

April Becker (NV-03) Anti-Choice

Staunchly pro-life candidate April Becker has been endorsed by the extreme group Nevada Right to Life, which called to rid the nation of abortion. Susie Lee is endorsed by Planned Parenthood, has a history supporting reproductive rights, and will defend every woman's right to choose.

Extreme Anti-Choice Candidate April Becker Was Endorsed By Radical Group Nevada Right To Life Who Supported Banning Abortion Nationally With No Exceptions

Becker Said She Was A Pro-Life Conservative

Becker Said She Was A Pro-Life Conservative And Constitutionalist. “The unprecedented leaking of a U.S. Supreme Court opinion has done irreparable harm to our judicial system. While the final outcome is not decided, as a pro-life conservative and constitutionalist, I believe that states should decide abortion policy.” [Nevada Independent, [5/29/22](#)]

Becker Opposed Roe V. Wade And Argued That Supreme Court Judges “Violated The Separation Of Powers And Made Law” In The Roe V. Wade Ruling. “I spent an entire semester studying Roe V. Wade and it was a decision made by judges who violated the separation of powers and made law. Whether you are pro-life or pro-choice, you should step back and understand that when judges overstep boundaries, our system doesn't work properly. I don't think this going to have any effect on my race or anyone's race. It would have to be changed by the people of Nevada and is a beautiful example of how our government works.” [Nevada Globe, [5/11/22](#)]

Becker Was Endorsed By Nevada Right To Life

2020: Nevada Right To Life Endorsed April Becker. [Nevada Right To Life, [10/9/20](#)]

Nevada Right To Life Supported The Supreme Court's Decision To Overturn Roe V. Wade As A Necessary Step “To Allow The Process Of Ridding Our Nation Of Abortion”

Nevada Right To Life Said The Supreme Court's Decision To Overturn Roe V Wade Was A Necessary Step “To Allow The Process Of Ridding Our Nation Of Abortion.” “The Supreme Court has overturned the notorious and unjust Roe v Wade decision which struck down abortion laws in all 50 states and made abortion legal throughout pregnancy for any reason. Roe has led to over 63 million abortions. Overturning Roe and the Casey decision does not ban abortion, but it allows the issue to be legislated by the states and Congress. Abortion will become illegal in many states, but will remain unchanged in others. In some states more laws will restrict or ban abortion. In others, greater access will be legislated. There is much work to be done. Overturning Roe is not the ultimate aim of the pro-life movement, but it is a necessary step to allow the process of ridding our nation of abortion to advance.” [Facebook, Nevada Right To Life, [6/24/22](#)]

Nevada Right To Life Praised Texas For Succeeding In Banning Abortions After 6 Weeks With A Heartbeat Bill. “While the state of Texas is succeeding in banning abortions after 6 weeks, when the heart begins to beat, an abortion case that could bring down Roe v Wade is scheduled to be heard on December 1st. That case is Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health regarding a law in Mississippi which would ban abortions in Mississippi after 15 weeks. Observers say this case is in direct opposition to the abortion doctrines laid out in the Roe v Wade and Casey v Planned Parenthood decisions which only allow states to ban abortions after the point of ‘viability,’ probably around 22-24 weeks.” [Facebook, [9/20/21](#)]

Becker Was Endorsed By Susan B Anthony List Who Supported A Federal Six-Week Abortion Ban And A “Human Life Amendment” That Would Constitute A Total Ban On Abortion

March 2022: April Becker’s Campaign Was Endorsed By SBA List’s Candidate Fund PAC. “Today Susan B. Anthony List’s Candidate Fund PAC announced its endorsement of April Becker (R) for Congress in Nevada’s 3rd District. ‘We’re proud to endorse April Becker to represent Nevada’s 3rd District. She has spent her career defending those who cannot defend themselves and will bring tenacity and compassion to the fight for life in Congress,’ said Hon. Marilyn Musgrave, SBA List’s vice president of government affairs. ‘As a mother and a grandmother, April has seen first-hand the dramatic advances in science and technology that give us a window into the life of unborn children – including their ability to feel pain. Her Democratic opponent Susie Lee sides with Nancy Pelosi and the radical abortion lobby 100% of the time, sponsoring legislation to wipe out popular abortion limits nationwide and enshrine abortion on demand until birth in the law – even refusing to support medical care for babies who survive failed abortions. With so much at stake, southern Nevadans and all women and children deserve better. April’s common sense will be a much-needed check on the pro-abortion extremism running rampant in Washington.’” [Susan B. Anthony Pro Life America, Press Release, [3/7/22](#)]

