	Description
10/25/16	\$18,033	IMGE LLC	Web Add Supporting George Logan And Opposing Opponent Joseph Crisco
11/01/16	\$769	RedPrint Strategy Group	Web Video
Total	\$18,802		

[Connecticut State Elections Enforcement Commission, accessed [8/26/21](#)]

IMGE LLC Was A Virginia Firm Specializing In Digital Campaigns. “IMGE is a full service digital firm based in Alexandria, VA. Since 2013, IMGE has specialized in designing and executing integrated digital campaigns that reach audiences in a highly targeted, measurable, and cost-effective way. Our approach is holistic and combines aggressive goal-setting, platform-specific creative, precise advertising, and ongoing optimization. The results have been a streak of big wins for our clients.” [IMEG, accessed [8/27/21](#)]

RedPrint Strategy Was A Virginia Conservative Media Agency. “RedPrint Strategy is focused on winning. We are a conservative media agency who craft campaigns that resonate. Backed by four decades of experience in political strategy and campaign consultation, powered by a full-time in-house production team, we create bespoke campaign communications that capture each candidate’s unique ideas, story, and voice.” [RedPrint Strategy, accessed [8/27/21](#)]

2016: Connecticut Business And Industry Association Spent \$92,229 For Positive Ads Supporting Logan

Connecticut Business And Industry Association Supported Logan By Spending \$417,623 On Positive Ads For Candidates Including Logan. “Logan is one of the four Republican candidates trying to win Democratic Senate seats that the Connecticut Business and Industry Association is supporting with more than \$400,000 in independent expenditures, all for positive ads. The money also is backing two Democrats and nine Republicans in House races.” [Connecticut Mirror, [9/27/16](#)]

- The Connecticut Business And Industry Association Claimed They Had Thousands Of Member Companies.** “CBIA is the leading voice for business in the state, representing thousands of member companies, small and large, across a diverse range of industries. We fight to make Connecticut a top state for business, jobs, and economic growth: driving change, shaping legislative and regulatory policy, and

promoting collaboration between the private and public sectors.” [Connecticut Business and Industry Association, accessed [10/1/21](#)]

Eversource Energy Was A Dues Paying Member Of The Connecticut Business And Industry Association

Eversource Energy Was A Member Of The Connecticut Business And Industry Association, And Wanted To Pay The Association \$99,000 In 2018, 2019 And 2020. “Tucked inside Eversource’s request for a rate increase of \$337 million over three years is more than \$4 million in membership fees to business and economic development groups that critics say ratepayers should not have to pay. As part of its ‘community relations and economic development’ program, Eversource has proposed spending \$574,000 for membership fees to the Edison Electric Institute, a Washington, D.C., trade group that represents investor-owned utilities; \$406,000 for the MetroHartford Alliance, central Connecticut’s chamber of commerce; and \$99,000 for the Connecticut Business and Industry Association. The spending would be in 2018, 2019 and 2020.” [Hartford Courant, [11/29/17](#)]

- **Eversource Energy Paid The Connecticut Business And Industry Association \$61,200 Between 2016 And 2020.**

Eversource Connecticut Business And Industry Association Spending	
Year	Amount
2016	\$15,075
2017	\$16,425
2018	\$14,600
2019	\$7,700
2020	\$7,400
	Total: \$61,200

[Eversource Energy, Political Activity Policy, accessed, [9/30/21](#)]

Eversource Energy Claimed That “We Do Not Contribute Or Otherwise Participate In Organizations Whose Primary Purpose Is Political Activity In Support Or Opposition Of Specific Candidates And Legislation.” “Eversource may use corporate funds for the payment of dues and/or other expenditures to tax exempt organizations that may engage in political activities or organizations and trade associations that may use a portion of such dues and expenditures for political and lobbying activities. These are commonly referred to as 501c3, 501c6 and 527 organizations. However, we do not contribute or otherwise participate in organizations whose primary purpose is political activity in support or opposition of specific candidates or legislation.” [Eversource Energy, Political Activity Policy, accessed, [9/30/21](#)]

Eversource Energy Claimed They “Do Not Use Corporate Funds To Contribute To Political Parties Or Candidates.” “We do not use corporate funds to contribute to political parties or candidates. This prohibition includes independent political expenditures made in direct support of, or in opposition to, a campaign, and also includes payments made to influence the outcome of ballot measures. We do participate in a number of ways, including making contributions to various organizations, lobbying elected and appointed officials, through membership in trade associations and related organizations, and by administering political action committees.” [Eversource Energy, Political Activity Policy, accessed, [9/30/21](#)]

Logan Had A Very High Voting Record From The Connecticut Business And Industry Association

2019: Logan Had An 86% Rating From The Connecticut Business And Industry Association In The 2019 Senate Session. “George Logan (R-Ansonia): 86%.” [Connecticut Business and Industry Association, 2019 State Senate Voting Records, accessed [9/29/21](#)]

- Logan’s Lone Vote Against The CBIA Was In Favor Of Making Previous Employers Liable For Future Workplace Actions Of Former Employees, Which Passed The Senate 33 – 2.** “Employer References (SB 761): Makes previous employers liable for the future workplace actions of former employees. Passed Senate 33-2; no action taken by House. CBIA Position: Opposed.” [Connecticut Business and Industry Association, 2019 State Senate Voting Records, accessed [9/29/21](#)]

2018: Logan Had A Perfect Voting Record From The Connecticut Business And Industry Association In The 2018 Senate Session. “George Logan (R-Ansonia): 100%.” [Connecticut Business and Industry Association, 2018 State Senate Voting Records, accessed [9/29/21](#)]

2017: Logan Voted With The Connecticut Business And Industry Association On All But One Bill In The 2017 Senate Session. “George Logan (R-Ansonia).” [Connecticut Business and Industry Association, 2017 State Senate Voting Records, accessed [9/29/21](#)]

- Logan’s Lone Vote Against The CBIA Was In Favor Of Increasing Penalties For Violating The State Whistleblower Statute, Which Passed The Senate 30-6.** “Workplace Actions (SB 929): Exposed employers and municipalities to unprecedented liability with new penalties for violations of state whistleblower statute. Passed Appropriations Committee 26-20; Senate 30-6; no action taken by House. CBIA Position: Opposed.” [Connecticut Business and Industry Association, 2017 State Senate Voting Records, accessed [9/29/21](#)]

Connecticut Business & Industry Association Spent \$92,229 Supporting Logan

Connecticut Business & Industry Association Expenditures Supporting George Logan			
Date	Amount	Payee	Description
8/21/16	\$53,892	Craft Media/Digital LLC	Web Ads, Web Presence, Direct Mail, Radio
9/6/16	\$8,660	Craft Media/Digital LLC	Web Ads, Web Presence
9/13/16	\$1,764	Craft Media/Digital LLC	Survey Research
10/7/16	\$14,809	Craft Media/Digital LLC	Web Ads, Consultant Travel, Web Presence, Direct Mail, Survey Research,
10/12/16	\$3,713	USPS	Postage For Direct Mail
10/21/16	\$9,391	USPS	Direct Mail Postage And Print
Total	\$92,229		

[Connecticut State Elections Enforcement Commission, accessed [8/26/21](#)]

Craft Media/Digital LLC Is A DC Based Communications Agency. “We’re CRAFT, D.C.’s premier communications agency. We work with clients to uncover and develop persuasive messaging that tells their story, and we bring that story to life through powerful creative that captures attention and resonates with the audiences that matter.” [Craft Media/Digital, accessed [8/26/21](#)]