- **2022: Susan B Anthony List Supported Both A Federal Six-Week Ban And A 15-Week Ban And Was Working To Gather Co-Sponsors For Both Pieces Of Legislation.** “One top advocate, Marjorie Dannenfelser, president of the antiabortion group Susan B. Anthony List, has spoken privately with 10 possible Republican presidential contenders, including former president Donald Trump, to talk through national antiabortion strategy. Most of them, she said in an interview, assured her they would be supportive of a national ban and would be eager to make that policy a centerpiece of a presidential campaign. [...] Susan B. Anthony List fully supports both a national six-week ban and a 15-week ban, said Dannenfelser, who has been working to gather co-sponsors for both pieces of legislation.” [Washington Post, [5/2/22](#)]

2007: SBA List Included Advancing The “Human Life Amendment” As Part Of Their Pro-Life Agenda. [Susan B. Anthony List, Legislation, accessed [8/3/07](#)]

- **Center For Reproductive Rights: A Human Life Amendment Would Be An “Absolute Ban On Abortion.”** “What would a ‘human life’ amendment do? It would give a human egg, from the moment of its fertilization, ‘a fundamental individual right to life which cannot be infringed.’ That’s a misleading way of describing an absolute ban on abortion, the criminalization of reproductive health care—including many forms of contraception and fertility treatments such as in vitro fertilization—and the abolition of a woman’s right to choose whether or when to have children.” [Center For Reproductive Rights, [8/29/12](#)]

Susie Lee Was Endorsed By Planned Parenthood And Had A History Of Defending Reproductive Rights

Lee Was Endorsed By Planned Parenthood.

Susie Lee Was Endorsed By Planned Parenthood. “The right to abortion nationwide hangs by a thread. The 2022 elections will be critical in deciding the fate of our ability to control our own bodies. The Planned Parenthood Action Fund is proud to endorse these candidates for the United States Senate and House of Representatives. [...] Nevada Catherine Cortez Masto, U.S. Senate Steven Horsford, U.S. House Susie Lee, U.S. House Dina Titus, U.S. House” [Planned Parenthood, accessed [7/5/22](#)]

Lee Voted For The Women’s Health Protection Act

Lee Voted For The Women’s Health Protection Act (WHPA). In September 2021, Lee voted for: “Passage of the bill that would statutorily establish that health care providers have a right to provide and patients have a right to receive abortion services, and it would prohibit certain restrictions related to abortion services. The bill would specify that rights established by the bill may not be restricted by certain requirements or limitations related to abortion services, including prohibitions on abortion prior to fetal viability, or after fetal viability if a provider determines that continuation of a pregnancy would pose a risk to a patient’s life or health; requirements that patients disclose reasons for seeking an abortion or make medically unnecessary in-person appointments; requirements that providers provide medically inaccurate information or perform specific medical tests or

procedures in connection with the provision of abortion services; limitations on providers' ability to prescribe drugs based on good-faith medical judgment, provide services via telemedicine or provide immediate services when a delay would pose a risk to a patient's health; and requirements for facilities and personnel that would not apply to facilities providing medically comparable procedures. It would also prohibit requirements or limitations that are similar to those established by the bill or that impede access to abortion services and expressly or implicitly single out abortion services, providers or facilities. It would specify factors that courts may consider to determine whether a requirement or limitation impedes access to abortion services, including whether it interferes with providers' ability to provide services; poses a risk to patients' health; is likely to delay or deter patients in accessing services or necessitate in-person visits that would not otherwise be required; is likely to result in a decreased availability of services in a state or region; is likely to result in increased costs of providing or obtaining services; or imposes penalties that are not imposed on other health care providers for comparable conduct. It would require a party defending a requirement or limitation to establish that it significantly advances the safety of abortion services or patient health and that such goals cannot be advanced by a less restrictive alternative measure. It would authorize the Justice Department, health care providers and private individuals and entities to bring a civil action in U.S. district court for injunctive relief against any state or government official charged with implementing or enforcing a requirement or limitation challenged as a violation of rights established by the bill. It would authorize district courts to award appropriate equitable relief, including temporary, preliminary or permanent injunctive relief, and to award costs of litigation to a prevailing plaintiff. It would require courts to "liberally construe" provisions of the bill to effectuate its purposes." The bill passed by a vote of 218-211. [HR 3655, [Vote #295](#), 9/24/21; CQ, [9/24/21](#)]

- **Susie Lee: "The Women's Health Protection Act Will Guarantee The Federal Right To Access Abortion Care, Free From Political Interference."** "The Women's Health Protection Act will guarantee the federal right to access abortion care, free from political interference. It will ensure that patients can access — and health care providers can provide — abortion services without medically unnecessary and dangerous restrictions. These kinds of restrictions only do further damage to the millions of women who face obstacles to attaining health care in their day-to-day lives. This includes women of color, who already experience significant health disparities, and women in rural areas, who face seemingly insurmountable barriers to accessing care, from lack of transportation to shortages of health care providers. If the Senate passes the Women's Health Protection Act, we will move one major step forward in ensuring that a woman's right to choose abortion is a reality for all women, no matter their ZIP code." [Las Vegas Sun, [Susie Lee, 1/24/22](#)]