2018-2020: The National Association Of Realtors Spent \$67,550 To Support Logan’s Reelection Campaigns Through Online Ads And Direct Mail

2018: The National Association Of Realtors Supported 3 Connecticut State Senate Campaigns Including Logan’s 2018 Election Campaign By Spending \$126,000 On Online Ads And Direct Mail. “So-called super PACS - political action committees that can spend unlimited amounts of money to campaign for or against candidates while sometimes shielding the sources of their funds - have poured hundreds of millions of dollars into U.S. elections, with most of that largesse aimed at high-impact national races. But some of that outside cash has

seeped down to the local level, including hundreds of thousands of dollars provided by the national Republican State Leadership Committee to a Connecticut PAC campaigning against four Democratic state Senate candidates, campaign finance reports show.[...] Among other groups spending money on state legislative races: The National Association of Realtors has spent about \$126,000 on online ads and direct mail in support of Republican State Sens. Michael McLachlan of Danbury (a Realtor), Scott Frantz of Greenwich and George Logan of Ansonia.” [The Hartford Courant, [10/19/18](#)]

The National Association Of Realtors Spent \$67,550 Supporting Logan With Online Ads And Direct Mail During Logan’s 2018 And 2020 Senate Campaigns

The National Association Of Realtors Supporting George Logan			
Date	Amount	Payee	Description
9/22/20	\$34,675	Access Marketing Services	Online Advertising For George Logan And Direct Mail For George Logan
10/3/18	\$32,875	Access Marketing Services	Online Ads And Direct Main In Support Of The Candidate
Total	67,550		

[Connecticut State Elections Enforcement Commission, accessed [8/26/21](#)]

Access Marketing Company Was A Denver Based Marketing Agency. “By day we’re a group of marketing nerds, creatives and problem solvers, and by night we’re rock stars, artists and professional disc golfers. But at all times we’re your dedicated marketing partner and an organic extension of your in-house team.” [Access Marketing Company, accessed [8/26/21](#)]

Logan Opposed Raising The Debt Ceiling When It Would Have Threatened \$20 Billion In Social Security Payments, 6 Million U.S. Jobs, And \$15 Trillion In Household Wealth

Logan, October 2021: “Raising The Debt Ceiling Is Dangerous And Irresponsible”

Logan Said Raising The Debt Ceiling Was “Dangerous And Irresponsible.”



[Facebook, George Logan, [10/14/21](#)]

Failure To Raise The Debt Ceiling In October 2021 Threatened \$20 Billion In Social Security Payments

Washington Post: Failing To Raise The Debt Limit Threatened \$20 Billion In Social Security Payments For Seniors. “If Congress fails to increase the debt limit, Treasury would be unable to pay debts as they come due. Treasury Secretary Janet L. Yellen said earlier this week that such a default would be unprecedented in U.S. history. Moody’s ‘best estimate’ is that this date is Oct. 20, although Treasury has not given a more precise day. At that point, Treasury officials would face excruciating choices, such as whether to fail to pay \$20 billion owed to seniors on Social Security, or to fail to pay bondholders of U.S. debt — a decision that could undermine faith in U.S. credit and permanently drive federal borrowing costs higher.” [Washington Post, [9/21/21](#)]

Failure To Raise The Debt Ceiling Would Result In A “Cataclysmic” Economic Catastrophe In The United States, Threatening 6 Million Jobs And \$15 Trillion In Household Wealth

Moody’s Analytics: If Congress Failed To Raise The Debt Limit, The U.S. Economy Would Lose 6 Million Jobs And \$15 Trillion In Household Wealth And The Unemployment Rate Would Surge To 9 Percent. “The United States could plunge into an immediate recession if Congress fails to raise the debt ceiling and the country defaults on its payment obligations this fall, according to one analysis released Tuesday. Mark Zandi, chief economist at Moody’s Analytics, found that a prolonged impasse over the debt ceiling would cost the U.S. economy up to 6 million jobs, wipe out as much as \$15 trillion in household wealth, and send the unemployment rate surging to roughly 9 percent from around 5 percent.” [Washington Post, [9/21/21](#)]

Moody’s Analytics: “This Economic Scenario Is Cataclysmic. ... The Downturn Would Be Comparable To That Suffered During The Financial Crisis” Of 2008. ““This economic scenario is cataclysmic. ... The downturn would be comparable to that suffered during the financial crisis’ of 2008, said the report, written by Zandi and Bernard Yaros, assistant director and economist at Moody’s Analytics.” [Washington Post, [9/21/21](#)]

Failure To Raise The Debt Ceiling Would Raise Interest Rates And Consumer Costs And Lead To A Long-Term Decline In The Value Of The U.S. Dollar

Washington Post: Failing To Raise The Debt Limit Would Raise Consumer Costs And Interest Rates And Lead To A Long-Term Decline In The U.S. Dollar. “Failure to raise the debt limit would have catastrophic impacts on global financial markets. Interest rates would spike as investors demand a higher rate of return for the risk of taking on U.S. debt given uncertainty about repayment. An increase in interest rates would ripple through the economy, raising costs not only for taxpayers but also for consumers and other borrowers. The value of the U.S. dollar would also decline long term as investors questioned the security of purchasing U.S. treasuries. The cost of auto and home loans would rise.” [Washington Post, [9/21/21](#)]

Logan Criticized The American Rescue Plan And Said COVID-19 Relief Programs Went “Too Far,” Even As His Employer Benefitted From American Rescue Plan Relief Spending**Logan Criticized The “Scope” Of COVID-19 Federal Relief Programs, Saying “I Think We're Going A Little Bit Too Far In Terms Of The Type Of Assistance That We're Giving Folks Throughout The Country”**

Logan Said He Was “A Skeptic On The Scope Of The Federal Relief Available During The COVID-19 Pandemic” And The Assistance Was Going Too Far. “He pronounced himself Wednesday as a supporter of law enforcement, a defender of small business and a skeptic on the scope of the federal relief available during the COVID-19 pandemic, which some Republicans say has contributed to labor shortages. ‘Right now, I think we're going a little bit too far in terms of the type of assistance that we're giving folks throughout the country, because someone's got to pay it,’ Logan said. ‘And it's already having ramifications. So, we have to have the right balance. We don't have the right balance right now.’”[Connecticut Mirror, [7/21/21](#)]

Logan Criticized The American Rescue Plan, Saying Only 10 Percent Of Its Funding Went Toward Actual COVID-19 Relief. LOGAN: “[3:48] I consider myself a moderate republican, I am more conservative leaning on fiscal issues, when it comes to societal type issues, I certainly believe we need to take care of folk who need our help but I also believe that it's important that we provide opportunities for people to work, find jobs, to be able to raise their families and live their lives the way that they want to. Right now, I think we are going a little bit overboard with the types of programs we are throwing money at, you look at the COVID 19 relief money over a trillion dollars and about 10% of it actually went to COVID relief. We have to be more efficient in Washington.” [Fox 61, Interview with George Logan, [7/25/21](#)] (VIDEO)

- **Fact Check: USA Today Rated The Claim That Only 9% Of The American Rescue Plan Was Going To COVID-19 Relief As False.** “The claim: ‘9% of the 1.9 TRILLION dollar package is going to the American people’ [...] Our ruling: False. We rate this claim FALSE because it is not supported by our research. There’s room for debate over exactly how much of the \$1.9 trillion is related to the pandemic. It's surely not all of it. But this claim bungles a widely circulating 9% stat. More than 99% of the American Rescue Plan is going to Americans, though some of that falls in the category of political ‘pork.’ An analysis by a nonpartisan group found that about 85% of the bill is related to the coronavirus pandemic. The 9% figure is actually what is going to fund direct disease containment measures such as vaccines, testing and tracing, and other public health initiatives. [USA Today, [3/2/21](#)]

The American Rescue Plan Resulted In \$4.2 Billion Of Relief To Connecticut And A Middle-Class Tax Cut For 350,000 Connecticut Families Who Received \$127 Million

The State Of Connecticut Received A Total Allocation Of 4.2 Billion Dollars From The American Rescue Plan. [Rockefeller Institute Of Government, [3/24/21](#)]

- **Connecticut State Government Received 2.6 Billion Dollars From The American Rescue Plan.** [National Conference Of State Legislatures, State and Local Allocation Estimates from the American Rescue Plan, accessed [8/26/21](#)]
- **Metro And County Governments In Connecticut Received 1.56 Billion Dollars From The American Rescue Plan.** [National Conference Of State Legislatures, State and Local Allocation Estimates from the American Rescue Plan, accessed [8/26/21](#)]
- **Connecticut Received 142 Million Dollars For State Capital Projects From The American Rescue Plan.** [National Conference Of State Legislatures, State and Local Allocation Estimates from the American Rescue Plan, accessed [8/26/21](#)]

Through The American Rescue Plan 350,000 Families In Connecticut Received A Middle-Class Tax Cut Totalling Around \$127 Million. “The Biden administration says that over 350,000 families in Connecticut are eligible for the tax credit, equaling about \$127 million. The credit is fully available to families without any tax obligations. Advocates say the monthly payments can help smooth out an impoverished family's income, making it easier for them to budget and less dependent on high-interest lenders.” [Fox 61, [7/15/21](#)]

Eversource Energy Benefited From \$4.5 In American Rescue Plan Money Sent To The Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP)

The Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) Received \$4.5 Billion From The American Rescue Plan. “With a belief that energy should be affordable, so that no one has to go without other basic necessities to have it, the Biden-Harris administration and Congress appropriated \$4.5 billion in relief funds through LIHEAP, as part of the American Rescue Plan, to help low-income families afford home heating and cooling costs and meet unpaid electric and natural gas bills. These funds will also help families make cost-effective home energy repairs.” [Department of Health and Human Services, [5/4/21](#)]

Eversource Encouraged Customers Who Needed Help Paying Their Bills To Use LIHEAP. “Eversource representatives will outline the following solutions to help pay bills during the webinar. Any customer enrolled in an Assistance Program or Payment Plan who is current with their payment terms cannot have their service disconnected. Customers with a household income at or below 60 percent of the state median income may qualify for assistance paying their bill through the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) For more information on fuel assistance benefits and eligibility, please visit Eversource.com.” [Market Screener, [9/24/11](#)]

Logan Sponsored Legislation To Reduce The Grant Amount Awarded Under The Citizens' Election Program, A Program He Took Full Advantage Of During Each Of His Campaigns

The Citizens' Election Program CEP Was A Voluntary Program That Allowed Candidates To Receive Full Public Financing For Their Campaign

The Citizens' Election Program Was A Voluntary Program That Allowed Candidates To Receive Full Public Financing For Their Campaign. “The State Elections Enforcement Commission (the “Commission”) announced that it will make its twenty-fourth and final public grant payments under the Citizens' Election Program (the “CEP”) for the November 8, 2016 general election. [...] The CEP is a voluntary program which allows qualifying candidates for General Assembly and statewide offices to receive full public financing. To qualify for public campaign financing, candidates must demonstrate they have substantial support from the public. Candidates for state representative accomplish this by raising \$5,000 from at least 150 individuals residing in municipalities in

their district. Candidates for state senate accomplish this by raising \$15,000 from at least 300 individuals residing in municipalities in their district.” [State Elections Enforcement Commission Citizens’ Election Program, Press Release, [10/21/16](#)]

2016-2020: Logan Received \$292,286 In CEP Grants, Close To, Or The Maximum Amount Available For Each Election

2016-2020: Logan Received \$292,286 In CEP Grants

Logan Received Full CEP Grants That Made Up The Overwhelming Majority Of His Total Receipts.

CEP Makeup Of Total Receipts			
Year	CEP Grant	Total Receipts	CEP Percent of Total
2020	\$103,955	\$122,208	85%
2018	\$92,796	\$114,224	81%
2016	\$95,535	\$111,950	85%
Total:	\$292,286	\$348,382	83.8%

[Connecticut State Elections Enforcement Commission, accessed [7/26/21](#)]

Logan Received Maximum Grant Amounts, Or Close To Maximum Amounts, In All Three Elections

2016: The Full Grant Amount For A State Senator Was \$95,710. “Candidates for state representative accomplish this by raising \$5,000 from at least 150 individuals residing in municipalities in their district. Candidates for state senate accomplish this by raising \$15,000 from at least 300 individuals residing in municipalities in their district. Participating candidates may only accept small dollar contributions from certain individuals—the maximum contribution is \$100—and no contributions from state contractors or PACs. In 2016, general election grant amounts are \$28,150 for state representative candidates and \$95,710 for state senate candidates.” [State Elections Enforcement Commission Citizens’ Election Program, Press Release, [10/21/16](#)]

2018: The Full Grant Amount For A State Senator Was \$95,710. “If you apply on or before 5:00 p.m. on Monday, August 27, 2018 (full grant) is \$95,710.” [State Elections Enforcement Commission, [5/22/18](#)]

2020: The Full CEP Grant Amount For A State Senate Candidate Was \$103,955. “If you apply on or before 5:00 p.m. on Monday, August 24, 2020 (full grant) \$103,955.” [SEEC Citizens’ Election Program, [1/29/20](#)]

2017: Logan Sponsored Legislation To Reduce CEP Grants By 25%

2017: Logan Sponsored Legislation To Reduce The Grants Under The CEP By 25%. In January 2017, Logan sponsored SB 209 that which would “reduce by twenty-five per cent the amount of any grant awarded under the Citizens’ Election Program” The bill did not advance after being referred to committee. [Connecticut General Assembly, SB 209, [1/18/17](#)]

Logan Opposed Legislation That Would Prevent State Law Enforcement From Working With Federal Immigration Authorities

Logan Voted Against A Bill That Limited State Law Enforcements Collaboration With Federal Immigration Enforcement

2019: Logan Voted Against A Bill That Would Limit State Law Enforcements Role In Federal Immigration Enforcement. In May 2019 Logan voted against S B992 which, “makes several changes to the state’s civil

immigration detainer law. Among other things, the bill: expands the definition of a civil immigration detainer and prohibits law enforcement officers from arresting or detaining someone pursuant to such a detainer unless it is accompanied by a judicial warrant (i.e., one signed by any state or federal judge or federal magistrate judge, but not an immigration judge); establishes new procedures that law enforcement officers must follow when responding to these detainees, placing additional restrictions on the actions they may take and eliminating current law's requirement that they consider specific public safety and risk factors (see below); limits the circumstances under which law enforcement officers may disclose an individual's confidential information to a federal immigration authority; deems law enforcement agency records relating to U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) access (see below) as public under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)." The bill passed 20-15. [Connecticut General Assembly, SB 992, [5/15/19](#)]

- **Logan Said Of The Bill "I Believe The Title Of This Bill Is A Misnomer. I Don't Believe This Is A Trust Act Anymore. This Bill Should Be Titled, Connecticut: Sanctuary State."** "Connecticut jumped back into the contentious national debate over immigration enforcement as Senate Democrats voted early Wednesday to pass a bill that would further restrict how police and court personnel can work with federal Immigration and Customs Enforcement to detain undocumented immigrants. [...] Republicans countered that the new bill goes further, hampering the ability of local law enforcement to detain potentially dangerous immigrants — or to even truthfully answer when ICE inquires about an immigrant who may be in police custody. 'I believe the title of this bill is a misnomer. I don't believe this is a Trust Act any more,' said Sen. George Logan, R-Ansonia, the son of Guatemalan immigrants. 'This bill should be titled, Connecticut: Sanctuary State.'" [Connecticut Mirror, [5/15/19](#)]

Logan Opposed The Legalization Of Recreational Marijuana In Connecticut

Logan Opposed The Legalization Of Marijuana, Citing "The Costs That Are Associated With Marijuana Use"

Logan Opposed The Legalization Of Recreational Marijuana In Connecticut

Logan Was Against The Legalization Of Recreational Marijuana. "I don't understand how you can be for education and children and then be for recreational marijuana use,' said Logan, Aquarion Water Co.'s director of environmental management and the father of two children. 'It's a bad example to set for our kids.'" [Connecticut Post, [10/25/18](#)]

Logan Claimed Legalization Of Recreational Marijuana Could Be Costly For The Taxpayer

Logan Said: "You Have To Look At The Costs That Are Associated With Marijuana Use." LOGAN: "[4:50] Folks talk about the revenue windfall for example that's a big argument that you use but you can't just look at the revenue that come in you have to look at the costs that are associated with marijuana use. Imagine what kind of bureaucracy that we are going to need in the state for licensing, taxation, law enforcement consider the impact to disruption to education with more kids using marijuana, increased schizophrenia, health costs, additional DUI injuries and fatalities, what about hospital and medical cost associated with marijuana use all those have to come into play when you have this argument. During the public hearing when I was questioning folks bring up all the virtues in their mind for recreational marijuana use I said look for every statistic for every fact I can give you a counter fact or statistic to counter what you are saying. We have to look at what kind of a state do we want to be and this is not the type of state we want to be. We don't want to be making bad examples for our children." [Talk of Connecticut The Brad David Show, [3/13/17](#)] (AUDIO)

Logan Cited The Higher Cost For Law Enforcement, Hospitals And Addiction Care As Reasons For Opposing The Legalization Of Recreational Marijuana. "But some members of the legislature's public health committee were clearly doubtful about the claimed benefits of legal pot. 'You have to look at the downside, the

costs of marijuana,' said Sen. George Logan, R-Ansonia, saying legalization would result in higher costs for law enforcement, hospital and addiction care. He noted Connecticut has already decriminalized small amounts of pot. 'I don't think we need to go the extra steps to make it completely legal.'" [Hartford Courant, [3/8/17](#)]

- **Logan Argued That Legalizing Recreational Marijuana Would Not Decrease Black Market Sale Of The Drug.** LOGAN: "[9:24] That's one of the arguments that you use is that legalizing marijuana would effectively kill the black market in other states like Colorado that have legalized it that has not been the case at all, and even here in CT if you take a look at existing marijuana laws, they don't contribute to mass incarceration." [Talk of Connecticut The Brad David Show, [3/13/17](#)] (AUDIO)

Logan Claimed The Legalization Of Marijuana Could Increase Traffic Incidents

Logan Argued There Could Potentially Be A Significant Increase In Traffic Incidents From Legalization Of Recreational Marijuana. LOGAN: "[3:03] Well I mean there are a lot of reasons why, one you take a look at the impact in terms of traffic I don't really want to see a bunch more people driving around high on marijuana. Traffic deaths in other states that have legalized it have increased by 48% you know marijuana related traffic deaths." [Talk of Connecticut The Brad David Show, [3/13/17](#)] (AUDIO)

Logan Claimed That Alcohol Costs Are 12 Times More Than The Revenue It Brings In And Argued That It Would Be Similar With Marijuana. LOGAN: "[6:35] A lot of folks say well look alcohol is legal and alcohol brings in revenue but there are studies out there that say that the estimated cost associated with alcohol in the US is more than 12 times the tax revenue collected and it likely that the experience with marijuana will be the same." [Talk of Connecticut The Brad David Show, [3/13/17](#)] (AUDIO)

Logan Claimed That Legalization Of Recreational Marijuana Would Threaten The Health Of Connecticut's Youth

Logan Claimed That Marijuana Was A Gateway Drug That Could Result In Increased Youth Drug Use. LOGAN: "[3:00] How it increased youth use of marijuana in other states that have legalized it resulted in an increase of youth marijuana use of over 60% I don't think we want that here in Connecticut, marijuana many folks, professionals folks believe it's a gateway drug kids who abuse or are dependent on Marijuana are 3 times more likely to become addicted to heroin and other drugs. How about the neurological risks, you know, the health risks are far higher for teens than adults for marijuana we don't want to make this more accessible for our youth there's a lot of negative impact that it could have, that could have long lasting effects to Connecticut and to our society." [Talk of Connecticut The Brad David Show, [3/13/17](#)] (AUDIO)

- **Logan Voted Against Raising Legal Age To Purchase Tobacco From 18 To 21 Despite Voicing Concern For The Health Of Connecticut Youth.** Logan voted against advancing HB 5384 which, "raises, from 18 to 21, the legal age to purchase or possess in public cigarettes and other tobacco products, electronic nicotine delivery systems (e.g., electronic cigarettes), and vapor products. It exempts from the increased age requirement anyone age 18 or older as of October 1, 2017 or a U.S. Armed Services member. [...] Additionally, the bill extends some of the existing penalties for purchases by minors (i.e., under age 18), or sales to minors, to purchases by or sales to individuals ages 18 to 20. But it does not extend certain penalties that the Department of Revenue Services (DRS) may impose under existing law for tobacco purchases and sales involving minors." The bill passed Joint Committee On Public Health 19-7 but failed to advance. [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 5384, [3/6/17](#)]

Logan Opposed Decriminalization Of Marijuana Because It Would "Be A Bad Example For The Children." "Logan said he is not in favor of decriminalizing marijuana because it will 'cause health problems' and 'be a bad example for the children.'" [The Bristol Press, [2/4/19](#)]

March 2017: Logan Claimed Responsibility For Killing A Bill That Would Legalize Recreational Marijuana, Four Years Before Connecticut Legalized It

March 2017: Logan Said He Was Confident He Would Be Able To Kill Legislation Legalizing Recreational Marijuana. LOGAN: “[7:58] I am pretty confident we are going to kill this bill and its not going to see the light of day. Beyond what it has seen already.” [Talk of Connecticut The Brad David Show, [3/13/17](#)] (AUDIO)

- **March 2017: The Legislation Legalizing Recreational Marijuana Was Held Back In The Joint Public Health Committee.** In March 2017, HB 5314 which would, “(1) provide for the retail sale and personal cultivation of marijuana for use by persons twenty-one years of age or older, (2) require the Department of Consumer Protection to establish and administer such adult-use program in a manner that maintains palliative use as a separate program, (3) require the Department of Consumer Protection to allow the growth of up to six marijuana plants per adult for personal use, but not more than twelve such plants per household, (4) require the Department of Revenue Services to establish and administer a tax structure that will provide revenue to the General Fund, Budget Reserve Fund and certain municipalities.” [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 5314, [3/10/17](#)]

June 2021: Connecticut Governor Ned Lamont Signed SB 1201 Which Legalized Possession Of Up To 5 Ounces Of Marijuana. “Connecticut is the 19th state to legalize recreational marijuana. Adults 21 and older will be able to possess up to 1.5 ounces on their person and 5 ounces in their home or locked car. The new law automatically erases criminal records for possession of up to 4 ounces of marijuana [...] In Malloy’s last two years in office, the Senate was evenly divided, but Democratic gains in 2018 and 2020 led to passage. Only one Republican in each chamber voted for legalization.” [CT Mirror, [6/22/21](#)]

- **May 2021: 64% Of Connecticut Residents Supported Legalizing Marijuana, And Only 18% Strongly Opposed Legalizing It.** “HARTFORD, Conn. (WTNH)– A vote to legalize recreational marijuana could happen in the state legislature as soon as next week. New polls show a strong majority supports legal cannabis, and that has democratic leaders sounding more confident [...] A recent Sacred Heart University poll showed an overwhelming 64% of those surveyed support recreational marijuana, either somewhat or strongly. Just 18% strongly oppose it. That maybe why the speaker of the house is seeing legislators changing their minds.” [WTNH, [5/26/21](#)]

Logan Sponsored Legislation That Would Strengthen Hate Crime Laws In Connecticut After Being The Target Of A Racially-Charged Facebook Post

April 2017: Logan Received Support From Democratic And Republican Leadership And The NAACP Following A Facebook Post Targeting Him With Racial Attack

April 2017: State Leadership From Both Parties Defended Logan After A Facebook Post Called Him A “Token Black” Republican. “A town Democrat has raised the ire of many with her comments on Facebook calling state Sen. George Logan a ‘token black’ Republican and labeling the GOP as ‘the party of rich white fat guys in suits.’ But the woman who made the comments said they came from her frustration over what is going on in Washington, not on the local level, and that attempts to paint her as a racist are ridiculous. The remarks by Dr. Cheryl Sackler, a veterinarian who owns and operates Beacon Falls Animal Hospital, drew condemnation from both sides of aisle, with top state lawmakers saying the comments were racist and inappropriate. ‘In this era of heightened political insensitivity, there is no place at the national or local level to use race, religion sexual orientation or economic status as a barometer of an individual’s worth,’ state Senate Pro Tem Martin Looney, D-New Haven, said in a statement released Monday on the posting. ‘These types of statements directed at Senator Logan are just wrong -- regardless of party -- and do not belong in our public discourse.’ Senate Republican President Pro Tempore Len Fasano, R-North Haven, also called Sackler’s words ‘bigotry’ and said both parties ‘must condemn bigotry in unity.’” [New Haven Register, [4/24/17](#)]

The Local NAACP Supported Logan And Called For Accountability After Facebook Post Labeled Him As A “Token Black Republican.” “The head of the Naugatuck Valley chapter of the NAACP said the apology from a local woman about her comment referring to state Sen. George Logan as ‘a token black Republican’ isn’t good enough. ‘Members of the oldest civil rights organization are screaming for her to be held accountable,’ said Greg Johnson Sr., president of the Valley National Association for the Advancement of Colored People. The incident should be a lesson for everyone to learn from, Logan said, because issues of diversity are not a problem limited to the Republican Party.” [New Haven Register, [4/30/17](#)]

June 2017: Logan Co-Sponsored Hate Crime Legislation That Would Make Connecticut Hate Crime Laws “The Strongest In The Nation”

June 2017: Logan Co-Sponsored Hate Crime Legislation. In June 2017, Logan Cosponsored HB 5743 which, “makes several changes to the hate crime laws, including enhancing penalties in some cases. Among other things, the bill: (1) Imposes minimum fines for certain hate crimes, including deprivation of rights; desecration of property; cross burning; and 1st, 2nd, and 3rd degree intimidation based on bigotry or bias. (§§ 1 & 5-7) (2) Allows the court to cancel or reduce the minimum fines the bill imposes if the court states on the record its reasons for doing so. (§§ 1 & 5-7) (3) Enhances the penalty for desecration of a house of religious worship. (§ 1) (4) Increases the penalty for 1st and 2nd degree threatening when the threat affects a house of worship, religiously affiliated community center, or day care center. (§§ 3 & 4) (5) Increases, from a class A misdemeanor to a class E felony, the penalty for 3rd degree intimidation based on bigotry or bias. (§§ 5-7) (6) Allows the court, as a condition of probation or conditional release, to require hate-crime offenders to participate in certain programs. (§ 2) (7) Replaces the Hate Crimes Advisory Committee with a new State-Wide Hate Crimes Advisory Council within the Office of the Chief State's Attorney. (§§ 8 & 9)” The bill passed 36-0. [Connecticut General Assembly, HB 5743, [6/6/17](#)]

- **Logan’s Legislation Would Make Connecticut Hate Crime Laws “The Strongest In The Nation.”** “Sen. George S. Logan (R-Ansonia) applauded the Connecticut State Senate's June 6 unanimous passage of a comprehensive bill aimed at strengthening Connecticut's hate crime laws, making them the strongest in the nation. Sen. Logan is a co-sponsor of the legislation, which now heads to the governor for his signature. 'As Republicans and Democrats, we stand united in the face of hate,' Sen. Logan said. 'This legislation sends a strong message that Connecticut has zero tolerance for hate crimes. Our laws will soon be a model for the rest of the country.’” [Connecticut Senate Republican Caucus, [6/6/17](#)]

2019: Logan Supported Local Community Leaders In Condemning A Blackface Incident At Connecticut School

Logan Joined NAACP Leadership While Condemning Blackface Incident At School. “A Shelton Intermediate School student’s blackface photo on Snapchat is evidence of a pervasive attitude in a city desperate for more racial diversity and inclusion, people told the Board of Education Wednesday. The NAACP’s rally, which featured dozens of organization leaders, students and adults from throughout the state, began outside the school, with Ansonia branch President Greg Johnson joined by other speakers in calling the photo of a local white student in blackface, with a racial slur in the tagline, that was posted on Snapchat a hate crime and the school district’s response unacceptable. [...] ‘This incident in the city of Shelton is not a mistake, but a message,’ said Akia Callum, Connecticut State Conference NAACP Youth & College president, who joined state Sen. George Logan, Connecticut State Conference NAACP President Scot X. Esdaile, and criminal defense attorney Michael Jefferson in speaking outside the school. ‘My skin is not your costume.’” [New Haven Register, [9/26/19](#)]

Logan Narrowly Won Election To The State Senate In 2016 And 2018 Before Being Defeated In A Rematch In 2020

2016: Logan Defeated Democrat Joe Crisco, A 24 Year Incumbent, To Win His State Senate Seat

2016: Logan Challenged A “Veteran Democratic Incumbent” Who Had Won 12 Straight Elections. “In another potentially tight race, veteran Democratic Sen. Joe Crisco of Woodbridge is facing George Logan, an African-American Republican who attended Trinity College and now works for Aquarion Water Co. Herbst describes the Crisco contest as a ‘sleeper’ race that could break a long string of Republican defeats. The 80-year-old Crisco has been seen as potentially vulnerable by optimistic Republicans on a regular basis every two years, but has won 12 straight elections dating to 1992, when Lowell Weicker was governor.” [Hartford Courant, [9/6/16](#)]

2016: Logan Defeated Joe Crisco By 833 Votes.

2016 Connecticut State Senate District 17 Results		
Candidate	Vote Total	Vote Percentage
George S Logan (R)	21,602	50.9%
Joe Crisco (D)	20,769	49.1%

[Connecticut Secretary of State, Election Results, [11/8/16](#)]

2018: Logan Defeated Democrat Jorge Cabrera By 85 Votes To Hold His State Senate Seat

Logan And His Democratic Opponent Jorge Cabrera Both Claimed Victory On Election Night In 2018.

“Both incumbent Republican George Logan and challenging Democrat Jorge Cabrera declared victory in the closely contested 17th District state Senate race Wednesday, as an unofficial count showed a slim margin of votes between them.” New Haven Register, [11/7/18](#)]

Logan Pulled Ahead In 2018 Election After An Error By Election Officials When Reporting Results. “An error in the way numbers were transcribed here has now resulted in State Sen. George Logan taking a 65 vote lead in his bid for re-election against Democrat Jorge Cabrera. Gabe Rosenberg, a spokesman for the Secretary of the State Denise Merrill, confirmed that the error occurred in Ansonia. Just how it happened he was not sure. He said it could have happened when numbers were read off the result tapes taken from the machines on election night or it could have happened when the numbers were transcribed and sent to the Merrill's office. Whatever the case Logan overcame what appeared to be a 187-vote or so defeat and has turned it into a possible 65 vote victory.”

[Connecticut Post, [11/8/18](#)]

Connecticut’s Democratic Party Threatened Legal Action After Recount That Gave George Logan Electoral Victory In 2018. “Democrats threaten legal action as state Senate race heads to recount The state Democratic Party threatened legal action Thursday after fluctuations in vote totals sent a race they believed their candidate had won to an automatic recount. Senate Democrats declared victory for Jorge Cabrera over Republican incumbent Sen. George Logan, even sending out Cabrera's name on a list of the newest members of the Senate. But the Secretary of the State's office now says that the race in the 17th Senate District is subject to a recount, with Logan ahead by 65 votes. [...] ‘Over the course of the last day, the various different accounts from officials in Ansonia regarding the vote total have been inconsistent and questionable,’ Balletto said. ‘The lack of transparency and consistency raises grave concerns about the integrity of the outcome of the election. The Democratic Party will seek any and all remedies to get to the truthful answer, including availing ourselves of the Superior Court and calling witnesses to testify.’” [The Harford Courant, [11/9/18](#)]

- **Logan Was Declared The Victor After The Recount, And No Legal Action Was Taken.** “Another recount and another race has been decided. On Wednesday, incumbent Republican George Logan has been declared the winner in the state Senate race in District 17. He beat his Democratic challenger Jorge Cabrera. This was one of 7 towns holding recounts in that district. Logan gained 20 votes in the recount, which clearly makes him the winner.” [WFSB, [11/14/18](#)]

2018: Logan Defeated Jorge Cabrera By 85 Votes To Maintain His State Senate Seat.

2018 Connecticut State Senate District 17 Results		
Candidate	Vote Total	Vote Percentage
George S Logan (R)	18,531	50.1%
Jorge Cabrera (D)	18,446	49.9%

[Connecticut Secretary of State, Election Results, [11/6/18](#)]**2020: Logan Lost His Senate Seat In A Rematch Against His 2018 Opponent****2020: Logan Lost A Rematch To Democratic Challenger Jorge Cabrera By 2,076 Votes.**

2020 Connecticut State Senate District 17 Results		
Candidate	Vote Total	Vote Percentage
Jorge Cabrera (D)	25,034	52.2%
George S Logan (R)	22,958	47.8%

[Connecticut Secretary of State, Election Results, [11/3/20](#)]**2021: Logan Said He Learned From His State Senate Elections And Knew How To Win In A “Democrat District”**

Logan Claimed In An Interview That He Had Learned From His State Senate Elections And Could Win In A “Democrat District.” Anchor: “[1:46] Now you did defeat in a state senate race a long time Democrat back in 2016, so you are someone who has done that with an R after your name in recent history. Do you think that helps you in this race against congresswoman Haynes?” Logan: “[1:59] Absolutely I know how to, you know, win in a Democrat district I think what I learned in my time in campaigns for the state legislature and senate seat is going to be very helpful.” [Eyewitness News 3, CT 21, [8/1/21](#)] (Video)

While Logan Was Chairman Of The African American Affairs Commission, The Commission Was Unable To Analyze Racial Profiling Data Due To Budget Constraints**1997-2012: Logan Was The Commissioner and Past Chairperson Of The Connecticut African American Affairs Commission****1997-2012: Logan Was The Commissioner and Past Chairperson Of The Connecticut African American Affairs Commission.****Commissioner & Past Chairperson**

State of Connecticut

Dec 1997 – Jun 2012 · 14 yrs 7 mos

Hartford, Connecticut, United States

The mission of the African-American Affairs Commission is to improve and promote the economic development, education, health and political well-being of the African-American community in the State of Connecticut through advocacy, information sharing, cultural awareness, community networking and influencing legislation.

[LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]**Every Year, The African American Affairs Commission Was Tasked With Analyzing Police Records To Determine If Racial Profiling Existed**

The African America Affairs Commission Was Tasked With Analyzing Police Records To Determine If Racial Profiling Existed. “A state law that requires police to record the race of motorists in traffic stops and directs the African-American Affairs Commission to analyze the data to determine if racial profiling exists has been roundly ignored for years. Only 20 Connecticut police departments out of 102 have consistently complied with the 1999 law by submitting reports on the racial breakdown of traffic stops. And the African American Affairs Commission, citing cost, has not analyzed the numbers in years. ‘This is another example of a bill that sounded great at the bill-signing, but there was no follow-through,’ Rep. Michael Lawlor, D-East Haven, said. The veteran lawmaker and co-chairman of the legislature's judiciary committee said he believes that the data could be a valuable tool in addressing the issue of racial profiling within the criminal justice system.” [Hartford Courant, [7/28/09](#)]

2009: The Commission Struggled To Analyze The Data Provided, Claiming It Did Not Have The Necessary Apparatuses To Complete The Task Due To Budget Constraints

2009: The Data Was Turned Over To The Commission Which Was Unable To Analyze Data Due To Budget Constraints “Glenn A. Cassis, executive director of the African-American Affairs Commission, said his agency has no money to hire someone to comb through the data and compile a report. In fact, Gov. M. Jodi Rell has proposed eliminating the commission itself, along with similar offices dedicated to women's issues, senior citizens, children and Latinos. [...] Eighteen police departments submit their records to the commission annually; two others file with the division of criminal justice. ‘The reports come in various forms,’ Cassis said. ‘Some of them come on slips of paper, some on disc . . . some of it's coded . . . it comes in various shapes and sizes, and it's difficult to do any kind of comprehensive report. ‘We don't have the technology and the manpower to go through and decipher them all at this point,’ he said. Lawlor suggested that grants or private foundations could help underwrite the cost. ‘If the African-American Affairs Commission can't do it. . . . we've got to get someone else to do it,’ he said. ‘My recommendation would be to find someone in academia.’” [Hartford Courant, [7/28/09](#)]

2009: Logan Said The Commission Never Received Money From The State To Complete The Mandate

2009: Logan Said The Commission Never Received Money From The State To Complete The Mandate. “A July 28 Courant article [Page 1, ‘Profiling Law 'Unravels' ‘] attempted to illuminate the failures of the state's racial profiling legislation but implicates the state African American Affairs Commission as a major cause. This account failed to delve deeper. The commission never received any money from the state of Connecticut to successfully complete this mandate. [...] The state Office of Policy and Management, through the Office of Legislative Management, informed the commission that it could not be the fiscal agent because the grant exceeded the commission's budget. The racial profiling project is the victim of bureaucratic quagmires. More than a year ago, the contracts were drawn up, the experts identified, a plan of action was in place and, most important, the federal government was ready to hand over the money. It seems odd that even in this down economy, the state has no urgency in clearing the way to receive it. George Logan, chairman, African American Affairs Commission.” [Hartford Courant, Your Letters, 7/31/09]

Existing Records Indicate Logan Voted In The 2018 Primary Election But Did Not Vote In The 2018 General Election

Logan Did Not Vote In The 2018 General Election Despite Voting In The 2018 Primary Election.
[VoteBuilder, accessed 7/26/21]

NOTE: Further research needed to verify Logan's full voter participation history.

Professional History

February 2021-Present: Logan Was A Board Member At BHcare

Logan Was A Board Member At BHcare. According to Logan’s LinkedIn “BHcare provides comprehensive behavioral health, prevention and domestic violence services that improve the lives and health of the individuals, families and communities we serve.” [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

January 2021-Present: Logan Was A Board Member At New Haven Symphony Orchestra

Logan Was A Board Member At New Haven Symphony Orchestra. According to Logan’s LinkedIn “The New Haven Symphony Orchestra enriches Connecticut’s cultural landscape through exceptional performances and education programs that are accessible to residents from all walks of life. Innovative programming offered statewide inspires deeper audience engagement and meaningful artistic and educational collaborations which increase the reach and impact of the Symphony. Authentic commitments to diversity, equity, inclusion, and access move the NHSO to provide representation that reflects the richly diverse communities we serve in all areas of Symphony leadership.” [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

2014-Present: Logan Was Vice President Of The Housatonic Valley Association Board

Logan Was Board Member At Housatonic Valley Association. According to Logan’s LinkedIn “The HVA brings together a wide range of people and organizations that are deeply concerned about the resources and environmental quality of the Housatonic River Valley. Together, we protect land and water throughout the entire 2,000-square-mile, tri-state Housatonic Watershed.” [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

Logan Was Vice President Of The Housatonic Valley Association Board. [Housatonic Valley Association, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

2012-Present: Logan Was A Board Member At Griffin Hospital

Logan Was A Board Member At Griffin Hospital. According to Logan’s LinkedIn “Griffin Hospital is committed to providing personalized, humanistic, consumer-driven health care in a healing environment, to empowering individuals to be actively involved in decisions affecting their care and well-being through access to information and education, and to providing leadership to improve the health of the community we serve.” [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

2010-Present: Logan Was A Board Member And Served As Secretary Of The Board Of Directors At The Central Connecticut Coast YMCA

2010-Present: Logan Was Board Member At The Central Connecticut Coast YMCA. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

2010-2017: Logan Served As Secretary Of The Board Of Directors At The Central Connecticut Coast YMCA. According to Logan’s LinkedIn “The YMCA has a passion for serving people of all ages, races and religions. With a commitment to nurturing the potential of kids, promoting healthy living, and fostering a sense of social responsibility, the Y ensures that every individual has access to the essentials needed to learn, grow and thrive.” [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

2004-Present: Logan Was A Board Member At The Greater Valley Chamber of Commerce

Logan Was A Board Member At The Greater Valley Chamber of Commerce. According to Logan’s LinkedIn “The Greater Valley Chamber of Commerce represents the business communities of Ansonia, Beacon Falls, Derby,

Oxford, Seymour, Shelton, and the surrounding area. It provides leadership to enhance economic growth, development, prosperity and quality of life in the region.” [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

2000 – Present: Logan Played Guitar In A Jimi Hendrix Cover Band, Electric Lady Band

Logan Played Guitar In A Jimi Hendrix Cover Band, Electric Lady Band. “On Friday night the Senator will take off his tie, remove his two-piece suit and forget about the state budget for a few hours. Instead he’ll dust off his wide brim hat with the flowing purple ribbon, grab his Fender Stratocaster and perform some of Jimi Hendrix greatest hits. He’ll even strum behind his back and maybe, just maybe if convinced, with his teeth. At 8:45 p.m. Logan and his Electric Lady Band which includes, his brother, Hector, a state probation supervisor in Manchester and their friend, Chris Dolan, an English teacher at Fairfield Warde High School, will take the stage at Toad’s Place, 300 York Street, New Haven. They will open for the Riders on the Storm, a Door’s tribute band.” [Associated Press, [1/11/18](#)]

1998-2007: Logan Was An Advisory Board Member At Trinity College

Logan Was An Advisory Board Member At Trinity College. “The mission of Trinity Engineering Advisory Council (TEAC) is to utilize the expertise of alumni and other closely affiliated individuals to advise and act as an advocate for the Engineering faculty and students in the areas of curriculum, facilities, faculty, and students.” [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

1997-2012: Logan Was The Commissioner and Past Chairperson Of The Connecticut African American Affairs Commission

Logan Was The Commissioner and Past Chairperson Of The Connecticut African American Affairs Commission. According to Logan’s LinkedIn “The mission of the African-American Affairs Commission is to improve and promote the economic development, education, health and political well-being of the African-American community in the State of Connecticut through advocacy, information sharing, cultural awareness, community networking and influencing legislation.” [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

1992-Present: Logan Was Board Member (Emeritus) At Junior Achievement Of Greater Fairfield County

Logan Was Board Member (Emeritus) At Junior Achievement Of Greater Fairfield County. According to Logan’s LinkedIn “Junior Achievement’s Purpose And Values: Junior Achievement’s Purpose is to inspire and prepare young people to succeed in a global economy. Junior Achievement maintains an active vision, front and center, on how we can have a positive impact on the lives of more students – guided by our core values; Belief in the boundless potential of young people, Commitment to the principles of market-based economics and entrepreneurship, Passion for what we do and honesty, integrity, and excellence in how we do it, Respect for the talents, creativity, perspectives, and backgrounds of all individuals, Belief in the power of partnership and collaboration, Conviction in the educational and motivational impact of relevant, hands-on learning.” [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

1992-Present: Logan Held 9 Different Positions At Aquarion Water Company

June 2020 – Present: Logan Was Director Of Community Relations For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

January 2017 – June 2020: Logan Was Director Of Environmental Management For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

February 2014 – December 2016: Logan Was Director Of Government Relations And Director Of Environmental Management For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

October 2015 – December 2016: Logan Was A Registered Lobbyist For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

July 2006 – February 2014: Logan Was Director Of Engineering & Planning Department For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

July 2006 – March 2009: Logan Was The Director Of Purchasing Department For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

March 2004 – June 2006: Logan Was Manager Of Capital Project Delivery For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

1995 – March 2004: Logan Was Senior Engineer & (GIS) Mapping Group Supervisor For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

1992 – 1995 : Logan Was Project Engineer & Project Manager For Aquarion Water Company. [LinkedIn, George S Logan, accessed [8/11/21](#)]

Political Career

Election Results

2020 Connecticut State Senate District 17 Results

Candidate	Vote Total	Vote Percentage
Jorge Cabrera (D)	25,034	52.2%
George S Logan (R)	22,958	47.8%

[Connecticut Secretary of State, Election Results, [11/3/20](#)]

2018 Connecticut State Senate District 17 Results

Candidate	Vote Total	Vote Percentage
George S Logan (R)	18,531	50.1%
Jorge Cabrera (D)	18,446	49.9%

[Connecticut Secretary of State, Election Results, [11/6/18](#)]

2016 Connecticut State Senate District 17 Results

Candidate	Vote Total	Vote Percentage
George S Logan (R)	21,602	50.9%
Joe Crisco (D)	20,769	49.1%

[Connecticut Secretary of State, Election Results, [11/8/16](#)]

Campaign Finance

Logan Raised A Total Of \$348,382 And Spent A Total Of \$349,597 In His Runs For State-Level Office

According to the Connecticut State Elections Enforcement Commission, Logan raised \$348,382 and spent \$349,597 in his run for State Senate.

Logan State Campaign Finance History			
Year	Candidate (Office Sought) or Committee	Raised	Spent
2020	George Logan (State Senate) Re-elect Senator Logan	\$122,208	\$122,208
2018	George Logan (State Senate) Re-elect Senator Logan	\$114,224	\$114,224
2016	George Logan (State Senate) Logan4ct	\$111,950	\$113,165
	Total:	\$348,382	\$349,597

[Connecticut State Elections Enforcement Commission, accessed [7/26/21](#)]

Industry Contributions

Logan Contributions By Industry		
Industry/Employer	Amount	Number of contributions
Utilities – Aquarion Water Company	\$2,650	42
Construction – AJ Penna	\$450	3
Construction - F & J Urbano Co Builders	\$500	5
Utilities – Eversource	\$150 – 2018	2

Logan Has Not Filed Any Fundraising Reports With The FEC

According to the Federal Election Commission, Logan has not yet filed and reports with the FEC for his congressional bid. [FEC, accessed [7/26/21](#)]

Personal Political History

Personal Political Donations

Logan Has Given \$0 To Federal Political Candidates

According to the Federal Election Commission, Logan has given \$0 to federal political candidates. [FEC, Individual Contribution Search, accessed [7/28/21](#)]

Logan Has Given \$1,480 To State-Level Political Candidates

According to the Connecticut State Elections Enforcement Commission, Logan has given \$1,480 to state-level candidates.

Logan Political Giving History - State			
Date	Candidate (Office Sought) or Committee	Party	Amount
6/30/21	30th District Republican Senatorial Committee	R	\$25
1/30/20	Themis Klarides (State Representative)	R	\$100
1/30/20	Nicole Klarides Ditria (State Representative)	R	\$100
10/8/19	Milford Republican Town Committee	R	\$40
9/28/19	Beacon Falls Republican Town Committee	R	\$25
6/28/18	Rich Deecken (State Senate)	R	\$100
4/27/18	Susan Hatfield (Attorney General)	R	\$100
2/8/18	William K Miller (State Comptroller)	R	\$100

10/24/17	Mark A Lauretti (Governor)	R	\$100
10/22/17	Beacon Falls Republican Town Committee	R	\$100
9/27/17	Salman B Hamid (Mayor of Hamden)	R	\$100
1/3/17	Timothy M Herbst (Governor)	R	\$100
1/31/17	Senate Republican Majority Committee	R	\$100
10/31/16	Connecticut Republican Party	R	\$50
4/14/16	Marjorie M Bonadies (State Representative)	R	\$50
5/18/16, 6/30/16	David K Labriola (State Representative)	R	\$70
4/29/16	Beacon Falls Republican Town Committee	R	\$20
3/27/16	Logan4ct	R	\$200
		Total	\$1,480

[Connecticut State Elections Enforcement Commission, accessed [7/28/21](#)]

Voter Activity

Logan Was Registered To Vote In Connecticut's 3rd Congressional District

Logan Was Registered To Vote In Ansonia, CT 06401. [VoteBuilder, accessed 7/26/21]

Logan's Home In Ansonia, CT Was Located In Connecticut's 3rd Congressional District. [House.gov, accessed [7/26/21](#)]

2002 – 2020: Logan Voting History

Logan Voting History (2002-2020)	
Year	Description
2020	Voted in the primary and general elections
2019	Voted in the municipal election
2018	Voted in the primary election
2017	Voted in the municipal election
2016	Voted in the presidential primary and general elections
2015	Voted in the municipal election
2014	Voted in the general election
2013	Voted in the municipal election
2012	Voted in the general election
2011	Voted in the municipal election
2010	Voted in the general election
2009	Voted in the municipal election
2008	Voted in the general election
2007	Voted in the municipal election
2006	Voted in the general election
2005	Did not vote
2004	Voted in the general election
2003	Did not vote
2002	Voted in the general election

[VoteBuilder, accessed 7/26/21]

Public Records

Associated Entities

As of August 2021, Logan was potentially associated with the following entities.

Logan's Associated Entities		
Entity Name	Persons Associated	Years
Logan & Logan Distributors USA, LLC	George Logan – Owner Hunter A Logan – Owner	2005 - present
Blue Reality Records, LLC	George Logan – Owner	2005 - present

[Nexis Comprehensive Person Report, accessed 7/29/21]

NOTE: Further research necessary into Logan's associated entities.

Criminal And Traffic Violation Record

As of August 2021, Logan was not associated with any criminal or traffic violations. [Nexis Criminal Records search, accessed, 8/2/21; State Of Connecticut Judicial Branch, accessed [8/2/21](#)]

NOTE: Further research necessary to determine whether Logan has a criminal record.

Bankruptcy, Judgments, & Liens

1996-1999: George And Lisa Logan Had 4 Property Tax Liens Placed On Their Properties Totaling \$9,864

Liens Filled				
Date	City	Type	Amount	Released Date
4/1/1996	Bridgeport	Property	\$1,000	8/8/1996
4/1/1997	Bridgeport	Property	\$2,999	4/10/2000
4/2/1998	Bridgeport	Property	\$2,955	4/10/2000
4/1/1999	Bridgeport	Property	\$2,910	4/10/2000
Total			\$9,864	

[Connecticut Land Records, accessed [8/25/21](#)] [Nexis Comprehensive Person Report, accessed 7/29/21]

1999: George and Lisa Logan Had A Property Tax Lien Filed On 4/1/99 For \$2,910. In April 1999 George and Lisa Logan had a property tax lien placed on them for \$2,910. The lien was released. [Nexis Comprehensive Person Report, accessed 7/29/21]

1998: George and Lisa Logan Had A Property Tax Lien Filed On 4/2/98 For \$2,955. In April 1998 George and Lisa Logan had a property tax lien placed on them for \$2,955 by the City of Bridgeport. The lien was released. [Nexis Comprehensive Person Report, accessed 7/29/21]

1997: George and Lisa Logan Had A Property Tax Lien Filed On 4/1/97 For \$2,999. In April 1997 George and Lisa Logan had a property tax lien placed on them for \$2,999 by the City of Bridgeport. The lien was released. [Nexis Comprehensive Person Report, accessed 7/29/21]

1996: George and Lisa Logan Had A Property Tax Lien Filed On 4/1/96 For \$1,000. In April 1996 George and Lisa Logan had a property tax lien placed on them for \$1,000 by the City of Bridgeport. The lien was released. [Nexis Comprehensive Person Report, accessed 7/29/21]

NOTE: Further research necessary into whether Logan or their associated entities had bankruptcies, judgments or liens.

Important Note On This Document

Please keep in mind at all times that this is preliminary research, and further research will be necessary on George S Logan. It is strongly recommended that you contact the DCCC's Research Department for more information and in the event that you intend to use material from this report for public communications purposes. Thank you.